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NOT DAZZLED

I sympathize with the shocked Athenians and disgusted Germans who visited the exhibit of ancient sculptures that had recently been colored, as depicted in “Dazzlers” (November-December 2007, page 32). Those tarted-up objects have been rendered loathsomely tasteless. Sculptures that have retained the paint put on by their creators, such as the German religious sculptures of the late middle ages and the contemporary Indonesian wooden carvings, are immensely appealing. It is certainly likely that the Greeks and Romans who created those statues had the talent and taste to paint them in a way that would appeal to us as much or more than their unpainted ones do.

Barbara R. Bergmann, Ph.D. ’58
Washington, D.C.

AUDEN, AGING

I was delighted to see Adam Kirsch’s article on W. H. Auden’s “Under Which Lyre” (“A Poet’s Warning,” November-December 2007, page 23), but was surprised that he misinterprets what seems to me the clear sense of one spot in the poem. He writes of the line “the fattening forties” as follows: “The comedy of the poem, and its prescience, lies in Auden’s description of Apollo, the presiding spirit of what he calls ‘the fattening forties.’”

But the phrase appears in this context:

And those who like myself turn pale
As we approach with raggeding sail
The fattening forties.

Auden was 39 in 1946: he is speaking of his own forties, with their middle-aged changes of metabolism. He’s said something uncomplimentary about the under graduates (“the sophomoric/Who face the future’s darkest hints/With giggles or

with prairie squints/As stout as Cortez”); now he makes fun of himself.

Wayne D. Shirley ’57
Durham, N.H.

MYTHS OF CHILDHOOD REVISITED

As the husband of an alumna (Roselyn Juditham Pil, A.M. ’49), I am privileged to read your excellent magazine. I particularly appreciated “The Horror and the Beauty” (November-December 2007, page 36), both for its specific content and for stimulating me to remember some of my much-loved childhood reading. While I have little memory of reading or hearing the sort of fairy tales recounted in the article, I have very strong and exciting memories of the King Arthur stories, the Robin Hood stories, and the legends of the Scandinavian gods. I am delighted that Maria Tatar persisted in her efforts to study and analyze the myths of childhood, and I thank Craig Lambert for bringing her work to your pages.

Robert F. Steinhart
Springfield, N.J.
Boris Blank, innovator of sound. Not a follower of music trends.
Exemplary Contributors

With great thanks, the editors wish to recognize three contributors to Harvard Magazine during 2007, awarding each $1,000 for their distinguished service to readers.

The Smith-Weld Prize—in the memories of A. Calvert Smith ’14, formerly secretary to Harvard’s Governing Boards and executive assistant to President James Bryant Conant, and Philip S. Weld ’36, former president of the magazine—celebrates thought-provoking journalism about the University. Joan Wickersham’s perceptive September-October cover story, “Bricks and Politics,” on the design of campus buildings in Harvard’s urban setting, is especially timely at the dawn of new construction in Allston.

Photographer Robert Adam Mayer wonderfully portrayed columnist and critic Frank Rich in his New York City milieu for the March-April cover article. We have been pleased to feature his lively, creative work on several previous occasions as well.

Illustrator Dan Page has demonstrated uncommon skill and style in bringing memorably to life complex and abstract ideas, as he did for the July-August feature, “Debtor Nation,” on America’s finances and economy—an unusually challenging assignment.

Joan Wickersham

Robert Adam Mayer

Dan Page

CHEKHOV CHECK-UP

The Russian theatre history conveyed by Craig Lambert (“The Actor Explores,” November-December 2007, page 19) is off the beaten track. Only two, not three, of Chekhov’s four major plays received their “world premieres” at the Moscow Art Theatre: Three Sisters (1901) and The Cherry Orchard (1903). The Seagull was first staged at the St. Petersburg Alexandra Theatre in 1896. As for Uncle Vanya, it was first produced by the Rostov-on-Don Dramatic Society in 1887. Nor could Nemirovich-Danchenko have founded the Art Theatre school in 1914; he died in April of that year, while the theatre’s staff and leaders were scattered, due to evacuations during the Nazi onslaught on Moscow. The studio-school was indeed founded that year, but in his name and memory, not by him.

Laurence Senelick, Ph.D. ’72
Fletcher professor of drama and oratory
Tufts University
Medford, Mass.

ROTC

It was a nice touch to mention the ROTC color guard’s participation in President Faust’s installation ceremony (November-December 2007, page 42), although a statement about the Nuttall Ornithological Club, which he helped found, is misleading: “The club flourished for years, and issued regular bulletins.” Indeed, the club still flourishes to this day, still regularly publishes ornithological works, and still meets monthly at Harvard, as its website attests [http://nuttallclub.org]. I trust that it also still encourages undergraduates to devote their lives to the study of birds, such as I have done.
ARCHITECTURE ENCORE

Joan Wickersham’s “Bricks and Politics” (September-October, page 50) provides a fascinating glimpse into the inner workings of how Harvard’s architectural decisions are made, which is critically important given the development of Allston. Unfortunately for Harvard, it seems that the cacophony of voices that have shaped recent construction have resulted in a series of discordant buildings (including One Western Avenue) that can politely be called unattractive. But it shouldn’t be that hard to agree on at least some of the elements of an attractive building: the use of stone over concrete, detailed masonry, stained and leaded glass, woodwork, and buildings consistent in size with the community. Perhaps Harvard should consider Princeton’s recently constructed Whitman College as a reasonable example. Or for true inspiration, a nice visit to Cambridge, England, wouldn’t hurt.

Jay Bikoff, Ph.D. ’07
Cambridge

Joan Wickersham uses as a measure of architectural excellence at Harvard and other area colleges the Harleston Parker Medal awarded annually by the Boston Society of Architects, and ponders why Harvard, by this measure, hasn’t fared better. Since she evaluates only the years 2000 and later, the Carpenter Center does not come up. The selection of Le Corbusier as the architect for the center was one of the most significant in Harvard’s history and one of the most controversial: In 1963 I chaired the Harleston Parker Committee which chose the Carpenter Center for the award...only to have our selection overturned by the BSA membership voting in its annual meeting, the first time in the medal’s long history that that had happened. The following year a new committee again selected the Carpenter Center for the award. And this time it passed...a sort of redemption for everyone.

Walter S. Pierce, F.A.I.A.
Lexington, Mass.
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PROFESSORS’ POLITICS ENCORE

I can’t let Park Weaver Jr.’s sly insinuation (Letters, November-December 2007, page 7) that “Tenured professors... are just extremely jealous of the harder-working citizen...” stand. The tenured professors I know are harder-working than anybody else, working essentially all the time to find and codify knowledge while teaching their students. Weaver misunderstands higher education if he thinks that tenured professors on the whole aren’t extremely hardworking.

Jay M. Pasachoff ’63, Ph.D. ’69
Field Memorial professor of astronomy
Director, Hopkins Observatory
Williams College
Williamstown, Mass.

It makes a distinctly bad impression if the only letters regarding the Harvard Portrait of Howard Gardner (September-October 2007, page 61; letters, November-December, pages 6-7) represent the antediluvian attitude of the most naive free-enterprise ideology. If no one has the right to tell economic freeloaders how much money they can make, it must be that all anti-monopoly laws and all taxes on income are absolutely immoral. Without some constraints, which can be exercised only by government, private enterprise will achieve its natural goal of universal monopoly. Besides, there is an elementary moral argument against those super-confidently “self-made men,” most of whom made their pile by not giving much of a share to those who did their work and made them their money.

Arnold Simmel ’47
New York City

THANK YOU, TOO

Publisher’s note: A list of magazine donors published in the last issue inadvertently omitted Arthur D. Levin ’54, M.B.A. ’60, who generously donated $500 in 2007. Our apologies—and our sincere thanks.
A re some experiences so horrific that the human brain seals them away, only to recall them years later? The concept of “repressed memory,” known by the diagnostic term dissociative amnesia, has long fueled controversy in psychiatry. During the 1980s, claims of childhood sexual abuse based on recovered memories led to a spate of highly publicized court cases. A number of the supposed victims retracted their allegations in the early 1990s, admitting that they had been swayed by therapeutic techniques. Yet the scientific validity of dissociative amnesia has remained contested ground.

In a recent study, professor of psychiatry Harrison Pope, co-director of the Biological Psychiatry Lab at Harvard-affiliated McLean Hospital, put “repressed memory” to the test of time. He reasoned that if dissociative amnesia were an innate capability of the brain—akin to depression, hallucinations, anxiety, and dementia—it would appear in written works throughout history. In collaboration with associate professor of psychiatry James Hudson, Michael Parker, a professor of English at the U.S. Naval Academy, Michael Poliakoff, director of education programs at the National Endowment for the Humanities, and research assistant Matthew Boynes, Pope set out to find the earliest recorded example of a “repressed memory.”

The survey yielded various nineteenth-century instances: best known were A Tale of Two Cities (1859), by Charles Dickens, in which Dr. Manette forgets that he is a physician after his incarceration in the Bastille, and Captains Courageous (1897), by Rudyard Kipling, in which “Penn,” a former minister, loses his memory after his family perishes in a flood and recalls that trauma only after being involved in a collision at sea. But the survey turned up no examples from pre-modern sources.

The researchers then offered a $1,000 reward—posted in three languages on more than 30 Internet websites and discussion groups—to the first person to identify a case of dissociative amnesia in any work of fiction or nonfiction prior to 1800. They received more than 100 responses, but none met the “repressed memory” criteria. Although many early texts describe ordinary forgetfulness caused by natural biological processes, as well as instances of individuals forgetting happy memories and even their own identities, there were no accounts of
an inability to recall a traumatic experience at one point and the subsequent recovery of that memory.

In a report of their findings published in *Psychological Medicine*, Pope and his colleagues concluded that the absence of dissociative amnesia in works prior to 1800 indicates that the phenomenon is not a natural neurological function, but rather a “culture-bound” syndrome rooted in the nineteenth century. They argued that dissociative amnesia falls into the diagnostic category “pseudo-neurological symptom” (or “conversion disorder”)—a condition that “lacks a recognizable medical or neurological basis.”

The authors have also refuted a number of alternative hypotheses that might explain their survey results. For instance, they argued, the fact that pre-nineteenth-century societies may have conceptualized memory differently than we do cannot account for the lack of recorded descriptions of dissociative amnesia. “Our ancestors had little understanding about delusions and hallucinations,” Pope points out. “They didn’t know about dopamine in the brain or things we now know cause paranoia or auditory hallucinations, but descriptions of hallucinations [appear] in literature for hundreds of years and from all over the world.” Similarly, “If an otherwise lucid individual spontaneously develops complete amnesia for a serious traumatic event, such as being raped or witnessing the death of relations or friends,” the researchers explained, “a description of such a case would surely be recognizable, even through a dense veil of cultural interpretation” such as spirit possession or some other supernatural event.

What, then, accounts for “repressed memory’s” appearance in the nineteenth century and its endurance today? Pope and his colleagues hope to answer these questions in the future. “Clearly the rise of Romanticism, at the end of the Enlightenment, created fertile soil for the idea that the mind could expunge a trauma from consciousness,” Pope says. He notes that other pseudo-neurological symptoms (such as the female “swoon”) emerged during this era, but faded relatively quickly. He suspects that two major factors helped solidify “repressed memory” in the twentieth-century imagination: psychoanalysis (with its theories of the unconscious) and Hollywood. “Film is a perfect medium for the idea of repressed memory,” he says. “Think of the ‘flashback,’ in which a whole childhood trauma is suddenly recalled. It’s an ideal dramatic device.”

Shortly after publication of their paper, the investigators awarded the $1,000 prize to the nominator of *Nina*, an opera by Dalayrac and Marsollier performed in Paris in 1786. (Forgetting that she saw her lover apparently lying dead after a duel, the heroine waits for him daily at an appointed spot. When the young man reappears, Nina first seems to recognize him, then doubts his identity, and only slowly accepts him for who he is.) Pope says he and his colleagues were a few years off their threshold of 1800, but he believes their argument holds: “The challenge falls upon anyone who believes that repressed memory is real to explain its absence for thousands of years.”

---ASHLEY PETTUS

HARRISON POPE E-MAIL ADDRESS: hpope@mclean.harvard.edu

WINGED MIMICRY

Tinker, Tailor, Robot, Fly

A breakthrough in microrobotic engineering, this artificial fly weighs as much as a few grains of rice, and may prove useful for search and rescue operations, hazardous environment exploration, environmental monitoring, and reconnaissance.
wrong project for Wood at the time. His interest in control theory—an engineer’s perspective on how systems work—made him eager to develop a way to manage such a device. One small problem: “If you want to control them, you’ll have to build them first,” his adviser told him. Wood, with a background in electrical engineering, would have to become a mechanical engineer.

That crossover, which has lasted almost a decade, began with months of research alongside biologists to study the complex wing movements of houseflies, bees, and fruit flies to better mimic the mechanisms that give them flight. Then came the hard part.

Designing an automated fly implied having the ability to make lightweight, miniature working parts, a process that Wood says took up the bulk of his doctoral study, because of the lack of any previous research on which to draw. “For years, the thrust of our work was ‘How do we do this?’” says Wood. “There was no existing fabrication paradigm, given the scale we were operating on, the speed we wanted to operate with, and things like cost, turnaround, and robustness.” His research group developed and fabricated a laser carving system that could meticulously cut, shape, and bend sheets of carbon fiber and polymer—both strong but lightweight materials—into the necessary microparts.

And how to power those wings to beat 120 times per second? To keep this 60-miligram robot (the weight of a few grains of rice) with a 3-centimeter wingspan to a minimal size and weight, Wood says, you can’t simply use a shrunken version of the heavy DC (direct current) motors used in most robots. So he and his team settled on a simple actuator: in this case, a layered composite that bends when electricity is applied, thereby powering a microscale gearbox hooked up to the wings. Wood says the actuator works even better than its biological inspiration. The power density—a measure of power output as a function of mass—of a fly’s wing muscles is around 80 watts per kilogram; Wood’s wing design produces more than 400 watts per kilogram.

The first takeoff occurred late one evening last March, as Wood worked alone in his office, his colleagues gone for the evening. As the fly rose, Wood jumped up in celebration, quickly verified that his camera had captured the flight, and let out a sigh of relief.

Success meant that Wood could finally turn to those questions that weren’t worth asking until the fly took off: Is the shape of a fly’s wings (a less-than-optimal design which Wood improved on in his robotic version) a biological limitation, or does it somehow aid the fly’s aerodynamics? Does a four-winged insect offer a design improvement? Even questions of evolutionary biology come into play: Why did all the four-winged arthropod flyers of the late Carboniferous period evolve to have two wings?

Wood figures he is still only one-third of the way toward his goal of creating an autonomous flying robot. But the next step should be at least as rewarding, considering that it will include a focus on control of the insect—the reason he first got involved in the project years ago. His fly now runs on electricity transmitted via thin wiring from high-voltage amplifiers, but he aims to add an onboard power source. Initially, he hopes for five minutes of flying time, which will be extended as the battery options improve.

Eventually, he hopes to program insect
A question mark has long hovered over human transitions from hunting and gathering to farming: did agriculture spread by communication—in archaeological parlance, by diffusion? Or did the early practitioners of farming migrate, carrying their technology with them, and displace native hunter-gatherers? In the American Southwest, at least, a tentative answer may be in hand, stored in some of the most banal artifacts held by Harvard’s Peabody Museum: prehistoric wads of chewed leaves called “quids,” and thong-like “aprons,” fashioned from shredded juniper bark, stained with what is presumed to be menstrual blood.

Prehistoric quids—wads of masticated leaves found in dry rock shelters—are yielding DNA clues to the origins of farming in the American Southwest.

ROBERT WOOD E-MAIL ADDRESS: rjwood@eecs.harvard.edu
ROBERT WOOD WEBSITE: http://micro.seas.harvard.edu
In an unusual cross-disciplinary collaboration funded by the provost’s office, the museum’s director of collections, Steven LeBlanc, teamed with Harvard Medical School’s Thomas Benjamin, a research professor in pathology whose lab normally studies mouse cancer, to recover DNA thousands of years old from the artifacts. They focused on mitochondrial DNA, which is passed from mother to child for generation after generation, and which exists in a thousand copies per cell, and extracted it successfully from about a quarter of the quids they sampled, and from a smaller fraction of the aprons. What they found in their small sample from an early corn-growing culture suggests that in this part of the New World, at least, migrating Uto-Aztecan farmers probably did not pass their technology to the indigenous hunter-gatherers, but instead out-reproduced and replaced them.

LeBlanc says this theory—that farmers spread north from central Mexico—was advanced by Peter Bellwood of Australian National University in a controversial 2005 book, First Farmers. “Bellwood argues that this is a worldwide pattern of how farming spreads: by migration, not diffusion,” he explains. There were exceptions: places where “the foragers were either dense enough or close enough socially and technologically to the farmers that they were able to adapt. In Europe, it looks like the Basques are an example, and Scandinavia is an example,” LeBlanc says. Different geographical conditions—high altitude, or cold climates not conducive to growing crops—may have momentarily checked the farmers’ advance, giving hunter-gatherers on the other side of the pause line a chance to react. In the American Southwest, he notes, there is a Zuni-speaking population on the Arizona-New Mexico border that took up corn farming without being swamped by an Uto-Aztecan influx.

But “the general pattern suggests that foragers have trouble switching to farming,” he reports, because becoming a farmer requires more than just having seeds. It means staying in the same place, storing food year-round, and changing social behavior: foragers, who often live by an ethic of sharing, would have faced “an enormous potential freeloader problem,” he says. Becoming a farmer requires changing that ethic to a policy that says, “I am not going to share completely, because I’ll starve if I do.”

LeBlanc describes his study as “one little piece of a very large chain of investigation,” but the work has nevertheless demonstrated the efficacy of using DNA analysis on objects held in museums. “The FBI does it all the time,” he says, “but archaeologically, this is the first time that anybody has ever done such a thing.” The earliest artifact LeBlanc and Benjamin studied was a prehistoric Mimbres bowl from southwestern New Mexico illustrating how women wore thongs (called aprons) secured at the waist by a belt, with the ends dangling behind. Such artifacts (below), stained with blood that yields recoverable DNA, are often found balled up at excavation sites in the region.
about 2,400 years old; whether the methodology is directly transferable to answer similar questions in Europe, for example, where farming’s spread began thousands of years earlier, remains unknown. An answer will also depend on what sorts of objects have been saved from excavations there.

“What archaeologists think of as artifacts does change over time,” LeBlanc notes. Quids—yucca, agave, or cornstalks, probably chewed for perceived or actual medicinal benefit—don’t appear in collections from some of Harvard’s earliest expeditions. “By 1910, the concept of what to save was growing,” he says, and quids were routinely collected, but even as late as the 1960s there were young researchers who didn’t save coprolites. “They’d throw them at each other because they thought it was funny,” he says. “Fortunately,” he adds, “there were people who believed such objects were important, knew where they were kept, and continued to keep them,” says LeBlanc. “The key is the quality of the collection.”

STEVEN LEBLANC E-MAIL ADDRESS: leblanc@fas.harvard.edu

---

U N T I L T H E M I D 1980S, victims of domestic abuse who called the police could expect the officers to do little more than tell the abusive spouse to walk it off. But then two things happened. In 1984, a Connecticut woman successfully sued her city’s police department after her husband stabbed her and then, in sight of an officer standing idle in the house, kicked her in the head. The same year, police in Minneapolis released a study showing that arresting abusers, rather than counseling them or sending them away for a few hours, greatly reduced the risk of future violence. As a result, many states began adopting mandatory-arrest laws that require police to take perpetrators (who are mostly men) into custody. Today 22 states, plus Washington, D.C., enforce either mandatory or pro-arrest laws, which have generally been seen as a boon for abuse survivors.

But are they? According to a recent study by economist Radha Iyengar, the murder rate between intimate partners is more than 50 percent higher in states with mandatory-arrest laws than in those without. Iyengar, a Robert Wood Johnson Scholar in health-policy research at Harvard’s Institute for Quantitative Social Science, analyzed homicide data across the country from 1976 to 2003, a period during which murder rates—including homicides among non-intimate family members such as parents and children (which fall under the jurisdiction of mandatory-arrest laws)—dropped overall. “For months I thought I must be coding something wrong,” she says, but her analyses ruled out other possible explanations, including increased unemployment rates, cuts in police funding, and spikes in other types of crime. After speaking with representatives from domestic-violence organizations across the country, Iyengar began to suspect that the laws aren’t necessarily helping victims, in part because victims may not like the laws. “A big problem is that victims didn’t want to call the police after the laws were implemented,” she says. The reasons for this are unclear, making it harder to find solutions. If victims stay quiet because of psychological ties to their abusers, harsher punishments won’t entice them to call, but more support services might. Victims may also know that, if they don’t take the further step of pressing charges, their partners will return home within a day, possibly raging even more. In some states, like Connecticut, where the first mandatory-arrest laws were passed in 1986, police frequently arrest both the victim and the abuser because they’re unsure who’s who. If there are children in the household, parents who know there’s a risk of dual arrest may decide a call isn’t worth potentially losing the children to social services.

After Iyengar published her findings in a New York Times op-ed last summer, several domestic-violence advocates criticized the study, saying that it didn’t account for the complexities of spousal abuse. Iyengar, who has volunteered with the National Network to End Domestic Violence, acknowledges the criticism, and offers recommendations few would argue with: more police training to help eliminate dual arrests, and more support services (such as housing and counseling) for abuse victims. But she also points out, “At the same time, we need large-scale evaluations of policies. The solution is not to keep bad laws on the books. If we think these laws need more teeth in the criminal-justice system and more bite to be effective, then let’s do that.”

KATHARINE DUNN

---

RADHA IYENGAR E-MAIL ADDRESS: riyengar@rwj.harvard.edu
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Reprinted from Harvard Magazine. For more information, contact Harvard Magazine, Inc. at 617-495-5746.
OCEAN ESTATE
Six or seven bedrooms,
Eight full and one one-half baths
Offered for $14,000,000.

VILLAGE FAIRWAY HOME
Five or six bedrooms
Seven full and one one-half baths
Offered for $4,350,000.

COUNTRY ESTATE
Four bedrooms
Four full and two one-half baths
Offered for $3,650,000.

WINDSOR COTTAGE
Four bedrooms
Four full and two one-half baths
Offered for $3,250,000.

GOLF COTTAGE
Three or four bedrooms
Three full and one one-half baths
Offered for $2,095,000.

TENNIS COTTAGE
Four bedrooms
Four full baths
Offered for $1,790,000.

Windsor is an exclusive seaside village intertwined with a strong sense of neighborliness and community. An extraordinary property, it is comprised of 416 acres bordered on the coast by the Atlantic Ocean and on the west by the scenic Indian River. Narrow tree-lined boulevards and lanes are defined by homes elegant in their understated Anglo-Caribbean architecture. Windsor offers superbly maintained facilities and exemplary service. With only a limited number of equity memberships available, members and their families and guests have immediate access to all the cultural and sporting amenities of a private club, highlighted by golf, tennis, croquet, fitness center, beach club, gun club and art gallery.

Houses from $1.4 million to $15 million; Homesites from $300,000 to $4.5 million. Prices and features subject to change without notice.

Reprinted from Harvard Magazine. For more information, contact Harvard Magazine, Inc. at 617-495-5746.
For Anita Elberse, whose latest research investigates the impact of big-name stars on films’ revenues, pop culture and rigorous analysis are not mutually exclusive. Elberse (pronounced El-ber-see), an assistant professor of business administration, applies statistical methods to the concept of celebrity, showing how models from marketing, management, and group dynamics can help us understand stardom and its economic consequences. With methods that others have used to study the impact of company name changes, new product introductions, and celebrity product endorsements, Elberse has concluded that a famous name is worth about $3 million in box-office ticket sales.

Although astronomical salaries indicate that certain actors provide something valuable for movie studios—why make such a big investment if it gets no return?—proving that hypothesis by putting a number to the value of a particular superstar cast member is difficult because each movie is made only once. To take the example Elberse used in a paper published recently in the Journal of Marketing: it’s impossible to know how the movie Cold Mountain would have done with Tom Cruise, a rumored contender for the film’s male lead, instead of Jude Law, who ultimately played the part.

But Elberse, whose previous research had focused on the media, entertainment, and sports industries, was familiar with the Hollywood Stock Exchange, a Web-based market simulation that allows participants to trade “stock” in upcoming films. Elberse knew that there was a strong correlation—a factor of 0.94—between a particular picture’s value on the exchange and its box-office revenues, with $1 on the exchange translating to $1 million in revenue during a picture’s first four weeks of theatrical release. Because participants in the exchange can trade “stock” in a picture for several months, and in some cases for a period of years, before a film’s release date, the exchange allows Elberse to track reactions to casting announcements and to quantify participants’ expectations for a film’s performance accordingly.

In the case of Cold Mountain, a 2003 Civil War drama based on a prizewinning novel, the film’s value on the exchange dropped by $21.50 with the announcement that Tom Cruise would not play the male lead. When Miramax announced that Jude Law would fill the role, and Nicole Kidman and Renee Zellweger the two major female roles, the film’s price rose, although not to its Tom Cruise levels.

Interestingly, adding a star’s name to a film’s roster can also reduce the film’s value. This happened in the wake of announcements that Jessica Biel would appear in the 2003 remake of The Texas Chainsaw Massacre and that Alec Baldwin was in negotiations to star in The Cat in the Hat. Elberse says such negative adjustments may simply reflect an expectation that a bigger name would appear in the role.

The idea that big-name stars bring home the bacon for movie studios may not seem surprising, but it does challenge the school of thought that claims stars are paid highly for no good reason. Although the occasional low-budget film with a no-name cast still makes it big, Elberse predicts these sleeper successes will become rarer. As box-office receipts shrink compared to revenues from other distribution methods—Blockbuster, Netflix, TiVo, Vudu—motion-picture companies count on theatrical releases more for advertising than for ticket sales. Big-budget, high-grossing films generate publicity and word of mouth that prompt people to add a movie to their list to watch later. As this trend continues, “I don’t think stars will go away” to their list to watch later. As this trend continues, “I don’t think stars will go away,” says Elberse; in fact, she predicts movie megastars’ fortunes will only rise. —Elizabeth Gudrais

### Chart by Stephen Anderson

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in value (in dollars)</th>
<th>Hollywood Stock Exchange Reaction to Casting Announcements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>Positive announcements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>Positive announcements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>Positive announcements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Positive announcements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Positive announcements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Announcement Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>Negative announcements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.00</td>
<td>Negative announcements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.00</td>
<td>Negative announcements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4.00</td>
<td>Negative announcements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.00</td>
<td>Negative announcements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Harvard Business School professor Anita Elberse found that films’ values on the Hollywood Stock Exchange, an Internet-based market simulation, responded to announcements about big-name actors who were joining or leaving their casts.

**After the announcement that Tom Cruise would not play the male lead in Cold Mountain, the movie’s value on the Hollywood Stock Exchange dropped by $21.50, indicating a drop of $21.5 million in traders’ expectations for the movie’s ticket sales. The price rebounded on news that Jude Law and Nicole Kidman (pictured) would star in the film.**

Anita Elberse E-mail Address: aelberse@hbs.edu

Hollywood Stock Exchange Website: www.hsx.com
A cooperative residence for the Harvard, MIT and MGH communities.

A unique residence conceived by Harvard and MIT Alumni, Administrators and Professors to reconnect you with the institutions and the city that have shaped your life. Join those with an affinity for the university lifestyle at University Residential Communities at 303 Third Street. Reservations are being taken now for One, Two and Three bedroom residences for occupancy beginning in the fall of 2008.

Please call 617-374-9303 for a personalized tour.

Marketing Agent: URC/ Beal and Company, Inc.
Information Center
300 Third Street
Cambridge, MA 02142
tel (617)374-9303  fax (617)374-9307
www.303third.net
At the top of their organizations. For over 60 years, the Advanced Management Program at Harvard Business School Executive Education has brought together senior leaders of top organizations from around the globe.

Participants are afforded the rarest of commodities: time to reflect and tailor specific strategies for execution within their organizations. Our unique learning model combines a global curriculum, our renowned faculty, and an accomplished group of peers. Executives will return with new skills and a fresh perspective, empowered to deliver solutions for your companies.

Visit www.exed.hbs.edu/pgm/amphdm/ to learn more.
Enjoy nature this winter: take a brisk walk in the Arnold Arboretum, view the stars from the Harvard College Observatory, or learn about the nature of evolution at the natural-history museum. Or take time out to go inward and explore the richness of the University art museums, offering views of Tibet and China, ancient gods, and contemporary artwork.

THEATER
The American Repertory Theatre
www.amrep.org; 617-547-8300
• February 9 through March 16
  Julius Caesar. This production presents three of Shakespeare’s most vivid characters—Caesar, Brutus, and Mark Antony—as they grapple with tyranny, political ambition, and revolution.

DANCE
www.fas.harvard.edu/~dance
617-496-2222; 617-495-8683
• February 14 at 8 p.m.
The Harvard Dance Center presents the Taylor 2 Dance Company: six dancers who perform excerpts from works by the contemporary choreographer Paul Taylor. 60 Garden Street.

FILM
The Harvard Film Archive
www.harvardfilmarchive.org
Visit the website for complete listings. 617-495-4700
• January 11-14
  A Tribute to Ingmar Bergman
• February 15-18
  Director Arthur Penn will be on hand for a retrospective of his work, which includes screenings of Bonnie and Clyde, Night Moves, and The Missouri Breaks.

MUSIC
Harvard Jazz Bands
www.harvardclub.com
617-496-2263
• February 22 at 8 p.m.
  Harvard student jazz combos perform for top prizes. Free and open to the public.

Sanders Theatre
www.boxoffice.harvard.edu
617-496-2222
• January 18 at 8 P.M.
Winter Thaw offers Mendelssohn and Beethoven performed by the Pro Arte Chamber Orchestra.

THEATER
The American Repertory Theatre
www.amrep.org; 617-547-8300
• February 9 through March 16
  Julius Caesar. This production presents three of Shakespeare’s most vivid characters—Caesar, Brutus, and Mark Antony—as they grapple with tyranny, political ambition, and revolution.

DANCE
www.fas.harvard.edu/~dance
617-496-2222; 617-495-8683
• February 14 at 8 p.m.
The Harvard Dance Center presents the Taylor 2 Dance Company: six dancers who perform excerpts from works by the contemporary choreographer Paul Taylor. 60 Garden Street.

FILM
The Harvard Film Archive
www.harvardfilmarchive.org
Visit the website for complete listings. 617-495-4700
• January 11-14
  A Tribute to Ingmar Bergman
• February 15-18
  Director Arthur Penn will be on hand for a retrospective of his work, which includes screenings of Bonnie and Clyde, Night Moves, and The Missouri Breaks.

MUSIC
Harvard Jazz Bands
www.harvardclub.com
617-496-2263
• February 22 at 8 p.m.
  Harvard student jazz combos perform for top prizes. Free and open to the public.

Sanders Theatre
www.boxoffice.harvard.edu
617-496-2222
• January 18 at 8 P.M.
Winter Thaw offers Mendelssohn and Beethoven performed by the Pro Arte Chamber Orchestra.

NATURE AND SCIENCE
The Arnold Arboretum
www.arboretum.harvard.edu
617-524-1718
• January 12, 1-3 P.M.
  The opening reception for Changing Nature, with photographer Erik Gehring, who has been shooting images at the 265-acre site during the last two years. (On March 12 at 6 P.M., Gehring gives a lecture about his work.)

The Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics
www.cfa.harvard.edu/events.html
617-495-7461.
  Lectures and rooftop viewings (weather permitting) on January 17 and February 21 at 7:30 P.M.
  Phillips Auditorium, 60 Garden Street.

Left to right: Layla and Majnun, an Indian opaque watercolor on paper, circa 1725; a wintry scene at the Arnold Arboretum; and dancers with the Taylor 2 Dance Company at the Harvard Dance Center.
LIBRARIES
www.hcl.harvard.edu/libraries
Pusey Library 617-495-2413
• Through January 18
Communicating with Geography offers highlights from the 10,000 colorful map-bearing postcards that were collected over many decades by Siegfried Feller and donated recently to the Harvard Map Collection.
• Cabot Science Library
http://hcl.harvard.edu/libraries/#cabot
617-495-5324 or 496-5534
• Through January 23
Baby Flamingo Has Two Daddies: Sex, Gender, and Sexuality in the Animal Kingdom features biological research suggesting flexibility in sex and gender roles among animals.

EXHIBITIONS
Harvard Museum of Natural History
www.hmnh.harvard.edu
617-495-3045
• February 5 at 6 p.m.
Geneticist and explorer Spencer Wells talks about the history of human migration and the National Geographic Society’s “Genographic Project.”
• February 13 at 5:30 p.m.
Gallery talk with photographer Henry Horenstein, whose Looking at Animals exhibit features haunting close-up images of creatures from both land and sea. Registration required through the Harvard Alumni Association: call 617-495-1920 or e-mail haa_alumnieducation@harvard.edu.
• February 14 at 6 p.m.
The museum’s 2008 Evolution Matters lecture series kicks off with Moore professor of biological anthropology Richard Wrangham, and features other lecturers throughout the spring. For further details, visit the museum’s website, or call 617-495-2773. Free and open to the public.
Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology
www.peabody.harvard.edu
617-496-1027
• Continuing:
Vanished Kingdoms: The Wulsin Photographs of Tibet, China, and Mongolia, 1921–1925.
Semitic Museum
www.fas.harvard.edu/~semitic
617-495-4631
Continuing:
The Houses of Ancient Israel: Domestic, Royal, Divine features a full-scale replica of an Iron Age (ca. 1200–586 B.C.E.) village house.
Fogg Art Museum
617-495-9400/9422
• Through January 31
Contemporary Art from the Harvard Collections examines a range of objects, including works by Ellen Gallagher, Edward Ruscha, Rudolf Stingel, and Sol LeWitt.
• Opening February 28
Long Life Cool: Photographs by Moyra Davey offers 40 works that magnify everyday images and objects often overlooked, such as newspapers, money,
Cambridge...Pristine townhouse on a quiet Agassiz street. Three bedrooms, two baths and a private patio. Central air, and a garage. $779,000

Cambridge...Superbly maintained first-floor two-bedroom condo. Bow windows, high ceilings, and period details. Private storage. $460,000

Cambridge...Gracious fourth-floor, two-bedroom, two-bath condo near Harvard Square. Elevator, resident super, pool, and garage. $624,000

Cambridge...Esplanade. Beautifully renovated corner unit, river, park and city views, 1695 square feet, 2 bedrooms, formal dining room, excellent closet space. $1,399,000


Cambridge...Charming one-bedroom, Back Bay-style brick rowhouse near Harvard Square. Many period details. Two fireplaces. Modern kitchen and bath. $399,000

Cambridge...Esplanade. Beautifully renovated corner unit, river, park and city views, 1695 square feet, 2 bedrooms, formal dining room, excellent closet space. $1,399,000


Cambridge...Esplanade. Beautifully renovated corner unit, river, park and city views, 1695 square feet, 2 bedrooms, formal dining room, excellent closet space. $1,399,000

Cambridge...Desirable three-bedroom plus study and two baths in cooperative. River views and deluxe kitchen. Elevator and storage. $985,000

Cambridge...New construction for Spring! 2 single family townhouses with 3+ bedrooms, 2 1/2 baths. Garage + parking. Yard. River views. $895,000 each

Hammond’s Belmont Office has moved to Belmont Center! Our new address is 84 Leonard Street.

www.hammondre.com
Cambridge, Belmont, Watertown & Somerville
Residential, Commercial, Rentals & Property Management
Cambridge Office 617-497-4400 • Belmont Office 617-484-1900

Reprinted from Harvard Magazine. For more information, contact Harvard Magazine, Inc. at 617-495-5746.
empty bottles, and objects atop a refrigerator.

**Sackler Museum**
617-495-9400/9422
- Through January 20

*Gods in Color: Painted Sculptures of Classical Antiquity* presents full-scale copies of Greek and Roman sculpture whose painted decoration has been painstakingly restored.
- Through January 27

*A Tradition Redefined: Modern and Contemporary Chinese Ink Paintings from the Chu-tsing Collection, 1950-2000* offers 60 works, many never before displayed here.

**LECTURES**

*Radcliffe Institute for Advanced Study*
www.radcliffe.edu
617-495-8600
- February 4 at 4 p.m.
  Author Vivian Gornick talks about “The Rise and Fall of the Jewish-American Novel.”
  Radcliffe Gymnasium, 10 Garden Street.
- February 21 at 5:15 p.m.
  617-495-8647/8600
- February 22 at 2 p.m.

Anyone can give advice about investing. We suggest investing in advice.

Introducing the Cambridge Trust Thought Series.™ It’s hard to put a dollar value on peace of mind. Financial security. Or a trusted advisor. At Cambridge Trust, our approach features the expertise of both an investment officer and a trust officer managing your assets and guiding your financial future. We also offer the Cambridge Trust Thought Series — an engaging series of talks that feature nationally known authors and speakers discussing topics such as wealth and family dynamics, philanthropy, and healthcare. If you’d like to learn more about upcoming Thought Series events, please contact Michael A. Duca, Executive Vice President, at 617-441-1571 or michael.duca@cambridgetrust.com. We look forward to hearing from you.
As board president of Staying Put in New Canaan, Tom Towers, M.B.A. ’64, believes in self-reliance. The Connecticut organization, modeled after Boston’s Beacon Hill Village, provides practical services, classes, and community connections for town residents choosing to grow old in their own homes. “This is about helping ourselves,” says Towers, a retired telecommunications executive. “It means ‘Don’t feel sorry for yourself getting old! Get off your duff and do something about it.’”

Such private, nonprofit groups are springing up in New England and elsewhere in the country as part of the popular movement dubbed “aging in place.” Staying Put opened for membership in October and offers transportation, help with errands, social gatherings and trips, a list of recommended tradespeople and home-healthcare agents, and a resource center for the elderly in a town where more than a third of the 16,000 residents are over the age of 50. Members pay an annual fee—$360 for a single person and $480 for a couple—for access to services. Staying Put will also take on a cadre of high-school volunteers (with their own extracurricular community-service requirements to fulfill) to do things like shovel walkways in the winter or help clean out a basement. “If a member is suddenly in the hospital, for example, we can get someone to walk their dog,” says Staying Put executive director Jane Nyce. “We’re expecting to find a long list of surprising things that people will need.”

The vast majority of seniors in America want to live at home as long as they can, according to AARP surveys. Group discounts on services, a collegial community of peers, and organized support through groups like Staying Put make that understandable desire more feasible than ever before.

The idea is so popular that within the last few years, groups have formed (or are in the planning stages) in Bronxville, New York (and other parts of Westchester County); Greenwich and Darien, Connecticut; Nashua, New Hampshire; Falmouth, Massachusetts; Burlington, Vermont; and Cambridge. Towers and other “senior social entrepreneurs” (as he puts it) are not opposed to assisted-living or retirement communities. But, they say, those places can often feel isolated and homogenous. Cambridge at Home board member Jay Lorsch, Kirstein professor of human relations at Harvard Business School, says the new group “enables us to live in our homes a lot longer than we’d otherwise be able to do—without going into retirement homes, which have be-
Harvard Square – 9-room Colonial Revival townhouse, c. 1895, with landscaped yard on legendary “Professors Row”. Open living/dining with fireplace & bay, Master with bath & doors to deck, library with fireplace, high ceilings, c/a, and garage plus additional space. $1,325,000

Cambridge, MA

This stately Shingle-style/Tudor, c. 1897 is a landmark on Brattle Street. It has 14 rooms, a grand foyer & staircase, 35+ LR, 10 fireplaces, built-ins, leaded & stained glass windows, high ceilings, porches, balcony & stone terrace. 2-car garage with additional parking. $4,300,000

Cambridge, MA

Designed by Carl Koch in 1945, this architecturally significant property has breathtaking views of Boston. The open living/dining room has a fireplace, built-ins, clerestory windows & access to a deck & landscaped grounds. With 4 beds, cozy library w/ fireplace this property is truly a remarkable home. $997,000

Belmont, MA

Mid Cambridge – This charming 2 bed condominium is in a classic brick building with elevator, live-in superintendent and parking. Handsomely renovated it has an open plan, kitchen with Caesar stone counters and stainless steel appliances, hardwood floors, custom built-ins and an elegant bath. $425,000

Cambridge, MA

Renovated penthouse in a turn-of-the-century building – Spacious open living/dining, bays, large eat-in kitchen w/ island, granite counters, stainless appliances & skylight. 2+ Beds, 2 ½ Baths, Master w/ fireplace, deck, 2-car garage & c/a. Near Harvard Yard, “T” and Darwin’s Cafe. $797,000

Cambridge, MA

Located in a historic district, this handsomely renovated 4 bed, 2 ½ bath Victorian is on a street with beautiful turn-of-the-century homes. Period details include a Palladian window, stained & leaded glass, fireplace, coved ceilings and natural woodwork. There is also c/a, a deck, patio, gardens and a garage. $827,000

Somerville, MA

Harvard Square - 9-room Colonial Revival townhouse, c. 1895, with landscaped yard on legendary “Professors Row”. Open living/dining with fireplace & bay, Master with bath & doors to deck, library with fireplace, high ceilings, c/a, and garage plus additional space. $2,195,000

Cambridge, MA

This impressive co-op is a short distance to Harvard Square and one of the largest available in a full-service building in Cambridge. Features of this elegant 9-room, 5 bath unit include a library with built-ins, multiple exposures and 2 large balconies with spectacular river views. $2,195,000

Cambridge, MA

If your property is currently listed with a real estate broker, please disregard. It is not our intention to solicit offerings of other real estate brokers. We are happy to work with them and cooperate fully. Owned and operated by NRT Incorporated. An equal Opportunity Employer Equal Housing Opportunity.

GAIL ROBERTS & TEAM

SOLD

WE HAVE BUYERS READY TO PURCHASE, BUT STILL LACK INVENTORY. DESPITE THE NATIONAL DESPAIR OVER THE HOUSING MARKET, THERE ARE BRIGHT SPOTS; OUR MARKETPLACE BEING ONE. AS A PREMIER MARKETING SPECIALIST, I AM CONTINUALLY LOOKING FOR PROPERTIES TO SATISFY BUYER’S NEEDS. TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THIS EXCELLENT MARKET OF OPPORTUNITY. IF YOU WISH TO SELL OR BUY, PLEASE FEEL FREE TO CALL ME.

gail@roberts.org

gailrobertsrealestate.com

617 245-4044
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come very institutional and, for a lot of people, unpleasant places to be. America being America, most of us have grown children and grandchildren all over the world,” Lorsch adds. “It’s probably true that this is a way of relieving the responsibility of that younger generation to take care of their parents, and of giving older people an option beyond just relying on their kids. This is an alternative to both those things.”

More than 250 people showed up at the Cambridge group’s launch party in October, and about 300 have joined. “We can provide referrals for everything from 24/7 care with nurses to someone who comes by for three hours a week to check blood pressure, or helps put the groceries away,” says executive director Kathy Spirer. (There is a fee structure for services beyond those covered by the basic membership cost.)

Board president Steven J. Stadler ’48, who has lived in his Mount Auburn Street condominium with his wife, Ingrid Stadler, Ph.D. ’59, for more than four decades, says about 40 people went on an October trip to Yale’s art museums. The social component of the program is critical to many seniors, he says: “We’re hoping to facilitate those bonds through exercise classes, walks, yoga, bridge groups, trips, and political luncheons.” So far, he notes, the membership includes a fair number of Harvard affiliates—a big draw.
for others retired from academia or professional lives who are keen on continuing to learn and be intellectually stimulated by their peers. “We’ve had a rapid ramp up,” he adds. “There seems to be a need for this organization in Cambridge, given the big response.”

Beacon Hill Village, which opened six years ago, pioneered this approach to independent living and now counts 430 members. The group has produced a comprehensive founder’s manual and accompanying DVD for agencies and individuals interested in starting their own stay-at-home organizations, and in April hosted an inaugural conference on the subject that drew about 250 people from across New England, the nation, and as far away as Australia. “These villages are literally popping up all over the country,” says executive director Judy Willett. Hot spots include Virginia, Maryland, and Washington, D.C. (including one in the planning stages for the Watergate apartments), and parts of California, New York, and New England (which claims a large chunk of the nation’s elderly population).

According to 2005 U.S. Census projections, by 2030 the six New England states will all be among the 21 states with the highest percentages of residents above the age of 65. The biggest projected jumps are for Maine (which, by 2030, would have the second-highest percentage of elderly people after Florida), New Hampshire (rising from thirty-seventh place to seventeenth place) and Vermont (which will move to eighth place). “By 2030, we expect that one in four people in Vermont will be 65 or older,” says Nancy Eldridge, executive director of Cathedral Square Corporation, a nonprofit senior-housing agency in Burlington. “We’re looking at how Beacon Hill Village might be applicable to Cathedral housing units in Burlington, and to the wider area around us.”

Eldridge is applying for grants to create a business model and gauge interest among local seniors in finding more innovative ways to meet their needs. It is not obvious that the Beacon Hill blueprint, set in a densely packed urban neighborhood with well-heeled clientele, is entirely transferable to Burlington, or to more rural settings, where se-
niors are more spread out geographi-
cally, she says. But there is no doubt the
need for at-home services already exists,
and that demand will only grow—
partly, she explains, because of the 2005
Vermont Medicaid waiver that entitles
every recipient to significant services at
home. But the infrastructure to deliver
such services doesn’t yet exist, and
meanwhile the number of nursing-home
beds is shrinking. “Home healthcare
agencies are doing what they can,” El-
dridge adds, “but they are stretched for
resources, money, and labor, and the en-
titlement doesn’t include the 24/7 care
that nursing homes do, and which many
people need.”

In Nashua, New Hampshire, the for-

profit Life Coping Inc., which provides
case-management assistance to the elder-
ly in their own homes, is planning to in-
augurate a village network in March that
would offer its clients nonmedical and
medical services, such as the care El-
dridge refers to, à la carte. “I think this is

“There will be increased demand for
services and the possibility that there will
not be enough services for everyone.”

 Exactly what the federal and state govern-
ments want: people who are organizing to
care for themselves,” says Ellen Curelop,
president of Life Coping. “For the people
who can pay for these services, it could
not be better.” Such private-pay systems
represent the future “because the aging
population is growing,” she reiterates,
“and there will be increased demand for
services and the possibility that there
will not be enough services for everyone.

Money and long-term care services are al-
ways an issue.”

Staying Put in New Canaan deliber-
ately set membership rates at a relatively
affordable level for its location, and is
building an endowment to help subsi-
dize costs for lower-income seniors.
“This is not an exclusive, elitist organi-
ization,” says director Jane Nyce. “We
want this to have as broad an appeal as
possible.” Elsewhere, rates vary depend-
ing on location and services: Beacon Hill
Village charges $780 per household or
$580 per individual for basic mem-
bership; Greenwich asks $650 per couple
and $500 for an individual; and Cam-
bridge at Home costs $1,200 per couple
and $500 per individual annually. (Memb-
ership fees cover varied services; each
organization differs.)

Whatever the price, it is vastly less
than the equivalent cost of moving into
an assisted-living arrangement. Often
local communities offer a range of gov-
ernment-funded elder-care services (so-

At Brookhaven
lifecare living is as good as it looks.

Brookhaven at Lexington offers an abundance of opportunities for
intellectual growth, artistic expression and personal wellness. Our residents
share your commitment to live a vibrant lifestyle in a lovely community.

Call today for a tour of our model apartment and newly renovated Commons!

A Full-Service Lifecare Retirement Community
www.aboutbrookhaven.org
(781) 863-9660 • (800) 283-1114

Wish you were... HERE

Vacation season is just around the
corner. Reach an audience you can trust
when you advertise your rental property in
the Harvard Magazine Classifieds.

Contact Classifieds at
617-496-6686 or at classifieds@harvard.edu
cial events, senior centers, transportation services, meals) that are available to all, regardless of income. In the affluent suburb of Greenwich, for example, there is plenty of help for senior citizens, says Marylin Chou, president of At Home in Greenwich. “But there is strong resistance to depending on town services,” she adds. “People don’t want to feel they are on the dole or feel that they are needy. There is also a lot of denial of age. I spoke to a 93-year-old doctor who says he doesn’t need any services yet. People don’t want to acknowledge that there may be a problem down the road—or tomorrow.”

For Tom Towers of Staying Put in New Canaan, these are questions of practicality. He and his wife, who have lived in the town for 39 years, sold their big home to one of their sons three years ago and moved into a condominium to live more simply, with fewer worries over maintenance, and to be closer to the center of town. They will use Staying Put services when needed, but, for now, Towers says he has thoroughly enjoyed the stimulating and challenging start-up aspects of the project and contact with others involved with the mission. “I don’t believe getting older need be ‘overwhelming,’ if you don’t feel sorry for yourself and you keep looking for interesting things to do,” he says. “We don’t ask for pity, but rather simply a chance to continue to enjoy life in familiar settings and with friends, old and new. Our notion of ‘Taking care of ourselves’ is very powerful.”

Resources for Staying At Home

At Home in Greenwich
Greenwich, Connecticut
203-863-9655
(no website yet)
Contact: Marylin Chou

Beacon Hill Village
Boston, Massachusetts
617-723-9713
www.beaconhillvillage.org
Contact: Judy Willett

Cambridge at Home
Cambridge, Massachusetts
617-864-1715
www.cambridgeathome.org
Contact: Kathy Spirer

Cathedral Square Corporation
Burlington, Vermont
802-863-2224
www.cathedralsquare.org
Contact: Nancy Eldridge

Gramatan Village
Bronxville, New York
914-337-1338
www.myhometownbronxville.com (then click “Seniors”)
Contact: Julie A. Dalton
(The board president is John Corry, J.D. ’56.)

Staying Put in New Canaan
New Canaan, Connecticut
203-966-7917
http://stayingputnc.org
Contact: Jane Nyce

If you would like to list a property in our March-April issue, contact Myha Nguyen: 617.496.4032

64 Mount Vernon • 1 & 2
OFF BRATTLE STREET
CAMBRIDGE

Sophisticated and luxurious Avon Hill duplexes for the discriminating buyer. Each eight-room condo presents four bedrooms, three baths, a private deck, patio, and parking. Open concept living with a gourmet eat-in kitchen and family room with a fireplace. Exquisite master en suites with custom-tiled four-piece baths.

Offered at $1,600,000 & $1,800,000

Carol Kelly & Myra von Turkovich
Vice Presidents, ABR
2 Brattle Square • Cambridge • MA
617-497-4400 • ext. 241 & 243
carollandmyra@hammondre.com
www.hammondre.com

Carol Kelly & Myra von Turkovich
Vice Presidents, ABR
2 Brattle Square • Cambridge • MA
617-497-4400 • ext. 241 & 243
carollandmyra@hammondre.com
www.hammondre.com

Elegantly renovated with over 1700 square feet. Desirable 3 bedroom & 2.5 bath condo. Sunny eat-in kitchen opens to deck. Large common perennial garden & patio. Steps to Huron Village. Exclusively offered at $749,000

171 Huron Avenue • Cambridge, Mass. 02138
Barbara Currier
Direct: 617-864-8892 ext. 287
800-255-7545 ext. 287
Barbaracurrier@comcast.net
BarbaraCurrier.com
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If you’re 30-plus and want a fun night out with live music and a splash of funk, where do you go? The clubs and bars lining Lansdowne and Boylston streets are out of the question (too many giddy kids, too little substance). Back Bay lacks edge. And the South End’s restaurants serve terrific food, but not much else. Enter the theatrically charged Beehive, which opened in the Boston Center for the Arts on Tremont Street in May.

Creative cocktails top the bistro-style menu of high-end comfort food, such as macaroni and cheese with lobster and asparagus for $20. Burlesque acts or music—jazz, soul, cabaret, or R&B, often featuring fresh-faced performers from the Berklee College of Music—typically start after 9 nightly.

Housed on two levels, the Beehive accommodates 300 people, but downstairs is the place to be. One room, flaunting gothic/bordello décor and red lights, holds café tables and the stage, which is framed with burgundy drapes. The adjacent room has a buzzing bar scene with more of a boiler-room-meets-Parisian-shabby-chic feel: exposed pipes and brick walls contrast with sparkling chandeliers, French doors lead outside, and racks of antlers hang here and there. It’s visually captivating, but can be fairly noisy, especially when the musicians get going.

Named for La Ruche, a hive-shaped artists’ residence in 1900s Paris, the Beehive boasts a waitstaff of artists and performers who cater to a diverse, creative crowd, many of whom are unafraid to don sparkly costumes or other thoroughly original (for Boston) garb. The scene was monitored, in part, by a muscular door guard who strode around in a floor-length leather coat and Secret Service-type earphone.

We wished the food had offered as much intrigue. It was inconsistent, as if some inexperienced actors had been cast in this otherwise ravishing production.

Things started off very well. The charcuterie board ($15) featured grilled kielbasa and three sumptuous slabs of pâté (duck, and combinations of pork, chicken, and goose) served with chewy peasant bread and pickled onions. The cubed beet salad with fresh arugula ($10) had the right balance of blue cheese and candied walnut bits in a surprisingly delicate vinaigrette. Also satisfying were the tender and gamey pork dumplings ($9) with disks of spicy radish and an apple-curry aioli.

The entrées we ordered (we didn’t try them all) lacked such interest or nuance. The paella ($23) had the usual suspects: mussels, chorizo, peppers, clams, and shrimp. But apart from the stellar sausage, the flavors were indistinct (no safron detected), and the rice was soggy. The much too salty roasted organic salmon ($23) arrived over dowdy buttered polenta with a pile of leggy watercress.

For dessert, we’d recommend the lemon crème brûlée ($7) drizzled with pomegranate juice. But the starchy, faintly oily carrot-coconut bread pudding ($7) was bland, and the swirl of grainy cream cheese on top looked unappetizingly like mustard. We longed for a warm, eggy pudding with incisive, whiskey-spiked hard sauce.

Despite these disappointments, the Beehive is a welcome addition for those of us hungry for a vibrant restaurant that can showcase the city’s fledgling musical and theatrical talents and feed its maturing artistic patrons.

The South End’s new late-night restaurant offers dramatic flair.

THE BEEHIVE
541 Tremont Street
Boston (South End)
617-423-0069
www.beehiveboston.com
Open daily, 5:30 p.m. to 1 a.m. Saturday and Sunday brunch. Reservations recommended.
At the end of Pink Martini’s Carnegie Hall debut this past June, a conga line broke out in the audience and bounced its way up and down one of the aisles. Even in the venerable hall’s tiered balconies, people were on their feet, clapping and dancing. “Our music is permission to escape into a romantic world,” says lead singer China Forbes ’92.

That music—much of it written either by Forbes or by bandleader Thomas Lauderdale ’92—represents a kind of reverse globalization. Rather than impose one style everywhere, it draws from nearly everywhere, ranging from Edith Piaf-style torch songs to Brazilian sambas to Gershwin standards; the lyrics are in Spanish, French, Italian, Japanese, Arabic, and Portuguese, as well as English. With strings, percussion, two horn players, and Forbes’s clear, luminous voice, the 12-piece pop orchestra has a lush, energetic sound, with the sweep of a Hollywood musical from the 1940s or ’50s (www.pinkmartini.com). It’s a mix different from almost anything else out there, and audiences are responding: Pink Martini’s first two albums sold more than a million copies combined, and its recently released third album, *Hey Eugene!,* entered Amazon’s bestseller list at number one.

The New York Times headlined its review of the Carnegie Hall concert “Grab a Cocktail and Listen to the Vintage-Chic Band.” Replace “Cocktail” with “Coffee,” and that headline—with its offbeat allure—could have been a handwritten sign from 1992 advertising a musical night at Café Mardi in the Adams House lower common room. The founder of Café Mardi, and of Pink Martini, has never had trouble attracting an audience. With his platinum-blond hair, bow tie (something he gave up after college), and cross-dressing, Thomas Lauderdale has always cut a flamboyant figure. (He threw the last, and perhaps most famous, party in the now-remodeled-out-of-existence Adams House pool: “In walks the senior tutor—lots of naked people in the pool, at 3 A.M., with the lights off,” he confesses with an impish grin.) When not in the pool, Lauderdale, a classically

Stirred, Shaken, and Sung

*Pink Martini—musicians who don’t “know enough to be scared”* by HOWARD AXELROD
trained pianist, could often be found late at night accompanying Forbes on Puccini and Verdi arias. But he never envisioned that a band would grow out of their collaboration. “I was more concerned with throwing parties,” he says. “With being the cruise-ship director of Adams House.”

His cruise-ship-director talents—energy, organization, and a penchant for fun—have served him and Pink Martini well. Indeed, the band’s appeal is not unlike that of the cruise ship: just subtract the surfeit of dining options and the shuffleboard, and enable the ship to travel at high speed through time as well as space. Of writing lyrics in several languages and drawing on the musical styles of different eras, Lauderdale says, “It frees us from the fear of sentimentality. It’s like a kid playing the piano—he doesn’t know enough to be scared.”

Pink Martini’s success has surprised many, and that includes Lauderdale himself. “When the band first started, I was wearing cocktail dresses and we were playing ‘I Dream of Jeannie,’” he recalls. After graduation, Forbes moved to New York City to launch a career as a singer-songwriter, while Lauderdale returned to his hometown of Portland, Oregon, hoping to get involved in politics—he aspired to become mayor someday. While working on a campaign to keep homosexuality legal in the state, he booked bands for political fundraisers; one weekend, in desperate

---

**Off the Shelf**

Recent books with Harvard connections

The Complete Fables of Jean de La Fontaine, translated by Norman R. Shapiro ’51, Ph.D. ’58 (University of Illinois, $80 cloth, $25 paper). The Wesleyan professor—who commutes from Cambridge and writes in Adams House—gives in to his La Fontaine addiction. Hence, “No doubt the first to see a camel/Fled from the unfamiliar mammal,” and other delights.

From Higher Aims to Hired Hands: The Social Transformation of American Business Schools and the Unfulfilled Promise of Management as a Profession, by Rakesh Khurana, Ph.D. ’98, associate professor of business administration (Princeton, $35). Management is an institution—but is it a profession like law or medicine? Is the M.B.A. a professional degree, or simply a license to make lots of money? Khurana explores the “delegation of managerial authority” and the “abandonment of the professionalization project in business schools,” and asks whether such schools can “take their future success for granted” or are, perhaps, on the verge of “reinvention.”

Tell Borges If You See Him: Tales of Contemporary Somnambulism, by Peter LaSalle ’69 (University of Georgia, $24.95). This third collection of short stories, winner of the Flannery O’Connor Award for Short Fiction, begins familiarly enough: “I was supposed to meet Emily later that night in the old Hayes-Bickford Cafeteria right there on Massachusetts Avenue in Harvard Square…”

Babies by Design: The Ethics of Genetic Choice, by Ronald M. Green, Ph.D. ’73 (Yale, $26). The author, who professes ethics at Dartmouth and advises Advanced Cell Technology on the ethics of stem-cell research, charts a path toward “the responsible introduction of reproductive innovations” emerging from labs.


The First Campaign: Globalization, the Web, and the Race for the White House, by Garrett M. Graff ’03 (Farrar Straus and Giroux, $24). The author, formerly a Ledecky Undergraduate Fellow at this magazine, posits a 2008 election about, and shaped by, globalization and information technology.

The Short Book, written and illustrated by Zachary Kanin ’05 (Black Dog & Leventhal, $9.95 paper). The height-challenged author, a former Lampoon president, reaches out to others who, like him, deal with their stature every day “and sometimes at night.”

The Modern Element: Essays on Contemporary Poetry, by Adam Kirsch ’97 (Norton, $24.95). Collected critical essays, on subjects ranging from Jorie Graham to Billy Collins, by one of this magazine’s contributing editors; in these pages, he has written most recently about Seamus Heaney and W. H. Auden.
need of an opening band for a show, he called up his old friend Forbes. “I just fired the singer; we have these really big shows coming up this weekend, and I want to fly you to Portland,” Forbes remembers him saying. With the Pink Martini sheet music in hand—Lauderdale had FedEx’d all the songs to her—she boarded the plane.

Forbes soon signed on full-time, but the band did not find its niche easily. For one thing, traveling with so many musicians is expensive. Without the backing of a major label (the band’s albums are on its own label, Heinz Records, named after Lauderdale’s dog), Forbes and Lauderdale had to think unconventionally. Unable to cut expenses, they increased revenue instead by performing where they were most popular: in Europe.

The band got its first big break in 1997, when the release of its version of Edith Piaf’s “Je ne veux pas travailler” (“I don’t want to work”) serendipitously coincided with French legislation that shortened the number of hours in that country’s workweek. The selection was nominated for “Song of the Year,” and the band for “Best New Artist” in France’s version of the Grammys, the Victoires de la Musique awards. (The song is still popular there, having run in a Citroën commercial.) With its multilingual lyrics and diverse influences, Pink Martini soon attracted a following across the continent.

The band’s success in Europe prompted American symphony orchestras to extend invitations. Since its debut with the Oregon Symphony in 1998 (Lauderdale has been friends with then-music director Norman Leyden since high school), Pink Martini has performed with the Boston Pops, the Los Angeles Philharmonic, and other companies across the country. Although “it’s not really in young people’s repertoire to go see symphony orchestras,” Lauderdale says, the sophisticated fun of Pink Martini’s sets has drawn a mixed crowd of old and young, turning such collaborations into an appealing way for the orchestras to reach a new demographic.

After their Carnegie Hall performance and an appearance on The Late Show with David Letterman, Pink Martini continued its North American tour to promote Hey Eugene! with stops in Montreal, Chicago, Los Angeles, and many places in between. “There’s nothing better than a public library, a used-record store, and meeting strangers in dark alleys,” says Lauderdale of his life as bandleader. Although the stage doors of leading concert halls don’t always open onto dark alleys, Lauderdale has certainly become cruise-ship director once again.

Howard Axelrod ’95, a contributor to the New York Times Magazine, is writing a memoir.

Sophomores, Sex, Soap

Students steam up the small screen with Harvard melodramas.

by ANDREA MCCARREN

Lisa nests into the folds of Adam’s grey fleece jacket, her hand entwined in his. The two lovers share a park bench and an uncertain future—their budding romance, forbidden. Adam is a wide-eyed freshman from South Dakota; Lisa, a sophisticated and shapely junior from New York. But she is also his peer advising fellow, an upperclass student chosen to offer academic and social guidance to freshmen. By the rules of academia, their relationship is taboo.

Nevertheless, hormones rule on days like this. They’ve slipped away from roommates to this quiet spot overlooking the Charles River. Adam leans in toward Lisa and places a soft kiss on her forehead.

Photograph by Sherri Diteman

Reprinted from Harvard Magazine. For more information, contact Harvard Magazine, Inc. at 617-495-5746.
With its muted hues and pine floorboards, the store resembles a medieval library with a blinking Apple iMac on the counter. Inventory at James and Devon Gray Booksellers ranges from early editions of Machiavelli’s *The Prince* and Robert Burton’s *The Anatomy of Melancholy* to a book of hours, a 200-page, personal devotional volume from 1380, for which the Grays are asking $185,000.

The couple founded their Harvard Square business when Devon Gray, A.L.B. ’92, G ’92, was still working on her bachelor’s degree in the Harvard Extension School. They trade exclusively in volumes printed before 1700, an unusual niche in which scarcity and the literary desires of wealthy collectors generally dictate prices. These old books are hardly delicate. With their thick leather covers and acid-free paper, they endure as long as they’re kept dry and out of direct sun.

The Grays once owned a book that had escaped the devastating 1764 fire that destroyed Harvard’s original library. It was the third volume of a history of England that one Thomas Bannister had bequeathed to the College more than five decades before the blaze. When Devon Gray bought the book, she noticed it was an “odd volume,” missing its mates, and bore a startling inscription on the front endpaper: “Bibliothecae Harv; Lib; 1709 22;1;8,” the Harvard shelf number. The book had either been stolen, checked out, or gone missing at the time of the fire.

“With a check at the Harvard Archives, I was able to view the original manuscript records,” Devon says. “My book had been checked out of the library at the time of the fire, and thereby survived. Now that volume is back at Houghton [Harvard’s rare-book library], where it belongs.”

Antiquarian bookselling is a handshake business among trusted merchants. The Grays acquire everything they deal in from Western Europe, and first offer every item to the national library of its country of origin. Books arrive at their Arrow Street emporium via bonded international courier. (Interpol has all but stamped out any black market in rare, old books; each volume comes with a mini-passport detailing its provenance.)

Sixteen years ago, Roger Stoddard, then curator of rare books at the Harvard College Library, challenged Devon, who was studying English with him, to go into the business. “He romanticized the good old days of bookselling,” James recalls, “and asked, ‘Why can’t we do that now?’ ”

When Devon—inspired by Stoddard and the curator of manuscripts, Rodney Dennis—began scouring auctions and book fairs on weekends to create a collection, bankrolled by about $8,000 in borrowed start-up capital, James was still working in industrial equipment sales. But soon she was reselling her acquisitions to Houghton and to other universities’ rare-book collections. Once the shop opened, James, who trained in anthropology and is something of an autodidact, worked mainly in the store while Devon focused on the catalogs and book repair.

Their worldwide clientele includes plenty of Harvard alumni and faculty. The Grays hire undergraduates for jobs in the store and use graduate students as overseas book scouts. Customers such as professor of the history of science Mario Biagioli and Baird professor of history Mark Kishlansky use the shop for research and updates about what is on market. Harvard librarians like Peter Accardo, the acquisitions bibliographer at Houghton, stop by for a sense of what they should be buying; he says the shop “is a very important asset to our acquisition team.”

Intricate thumbnail book descriptions—critical to the bookseller’s trade—distinguish the 30 or so artful catalogs the Grays have published (available at graybooksellers.com). Their largest thematic compendium to date, a forthcoming 150-page volume of poetic works, offers texts by Chaucer, Shakespeare, Donne, and Milton.

Today James still runs the store and Devon handles bookbinding and repairs. She tends to the artifacts with animal-hide glue and wheat paste, leather, unbleached linen thread, and a paring knife. “A book is an object,” she points out, “though the importance of the book is the text.”

 Shaun Sutner is a reporter for the Worcester Telegram & Gazette.
“Cut!” The mood is interrupted by Andrew Wesman ’10, an intense student from San Francisco. He paces behind a digital camera on a tripod, occasionally raking an anxious hand through his mop of hair. “All right. That’s it,” he declares.

Wesman was directing an episode of *Ivory Tower*, which is produced and performed by a team of 40 Harvard undergraduates, that October 2006 afternoon on the river. One of the nation’s oldest campus soap operas, the show premiered in the spring of 1994; fittingly, it has faced ups and downs since.

The structure differs in some ways from nationally broadcast soaps. “There isn’t any continuity of characters or plots across seasons,” says Stephen Black ’07, last year’s executive director, who provided guidance to the individual episode directors. “Each year has a brand new cast of characters, and usually a somewhat different feel.” The stories do continue across episodes within each season. During the 2006-07 academic year, the students made a record-setting five episodes that formed a larger whole. “It’s really up to the executive director to set the tone for the year’s show,” says Black, “and what I went for this past year was a realistic drama/comedy that would accurately reflect the Harvard experience.”

Yet, a soap opera—at Harvard?

“When Andrew and I first learned about it at the freshman activities fair, I thought it was goofy to be working on a Harvard soap opera,” says Harry Rima...

---

**You prepared for a little heartburn.**

**You got a heart attack.**

**Prepare for the Unexpected**

You may think you’ve packed everything, but will you be prepared for a medical emergency while traveling? MEDEX, the leading international travel emergency and insurance expert, partnered with Harvard Medical International to create MEDEX 360° Global Medical Monitor, a medical information service for travelers and expatriates. You can now order a comprehensive report that details a country’s overall medical situation – necessary immunizations, access to healthcare, and cultural differences. Prepare for the unexpected when you use MEDEX’s pre, during and post travel assistance.

Call MEDEX at 1-800-586-0747 or visit www.MEDEXassist.com/harvardmag
lower ‘10, from Los Angeles, director of photography for the Adam/Lisa episode. “Sort of antithetical.”

But the campus is brimming with material, and Ivory Tower tackles some of its most sensitive issues: the breakup of a first relationship, dealing with a gay roommate, failing to make the Lampoon, losing one’s virginity, getting drunk for the first time, and experimenting with drugs, including the popular “study drug” Adderall. “Ivyower gives a glimpse into the real lives of Harvard students,” says Black. “The sex is very awkward, which we think is a true reflection of Harvard. It’s not exactly a school with a reputation for being sexual.”

“The sex is very awkward, which we think is a true reflection of Harvard.”

Black also remembers how lonely he felt at the start of his undergraduate career, when he and a roommate sat in their dorm reassuring each other that they didn’t need to get drunk like some of their peers to have a good time. He envied minority students who had special gatherings organized for them during freshman orientation week, and recalls asking his roommate, “Don’t you wish you were black or Asian, so you had a group to fit in with?” That line was one of many freshman-year experiences to end up in Ivory Tower.

“The world’s perception of Harvard is that the students are extremely cocky and self-assured,” says Black. “I had a friend from another school who expected everyone to be wearing ascots and smoking pipes. But when it comes right down to it, a lot of kids are unsure of themselves and looking for their place to fit in.”

“We’re trying to draw the soap from the drama in kids’ lives,” says executive producer Eve Lebwohl ’08, of Long Island. “There’s no need to create any kind of external situation.” Among those dramas: an episode from last year’s Ivory Tower in which another freshman, Maddie, sleeps with an upperclassman in a steamy scene in Quincy House. Though Maddie is a virgin, she is prepared for the moment, as the viewer learns when she deftly extracts a condom from her purse on the night table.

“There are a lot of threads about relationships,” says Wesman. “In one episode, we have the juxtaposition of two lovers who are starting something very serious, very beautiful, and then, two [others] who are just hooking up, something very superficial.” Recalling the intense episode with Maddie, Rimalower adds, “It gets pretty risqué. That quasi-sex scene was an interesting task to film.”

Soap operas are emerging at schools across the country. Boston College spoofed the television series The O.C. with a drama entitled The B.C. Columbia University produces The Gates. Northwestern students created University Place.

The crew of Ivory Tower uploads each episode to Google Video and YouTube, in addition to posting the shows on their own website: www.ivorytowersoap.com. The first Ivory Tower show of the 2006-07 season, released that October, racked up nearly 7,000 views on Google Video. (This season's first episode was scheduled to be posted by mid December.) Most students watch the show on-line in their rooms, but each episode also debuts formally in Boylston Hall’s Fong Auditorium, generally attracting a full house.

Although Harvard’s Office for the Arts helps fund the show, the students primarily use their personal cameras and recording equipment. Training for the crew is on-the-job, and newcomers are welcomed: Ivory Tower’s creators come from a wide variety of concentrations, hometowns, and socioeconomic backgrounds.

The show’s popularity has meant that its on-camera stars now find themselves recognized on campus—but they are most concerned with the impressions of a few key viewers. “They’ll be in a scene where they’re either cursing or making out with someone,” says Lebwohl, “and they’ll be like, ‘I can’t do that on camera. My mom’s watching at home!’ ” “I already told my dad he can’t watch the second episode,” says actress Julia Gudish ’10, her lip gloss catching the sunlight. “I don’t want my dad to see me kiss anyone. Ever.” And Andrea McCarren, an investigative reporter with WJLA-TV in Washington, D.C., was a 2007 Nieman Fellow at Harvard.

Reprinted from Harvard Magazine. For more information, contact Harvard Magazine, Inc. at 617-495-5746.
2008 TRIPS

RIVER CRUISES
PRINCELY DOMAINS: ELBE RIVER CRUISE ON THE NY KATHARINA MAY 5 - 17, 2008 ALICE DELANA
NORMANDY TO PARIS: CULTURAL HERITAGE ON CEZANNE JUN 3 - 14, 2008 HARVEY COX & NINA TUMARKIN
SWISS COLLECTIONS AND LANDSCAPES OF THE RHINE ON RIVER CLOUD JUN 3 - 14, 2008

ANCIENT & IMPERIAL RUSSIA ON VOLGA DREAM JUL 12 - 26, 2008 MARSHALL & MERLE GOLDMAN
VOYAGE THROUGH THE DANUBE’S MUSICAL HERITAGE ON CASANOVA SEP 19 - 29, 2008

RAIL JOURNEYS
SOUTHERN AFRICA RAIL JOURNEY ABOARD ROVOS RAIL APR 30 - MAY 15, 2008 MICHAEL SHINAGEL
EDINBURGH AND THE ROYAL SCOTSMAN: TEES & TOURS JUN 2 - 10, 2008
TRANS-MONGOLIA BY PRIVATE TRAIN JULY 9 - 22, 2008 HARVEY COX AND NINA TUMARKIN
CELEBRATING PALLADIO: 500 YEARS LATER SEP 24 - OCT 4, 2008 JAMES ACKERMAN

LAND
SECRETS OF ANCIENT AND CONTEMPORARY EGYPT MAR 19 - APR 2, 2008 ALI ASANI
VILLAGE LIFE IN DORDOGNE APR 24 - MAY 2, 2008 TOM & VERENA CONLEY
CHINA: HIGHLIGHTS OF THE MIDDLE KINGDOM FEATURING YANGTZÉ RIVER CRUISE JUN 8 - 21, 2008
CANADIAN HELI-HIKING AND MOUNTAIN RETREAT JUL 17 - 25, 2008
VILLAGE LIFE IN THE ITALIAN LAKE DISTRICT SEP 6 - 14, 2008 SUE WEAVER SCHOPF
HIGHLIGHTS OF THE SILK ROAD AND CENTRAL ASIA SEP 6 - 24, 2008 MERLE & MARSHALL GOLDMAN
CHANGING FACES OF JORDAN OCT 11 - 21, 2008
ULTIMATE SOUTHERN AFRICA OCT 15 - 30, 2008 TIMOTHY MCCARTHY
ISRAEL: PAST AND PRESENT OCT 22 - NOV 1, 2008
SOUTH AMERICAN SPECTACULAR NOV 2 - 17, 2008 LOUISE RICHARDSON

SPORTS PROGRAMS
THE KENTUCKY DERBY MAY 1 - 4, 2008
EUROPEAN DRIVING ADVENTURE MAY 23 - 31, 2008
RYDER CUP GOLF TOURNAMENT IN KENTUCKY SEP 19 - 22, 2008

OCEAN CRUISES
GARDENS OF THE CARIBBEAN ON SEA CLOUD II FEB 1 - 8, 2008 MICHAEL WESHAN
A WORLD LEADERS SYMPOSIUM: EAST ASIA ON SILVER WHISPER MAR 5 - 20, 2008 ROGER PORTER, GEORGE H.W. BUSH, WILLIAM PERRY, JONATHAN SPENCE
AFRICA’S ROMAN LEGACY: ALGERIA, TUNISIA, GRANADA, AND MALTA ON WIND SPIRIT APR 3 - 15, 2008 DAVID MITTEN
ISLAND WORLD OF THE MEDITERRANEAN ON CALLISTO APR 5 - 16, 2008
CANARY ISLANDS AND THE ALGARVE ON LE DIAMANT APR 23 - MAY 1, 2008 CORNELIS “KASE” KLEIN
GREECE BY LAND AND SEA MAY 7 - 17, 2008 ELINI ZACHARIOU
HISTORIC CITIES OF THE SEA ABOARD CORINTHIAN II MAY 20 - JUN 2, 2008 GREGORY NAGY
BALTIC SEA AND NORWAY FJORDS CRUISE ON LE DIAMANT JUN 15 - 27, 2008 JOSEPH MARTIN
A CRUISE THROUGH THE CELTIC LANDS ABOARD LE DIAMANT AUG 15 - 26, 2008 CATHERINE MCKENNA
THE ANCIENT SHORES OF TURKEY ON CALLISTO AUG 21 - 30, 2008 GREGORY NAGY
CIRCUMNAVIGATION OF THE BLACK SEA ON LE LEVANT SEP 3 - 16, 2008
ADRIATIC ODYSSEY ON SEA CLOUD II SEP 18 - OCT 1, 2008
ISLAND LIFE IN ANCIENT GREECE ON LE DIAMANT SEP 21 - OCT 1, 2008 GRAEME BIRD
RETRACING THE AENEID THROUGH THE MEDITERRANEAN OCT 10 - 21, 2008 FRANCESCA SCHIRONI
AUSTRALIA’S GREAT BARRIER REEF ON CORAL PRINCESS II OCT 15 - 25, 2008 MARK VAN BRALEN
NEW ZEALAND BY LAND AND SEA ON ORION NOV 9 - 21, 2008 DAVID AGUILAR
ANTARCTICA, SOUTH GEORGIA AND THE FALKLAND ISLANDS ON LE DIAMANT NOV 15 - DEC 6, 2008 KAREL LIEM

CITYSCAPES
ART AND ARCHITECTURE OF BERLIN AND DRESDEN MAY 12 - 18, 2008 MONIQUE RINERE
REYKJAVIK, ICELAND JUL 16 - 23, 2008 PATRICIA CRAIG
MEXICO CITY ART AND ARCHITECTURE OCT 15 - 19, 2008

FAMILY PROGRAMS
FAMILY TANZANIA SAFARI JUN 22 - JUL 4, 2008 DANIEL LIEBERMAN
GALAPAGOS AND THE HIGHLANDS OF ECUADOR JUN 29 - JUL 10, 2008
FAMILY VOYAGE TO THE LANDS OF GODS AND HEROES ON CORINTHIAN II JUN 29 - JUL 10, 2008 GREGORY NAGY

CALL 800.422.1636 OR EMAIL HAATTRAVELS@HARVARD.EDU
FOR DETAILED PROGRAM INFORMATION VISIT HTTP://POST.HARVARD.EDU/TRAVEL

BOOK YOUR NEXT TRIP

Reprinted from Harvard Magazine. For more information, contact Harvard Magazine, Inc. at 617-495-5746.
Improbable as it may seem, James D. Watson—the co-discoverer (with Francis Crick) of the structure of DNA—has written a Book of Manners: the most recent contribution to a genre that stretches from Baldassare Castiglione’s The Courtier in the sixteenth century and Francis Osborne’s Advice to a Son, or, Directions for Your Better Conduct in the seventeenth century to Santiago Ramón y Cajal’s Advice for a Young Investigator of 1897 and Peter Medawar’s Advice to a Young Scientist of 1979. But Watson’s most pertinent model must be F. M. Cornford’s incomparable instruction manual to the aspiring academic politician, Microcosmographia academica: “I shall take it,” the Cambridge classicist wrote in 1908, “that you are in the first flush of ambition, and just beginning to make yourself disagreeable. You think (do you not?) that you have only to state a reasonable case, and people must listen to reason and act upon it at once. It is just this conviction that makes you so unpleasant.”

When his best-selling The Double Helix was published in 1968, some commentators took it as evidence that Watson, formerly Harvard’s Cabot professor of the natural sciences, didn’t have any manners. Evolutionary biologist Richard Lewontin, professor of biology and Agassiz professor of zoology in the Museum of Comparative Zoology emeritus, wrote that Watson’s warts-and-more “personal account” of the discovery of the structure of DNA had “debased the currency of his own life” and molecular biologist Robert Sinsheimer, chancellor emeritus at the University of California, Santa Cruz, said that Watson had painted a picture of the scientific endeavor as a “clawing climb up a slippery slope, impeded by the authority of fools, to be made with cadged data...with malice toward most and charity toward none.”

In fact, Watson’s reputation for poor manners long preceded The Double Helix. Alluding to bruising encounters in the 1950s over the proper agenda for Harvard biology, entomologist E.O. Wilson, now Pellegrino University Professor emeritus, famously called Watson “the most unpleasant human being I had ever met.” But anyone who has got to the top of his disciplinary greasy pole—and Watson won his full professorship at Harvard at 30, and his Nobel Prize at 34, for work done when he was only 24—can be reckoned to know a thing or two about how to get on and up in the world of science, and so each chapter of this autobiography is identified by the “Manners” appropriate for various aspects of scientific life, and each is wrapped up by a series of “Remembered Lessons” on how to behave: “Manners Needed for Important Science,” “Manners Required for Academic Civility,” “Manners Deployed for Academic Zing,” “Manners Maintained When Reluctantly Leaving Harvard.”

The big lessons that Watson wants young scientists to learn were already clear in The Double Helix: be charming (when it suits), but be bloody-minded (when it’s necessary); do not suffer fools, and, indeed, make sure they know that they’re fools; if you are absolutely certain that you are absolutely right, then crush the opposition. All’s fair in love and lab. If you’re really good at science, and if you stand up for what’s right, you’ll inevitably make enemies, since, as Jonathan Swift said, “When a true genius appears in the world, you may know him by this sign, that the dunces are all in confederacy against him.” So, in dwelling on his 20 years at Harvard—from 1956 to 1976—Watson variously describes scientific colleagues and administrators as “dinosaurs,” “fossilized,” “vapid,” “mediocre,” “deadbeats,” some not even “has-beens.”

Watson’s campaign for Harvard backing the new molecular biology, and downgrading its investment in organismic biology, was the occasion for applying some of his most deeply felt “lessons”: “multicellu-
lar organisms were best put on the back-
burner" until advances were made at a
molecular and single-cell level. Develop-
mental and plant biology were just “tired
games,” and the sooner they went away,
the better: “Never offer tenure to practi-
tioners of dying disciplines.” Watson lec-
tured to undergraduates “Against Embry-
ology,” infuriating many of his colleagues,
“But to sugar-coat science that is going
nowhere ill prepares students for their fu-
tures.” Watson was quite serious about
this: E. O. Wilson recalls that at one de-
partment meeting, Watson announced that
“Anyone who would hire an ecologist is
out of his mind.”

Watson became a celebrity because of
the brilliant science he did as a very young
man, but only about 30 pages of this book
track back to those glorious few years
with Francis Crick in England. Apart from
a brief eulogy on Harvard’s recent insti-
tutional turmoil, the book essentially
breaks off with his departure from Har-
vard in 1976, leaving room for a sequel that
might, for example, deal with Watson’s
role in the Human Genome Project, which
goes unmentioned here. And there’s no
way that Avoid Boring People can match The
Double Helix for taut drama. A story about a
great scientific discovery ends in triumph,
but a story of a life necessarily ends in
some sort of pathos—at most, a contented
life lived in the fading glow of early tri-
umph, all the more so since Watson seems
to believe—against an abundance of coun-
ter-examples—that molecular biolo-
gists’ best years are behind them by age 40.

Some of the rest of Boring deals with the
outstanding problems in gene regulation
that became so clearly framed once the
double-helical model of DNA was em-
braced, and with the links forged between
molecular biology and cancer research.
These were no mere mopping-up opera-
tions, and enormous ingenuity was needed
to flesh out what Crick called the “Central
Dogma” of molecular biology: DNA codes
for RNA which in turn codes for proteins,
and the process does not work in the re-
verse direction. But most of Boring is either
personal—and other reviewers can have
their say about the “full-bodied blond
bombshells,” “wisps of pale, fragile flesh,”
and “petite, well-shaped” socialites,
princesses, and Radcliffe students who
troop through its pages—or it is about the
ever-increasing amounts of time and en-
ergy that Watson devoted to scientific ad-

Porter University Professor Helen Vendler grew up with her mother’s poetry books,
which “stopped with the Victorians.” It was not until she was 22 that she read Yeats’s
work and “was astonished by it.” She felt too young to write her dissertation on the
poems; now, in Our Secret Discipline: Yeats and Lyric Form (Harvard, $35), she feels “it is
not absurd” to do so.

I knew that someone who was 22
could not write convincingly on the
emotions and motives of someone
who wrote until he was 73. Perhaps, I
thought, once I had lived through the
stages of life that had, in Yeats, produced
the great late poems, I might aim to write about them….

yes, Yeats’s style was the
most important of his qualities, since it
was what would make the poems last.
Yeats himself said, after all, “Books live
almost entirely because of their style.”
To undertake a book that was taxonom-
ically focused on Yeats’s lyric styles was
not entirely what I wanted to do…but it
was what needed to be done….

I have put myself here in the position
of the writer of the poems, attempting
to track his hand and mind as he
writes. I do not, therefore, argue with
Yeats’s ideological or aesthetic posi-
tions (which in any case changed over
time, and were never anything but
complex; as my teacher John Kelleher
once said, “Yeats is a poet who moved,
like General Sherman, on a wide and
constantly shifting front”). I take as my
defense for this position Yeats’s re-
marks in a 1927 letter: “…Schopen-
hauer can do no wrong in my eyes—I
no more quarrel with his errors than I
do with a mountain cataract [sic].
Error is but the abyss into which he
precipitates his truth.” Here, as I com-
ment on a poem, I aim to follow the
poet’s creative thinking as it motivates
the evolution of the poem. Nor do I
want to argue with the poems; poems
are not position papers. They do not exist
on the same plane as actual life; they
are not votes, they are not uttered
from a podium or pulpit, they are not
eas. They are products of rever-
ie…Each poem is a new personal ven-
ture made functional by technical ex-
pertise; the poet’s moral urgency in
writing is as real, needless to say, as his
technical skill, but
moral urgency alone never made a
poem. On the other hand, technical ex-
pertise alone does not suffice, either.
Form is the necessary and skilled emb-
bodiment of the poet’s moral urgency,
the poet’s method of self-revelation….

Yeats asserted (in his elegy for the
painter Robert Gregory) that the gaz-
ing heart “doubled its might” by having
recourse to the artist’s “secret disci-
pline” of form. He singled out “…that
d Stern colour and that delicate line”—
an emotional palette and structural
draftsmanship—as the ingredients of
that “secret discipline.” In poetry, as in
all the arts, “the gazing heart” remains
the center, but it doubles its might by
its own proper means: diction,
prosody, structural evolution, a sense
of perfected shape.
administration, university politics, and facilitating others’ research. And, surprisingly, it’s in these connections that some of Watson’s “lessons” are most perceptive.

So, for example, Watson notes that many intellectual conflicts in science play themselves out as contests over the control of physical space. Salaries are important, but space is even more important, since it’s a publicly visible sign of your standing and power: “Always buy adjacent property that comes up for sale”; “Be prepared to resign over inadequate space”; “In the Darwinian world of an academic department, if you don’t create such crises, limited resources will surely go to the room.” And most fascinating of all: “Science is highly social.” Watson is quite right here: science is social—you schmooze or you lose. And, although both The Double Helix and Boring are superficially about the survival of the scientific fittest, both offer overwhelming evidence that scientific discovery, conventionally assigned to one or a few individuals, has its authentic origins in the dense, unplanned, even irretrievable interactions among many individuals. The “weak ties” represented by an overheard passing remark—perhaps even from a member of a “dying discipline”—may turn out to be as important in the creative process as the “strong ties” connecting an individual with teachers and like-minded colleagues.

In just this sense, “James Dewey Watson” is both a unique individual, endowed by nature with huge scientific talents, and a fortuitous historical trajectory among many institutions and the scientists who lived in them, notably including fine scientists less ambitious, abrasive, and competitive than he is. Lucky Jim, indeed. But if it’s true that science is such a social activity, then Watson has inadvertently made a strong argument for why combative individualism may not always be such a constructive pose. Watson is large; he contains contradictions.

Lucky Jim is also unexpectedly traditional, and even Romantic, Jim. Boring is a voiding of long-stored rheum at Harvard and its obstructionist ways: “F__ Harvard and f__ Pusey,” Watson puritanically recalls himself thinking when President Pusey called him back from an unapproved trip to California, and the wound of then-Faculty of Arts and Sciences dean Franklin L. Ford’s denial of a $1,000 raise after winning the Nobel Prize still festers more than 40 years on. Commenting on one of Harvard’s present-day scientific fault-lines, Watson rakes Larry Summers over the coals for what he sees as misguided infatuation with “translational” research and the commercializing impulses embodied in the “almost Soviet-style fantasy” of the plan for Allston science. For Watson, it’s the pure science of Divinity Avenue on which Harvard should concentrate its resources and which is the guardian of Harvard’s soul.

But as much as Boring is payback time for Harvard, it’s a love-song to the University of Chicago, where Watson was a bird-watching undergraduate, where he reveled in courses on literature, history, philosophy, and sociology, and where President Robert M. Hutchins presided over an institution and a curriculum designed to produce graduates capable of critical thought and morally compelled to use those critical capacities—damn the consequences.

Chicago, unlike Harvard, was “virtually an officers’ training school for intellectuals,” and it was at Chicago, Watson recalls, that he “learned the need to be forthright and call crap crap.” It is not, however, a skill entirely unknown at Harvard.

Marcia Chellis requests a source for “Everything is high school.”

Barbara Murray would like to verify an anecdote involving Tennessee Williams’s alleged reply when asked why he had stopped seeing a psychiatrist: “Well, that man kept nosing into my personal business….”

“pot…wall” (September-October). Eliot Kieval recognized the query as a variant of “Strive not as doth a crocche with a wall,” from Geoffrey Chaucer’s short poem “Good Counsel.”

“Age is a thief” (November-December). John T. Collins supplied, as an earlier example of this formulation, “Time, the subtle thief of youth,” from John Milton’s poem “On His Having Arrived at the Age of Twenty-three.”

“logical fallacies” (November-December). Elizabeth Bernstein was the first of many readers to recognize this reference to Max Shulman’s short story “Love Is a Fallacy,” from his 1951 collection The Many Loves of Dobie Gillis. George Sicherman added that the story was subsequently turned into an episode of the eponymous television show (season 1, episode 22, airing on March 1, 1960, according to www.tv.com).

Send inquiries and answers to “Chapter and Verse,” Harvard Magazine, 7 Ware Street, Cambridge 02138, or via e-mail to chapterandverse@harvardmag.com.
When Alison finally heard her son Matthew’s diagnosis, she had already spent a night on the Web, terrifying herself, as she puts it, “for the rest of my whole life.” At 18 months, Matthew showed a number of the early warning signs of autism: he didn’t respond to his name or point to objects of interest, he seemed to fixate on spinning things like the washing machine, and he had developed no new words since his 12-month check-up. Alison feared her son might never develop the ability to make friends, converse at the dinner table, or live on his own. The doctor who evaluated Matthew told Alison that her son met criteria for “pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified” (PDD NOS), a less severe condition than full-scale autism, and one that, when caught early, often responds well to intensive therapies. “This is one of the best kinds of autism to have,” the doctor explained, holding a stack of treatment referrals. Alison’s grief and shock gave way to a sense of urgency: “I got started on his treatment plan like my hair was on fire.”

In recent years, diagnoses of autism have soared: to as many as one for every 166 children in the United States, according to recent estimates published by the Centers for Disease Control. Much of this increase stems from a broadening of the diagnostic category. Clinicians now recognize a “spectrum” of autistic disorders that encompasses children with mental retardation and little or no language (low-functioning autism) as well as those with high IQs and precocious vocabularies (Asperger’s syndrome). The common thread linking these disparate conditions is a deficit in social relatedness that impairs a child’s ability to communicate, learn, and participate in ordinary life. While some cases improve with rigorous interventions, others remain intractable. Parents like Alison face a morass of competing theories and potential cures in their struggle to increase their children’s chances of recovery.

A Spectrum of Disorders

The urgent search to understand the biological basis of autism

by Ashley Pettus
The growing number of families affected by autism has intensified the scientific search for answers. In the last five years, new technology and funding (much of it from family foundations) have made it possible to address the most pressing questions of causality on a large scale. Harvard researchers from a wide range of disciplines—including genetics, genomics, neurology, cognitive science, developmental medicine, and bioinformatics—have joined a collaborative effort to identify the biological roots of autistic disorders and to develop better methods of detection and treatment. Their efforts promise to yield significant findings in the very near future. But the inherent heterogeneity of autism suggests that a full accounting will likely take longer and may require investigators to look for clues in unexpected places.

“An Extreme Aloneness”
In 1943, the Austrian-born psychiatrist Leo Kanner provided a startling picture of 11 children trapped in their own worlds. The children—all patients at Johns Hopkins Hospital in Baltimore—had previously been labeled “emotionally disturbed” or “intellectually impaired.” Kanner noticed that they shared a number of distinct behavioral and cognitive features: they all had difficulty relating to other people, delayed or unusual language, superior rote memories, and an obsession with sameness and repetition. He described their condition as an inborn disorder of social attachment, which he called “infantile autism.” “There is from the start,” he wrote, “an extreme autistic aloneness that, whenever possible, disregards, ignores, or shuts out anything that comes to the child from the outside.”

At the time of Kanner’s discovery, mainstream psychiatry was in the thrall of Freudian theory, which attributed most childhood disorders to family environment rather than biology. Autism came to be viewed as an extreme and rare condition—the result of profound emotional (and specifically, maternal) neglect. For most of the latter half of the twentieth century, clinicians used treatments to address children’s behavioral disturbances in strange ways in reaction to physical distress. “It turns out that kids tend to walk late, have very little energy, and undergo periodic regressions in which they lose muscle control, attentiveness, and language. Bauman was surprised to find that a complex vitamin treatment helped improve the condition of a number of such children, enabling them to benefit from behavioral therapies.

Bauman does not suggest that such biomedical interventions will cure autism, but she believes that researchers need to pay close attention to the pattern of physiological problems that attend the autistic syndromes of a significant portion of children. “We haven't been looking at other organ systems and how they relate to this disorder,” she says. “It’s possible that we’ve been doing genotyping on apples and oranges.”

Assistant professor of neurology Martha Herbert, a pediatric neurologist at MGH, couldn’t agree more. Herbert has been at the forefront of an effort to alter the prevailing paradigm of autism research. As a resident in neurology in the early 1990s, she spent two years studying the preserved brain samples of 93 autistic individuals, hoping to find a correlation between specific structural abnormalities and autistics behaviors. What she found instead, she explains, were “abnormally large brains with more than average white matter [the tissue through which messages pass between different areas of gray matter in the brain, where the nerve cell bodies are located]. I began to think that this is not a localized brain disorder but a generalized disorder that affects the brain. It requires a different way of thinking.”

Herbert found evidence that a subgroup of children with autism experience a sudden increase in brain size a year or so after birth. The areas that enlarge are those where the myelin sheath—the fatty electrical insulator of the brain cells—forms post- rather than prenatally. The question of whether some children are born “normal” and then “regress” into autism between the ages of one and two has been at the center of the controversy over the potential role of mercury and vaccines in causing autism. Although Herbert steers clear of this debate, her findings suggest that postnatal damage may contribute to the onset of autistic conditions in a considerable number of cases.

Herbert believes that such tissue changes in autistic brains could be signs of inflammation or oxidative stress, potentially im-
and then tunnel deep into some of these measures."

It wasn’t until the early 1980s that a new understanding of autism began to emerge. The catalyst was an article published by British autism expert Lorna Wing that described a little-known syndrome called Asperger’s Disorder. Hans Asperger was an Austrian-born pediatrician who studied children in a psychiatric hospital in Leipzig, Germany, in the late 1930s—the same time that Kanner was conducting his research in Baltimore. Asperger documented a condition in boys that he termed “autistic psychopathy,” characterized by “a lack of empathy, little ability to form friendships, one-sided conversation, intense absorption in a special interest, and clumsy movements.” His patients differed from Kanner’s in that they did not exhibit developmental delays in language or cognition (Asperger in fact referred to them as “little professors”), yet they shared key impairments in social interaction, reciprocal communication, and imagination (i.e., repetitive behaviors and interests). Wing’s 1981 paper brought Asperger’s findings to the attention of the English-speaking world and showed how the core features of autism could occur in a wide range of people, some severely mentally retarded and others highly intelligent.

Wing’s paper showed how the core features of autism could occur in a wide range of people, some severely mentally retarded and others highly intelligent.

In the ensuing years, the predominant view of autism as a discrete psychoemotional condition gave way to the idea of a continuum of biologically based autistic syndromes, requiring greater diagnostic specificity. By 1994, the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) listed detailed criteria for five “pervasive developmental disorders” (PDDs), including Asperger’s, that comprised the “autism spectrum.”

The increased awareness of autism’s related conditions and their symptoms corresponded to a steep rise in diagnoses in the early 1990s. Many parents became convinced that the escalating numbers of cases stemmed from exposure to thimerosal, a mercury-based preservative used in childhood vaccines. Another theory linked the measles/mumps/rubella (MMR) vaccine, in particular, to the onset of autistic symptoms. Numerous epidemiological studies have failed to substantiate these claims, and in 2004 the Institute of Medicine of the National Academies found no causal relationship between either mercury or MMR vaccine and autism.

But even though it is not possible to get an accurate count of real cases prior to the early 1990s, there is a growing sense among researchers and clinicians that the real incidence of autism is on the rise and that environmental triggers likely play a role. The potential factors range from chemicals in food and cosmetics to complicating environmental factors. (Oxidative stress refers to an imbalance between the rate of oxidative damage to cells and the rate of cell repair.) “Perhaps the brain is caught ‘in the crossfire’ of whole-body changes related to environmental stress,” she says. She has not proposed any particular toxin exposure as a cause of autism; rather she underscores the need to expand the investigatory lens beyond the genes–brain–behavior model in order to look for broader problems in physiological functioning. “We need more study of environmentally responsive metabolic and signaling pathways,” she explains, “since these will guide us both to where to look for relevant genes and also where to look for treatment targets.”

Herbert’s ideas are not universally accepted by neurogeneticists; but among many in the mainstream of autism research there is growing support for a more biological approach to diagnosis. Susan Santangelo, associate professor of psychiatry at Harvard Medical School and an associate professor in epidemiology at Harvard School of Public Health, who also serves as director of statistical genetics and genetic epidemiology in the psychiatric and neurodevelopmental genetics unit at MGH, argues that researchers need to begin to look for more precise measures to identify and sort individuals with autism disorders.

Santangelo, an executive committee member of the Boston Autism Consortium (which links more than 50 local researchers who tackle different aspects of the disease cycle and share results), suggests that tests such as an EEG (electroencephalogram, which measures electrical activity in the brain), an ERP (event-related potential, which records brain activity during specific tasks), or a measure of blood plasma levels for certain metabolites could provide investigators with markers that they could correlate to a given set of behavioral traits, thus helping to distinguish patients’ functions on a variety of levels. “If we can start to map the genes for something more biological,” Santangelo explains, “something closer to the genetic action, then maybe we’ll have more success than [we’ve had] trying to map the genes for a very heterogeneous psychiatric classification that may bear absolutely no relation to underlying pathophysiology. We need to cast a wide net and then tunnel deep into some of these measures.”

The pervasive developmental disorders (PDDs) comprising the autism spectrum share core deficits in social interaction, language, and range of interests or behaviors. They differ in degree of severity, number of areas of impairment, pattern of onset, and rate of prevalence. Autism disorder (the most common) is four times more common among males than females and generally involves mental retardation. Ret’s disorder, a rare condition that occurs only in females, usually involves severe mental retardation. Childhood disintegrative disorder (CD), involving a precipitous loss of abilities after at least two years of normal development, appears rarely, and more often among males. Asperger’s disorder, diagnosed five times more frequently in males than females, involves pronounced social deficits but no significant cognitive or language acquisition impediments. Pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified (PDD NOS), a “subthreshold category,” applies to cases in which some criteria for autistic disorder are present and the onset of symptoms doesn’t fit other PDD diagnoses. For details, visit www.nimh.nih.gov/health/topics/autism-spectrum-disorders-pervasive-developmental-disorders/index.shtml, posted by the National Institute of Mental Health.
provided the first evidence of a genetic basis for autism. If one twin had the disorder, the other was far more likely to have it if he or she was identical rather than fraternal. (Because identical twins share their entire DNA, while fraternal twins share only half on average, a disease that tends to co-occur in identical pairs indicates genetic influence.) The discovery helped undermine the prevailing psychoanalytic theories that blamed autism on bad parenting and, in particular, on cold, unaffectionate, “refrigerator” mothers.

Yet the task of identifying the culprit genes has proved daunting. Although the concordance rate for autism in identical twins is high—with recent estimates ranging from 60 percent for the same diagnosis and 90 percent for related disorders—it is not 100 percent. The fact that identical twins do not always share the disorder indicates that environmental influences are also at play. Furthermore, the variability in the traits and deficits associated with conditions along the spectrum (and often between individuals in a single family) suggests that multiple genes are involved; higher-functioning autism, for instance, may arise through separate genetic mechanisms than lower-functioning autism.

These complexities have made cooperation among research teams and among institutions essential. “Autism is a problem that no one person or discipline can figure out alone,” explains James Gusella, Bullard professor of neurogenetics and director of the Center for Human Genetic Research at Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH). Gusella chairs the executive committee of the Boston Autism Consortium, a largely privately funded initiative that began in 2005 to take advantage of the wealth of expertise, technological resources, and patient databases concentrated in the area. The consortium brings together more than 50 researchers from Harvard, MIT, the Broad Institute, MGH, Children’s Hospital, Boston University, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, and Tufts University to tackle different aspects of the disease cycle and to share results. Gene discovery is a top priority. “We’re not saying that it’s all genes,” Gusella is careful to point out, “but the genes will accelerate the search for other factors. They provide the crucial starting point.”

The pursuit of autism’s molecular roots has led Christopher Walsh, Bullard professor of neurology and chief of the division of genetics at Children’s Hospital Boston, on a cross-cultural journey. Walsh, who also directs the division of neurogenetics at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, travels regularly to Dubai, Kuwait, and Saudi Arabia to study the genomes of large Middle Eastern families. Because Arab cultures have a strong tradition of first-cousin marriage, the children in these societies have a higher likelihood than other populations of inheriting genetic mutations that can cause a developmental disorder. If both parents carry a recessive gene associated with autism, each child in the family has a 25 percent chance of getting the disease. With an average birth rate of six children per couple, a family in which both parents are carriers may well have more than one child with an autism-spectrum disorder.

Walsh uses a technique called homozygosity mapping to look for causative recessive mutations. He checks all the sets of chromosomes in each family member, searching for spots where the affected children have two identical chunks of DNA and unaffected children have something different. Walsh and his team have identified four chromosomal locations in three separate families, and they have begun sequencing the genes in these spots to look for a disabling mutation. “Once we find it,” Walsh explains, “we can sequence any kid anywhere to see if he or she is subject to the same condition.”

Inherited mutations hold the promise of explaining some, but not all, of the genetic paths to autism. Walsh points out that many genetic mutations that affect the brain—particularly those involving severe cognitive impairment—are spontaneous. “Mental retardation is frequently not inherited within families because it is so crippling,” he explains. “People with this condition are not likely to have children, so the mutations that cause the disorder are sporadic, rather than inherited.” (Down Syndrome, which arises from the presence of an extra chromosome, is an example of this type of nonhereditary genetic disorder.) Walsh estimates that about 15 to 20 percent of autism cases may fall into this category.

In order to identify spontaneous genetic changes that are causally significant, researchers need to look for gains or losses of DNA that are present in children but not in their parents. If they find a change in the child that they can associate with a set of symptoms that is absent in the parents, they can then conclude that the mutation caused the disorder. In the case of autism, however, the isolation of disease-causing mutations has proven particularly difficult because the genetic changes and the clusters of disease traits (phenotypes) do not present a clear one-to-one relationship. “We’ve found that sometimes some of the sub-microscopic changes in the kid’s DNA are also present in one of the parents,” Walsh notes. “And these parents may be either asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic: in some cases, some of the parent’s cells don’t show the mutation, while other cells do, which suggests that the change may have happened part-way through the development of the parent.” The first step, Walsh says, is to determine which of these sub-microscopic changes are meaningful and which aren’t.

Stalking Likely Suspects

Today’s researchers do have some possible signposts to help them navigate the maze of potential routes to autism. There are 10 spots, called chromosomal “linkage peaks,” along the genome, where deletions or insertions of bits of DNA have been
statistically correlated with the presence of autistic traits. But hundreds of genes are associated with a single "linkage peak." Teasing out the causal genes presents a formidable task.

Louis Kunkel, professor of pediatrics and genetics and director of the genomics program at Children's Hospital, is pursuing an unconventional approach to this puzzle. He has joined forces with Isaac Kohane, Henderson associate professor of pediatrics and health sciences and technology, who directs the hospital's informatics program, to look for irregular patterns in the way genes are expressed in the white blood cells of autistic children. “We’re asking: if gene expression is off-track in the brain, is it also off-track in whole blood?” Kunkel explains. “And can we categorize kids with autism based on gene-expression profiling in blood?” (Gene expression refers to which genes are “on”—giving instructions for how the cell should work—in a given cell.)

Tiny microarrays or "gene chips" now enable lab technicians to examine the activity of 30,000 genes at once. Kunkel and Kohane plan to draw on hundreds of thousands of samples to compare patterns of gene expression in children with and without autism-spectrum disorders. “I think we’re looking for a lot of different variants in a dozen or so genes that in combination with other genes may cause autism,” Kunkel says.

The researchers are paying particular attention to networks of genetic activity that affect 12 genes already known to play a role in autism. These are genes that regulate the brain’s response to environmental stimuli, affecting learning and memory by regulating the plasticity of connections between neurons. Here, the work of Michael Greenberg, professor of neurology and neuroscience and director of neurobiology at Children's Hospital, has been critical. Greenberg looks at animals to examine how experience shapes the formation and refinement of synapses during brain development. He believes that autism-spectrum disorders may result from flaws in synapse development that affect the brain’s ability to process incoming signals. During the first years of postnatal development, trillions of synapses form in the brain as a child interacts with his or her surroundings; many synapses that aren’t needed naturally fall away, while others are strengthened. One possibility, Greenberg suggests, is that this pruning process doesn’t happen effectively in people with autism. Another theory holds that autism may involve a defect in the balance between excitatory and inhibitory synapses. A deficit in inhibitory synapses causes seizures, a common problem in more severe forms of autism.

Greenberg is involved in identifying the genetic program that controls these synaptic processes: “It turns out,” he explains, “that as synapses are forming in the first years of life there are about 300 genes that get activated. We study how they get activated, how the signal goes from a synapse to the nucleus where genes get turned on, what the genes are, what they do, and how they control the program.” (One gene, MECP2, that has been linked to Rett syndrome—a rare autism-spectrum disorder primarily affecting girls—has already been implicated in this chain of synaptic events.) He continues, “The expectation is that, as we figure out this network of signaling, when the geneticists identify the causative genes for autistic disorders, we will be able to tell them: ‘This is why they’re important, and this is what they do.”’

The coordinated efforts of Walsh, Kun-
Sarah Wyman Whitman

Brief life of a determined artist: 1842-1904

by Betty S. Smith

Sarah Wyman Whitman was an original and compelling figure in late nineteenth century Boston. Very much a public personality, she was a painter, a designer of book covers and stained glass, an interior decorator, an author, poet, teacher. The notes she wrote with a quill pen, her style of dress—ostrich feathers, beaver bonnets, exuberant shades of silk and satin, unusual gems—even her exalted manner of speaking (to hide a slight impediment) reflected the ways she had joined her art and her life.

Although descended from prominent New England families, she spent her early childhood in Baltimore among her Wyman relatives, in a cultivated and philanthropic environment she treasured all her life. When she returned to Lowell, Massachusetts, at 11, she was educated at home; a gifted tutor shaped her lifelong dedication to learning. A friend of her later years, Henry Lee Higginson, founder of the Boston Symphony Orchestra, once observed that Whitman “was bent on self-cultivation in order that she might better do the work of her life as she had laid it out.”

Her plans began to take shape two years after she exchanged the dullness of Lowell for the dullness of a long marriage to Boston wool merchant Henry Whitman. With their move to Beacon Hill, she gained access to the wider world of the Boston elite: artists, writers, and educators. In 1868, she entered the studio of the socially prominent and successful artist William Morris Hunt, who had recently begun to welcome women as students.

Her professional training was astonishingly brief. She studied with Hunt for three winters, studied drawing with his colleague William Rimmer, and twice—in 1877 and late 1878 or early 1879—went to France to study with Hunt’s former master, Thomas Couture. Although she lacked “just one year in the Academy,” considered a prerequisite for a successful career, she determined to move forward. In a letter to a patron, she described her “plan of life” as balancing a successful professional career amidst her obligations “as a householder,” her philanthropic interests, and her position in society. Even she admitted it was a “strange complex web” of a life.

By 1881, one critic already judged her “as representative of successful women-painters in Boston.” But she did not limit herself to accepted feminine subjects: portraiture, still lifes, and landscapes. She turned to the field of design, an approach—encouraged by Couture, echoed in the English Arts and Crafts Movement, and actively supported by her mentor and benefactor, Harvard professor Charles Eliot Norton—that viewed art and life as inseparable. In the 1880s she began to produce a steady stream of designs for book covers, stained glass, and interiors.

She became the first professional woman artist regularly employed by Boston publisher Houghton Mifflin to give their mass-produced book covers a sense of simple elegance through line, color, and lettering. Many of the authors were her friends, including Sarah Orne Jewett, James Russell Lowell, and Oliver Wendell Holmes. In 20 years, Whitman designed well over 200 books, frequently incorporating her design signature, a “flaming heart.”

This work paralleled her career as a designer and creator of stained glass. The artist John La Farge, who asked her to create a carpet design as part of his commission to decorate H. H. Richardson’s newly completed Trinity Church in Boston, was a primary influence; her first stained-glass commission, in 1884-85, for the Central Congregational Church in Worcester, Massachusetts, was probably due to his recommendation. She designed 100 windows for Berwick Academy in Maine (Sarah Orne Jewett’s alma mater), the Phillips Brooks Memorial Window in the Trinity Church parish house, and many smaller commissions for churches stretching from New York City to Albany, and along the New England coast from the North Shore to Cranberry Island, Maine. For Harvard’s Memorial Hall she designed both the elaborate south transept window and the Honor and Peace window on the south side of what is now Annenberg Hall.

Meanwhile, she continued to draw together people she admired, subtly entwining friendship and patronage, welcoming visitors to enjoy her “all-embracing hospitality” at her city and country homes and her studios on Boylston Street (the Lilly Glass Works) and Mount Vernon Street. She exhibited her paintings and lectured occasionally. She taught women’s Bible classes for 30 years, in winter at Trinity Church, in summer on the North Shore. She devoted time and money to innovative educational institutions, among them Tuskegee Institute, Berea College, and the “Harvard Annex,” the new women's college in Cambridge, whose president was her close friend Elizabeth Cary Agassiz. Fittingly, her last works in glass—the panels Courage, Love, and Patience created for the 1904 St. Louis Exposition—are now installed in the Radcliffe College Room of the Radcliffe Institute’s Schlesinger Library.

Although Whitman’s last years were marred by illness, the result of overwork, she continued to create at a lessened pace. Her death was deeply mourned. William James wrote to his brother, Henry, “She leaves a dreadful vacuum in Boston...and the same world is here—but without her to bear witness.”

Betty S. Smith, CAS ’78, is a professional researcher.

Opposite: This posthumous portrait of Whitman by her friend Helen Merriman hangs in the Radcliffe College Room of the Schlesinger Library. The leaves in Whitman’s bodice may be laurel, symbols of victory and of artistic achievement.
For links to examples of Whitman's stained-glass designs and book covers, visit www.harvardmagazine.com/2008/01/sarah-wyman-whitman.html
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hen Dan Kindlon watches the Tigers play softball, he sees the legacy of feminism for girls. “My daughter’s concentrating on catching the ball, and this other girl just slams into her, slides under,” he recalls. “Julia got hurt a little bit, she got scraped up, but it was an experience that used to be exclusively the province of men and boys—to get knocked down, and then you’ve got to pick yourself back up and get back in the game, brush your tears off, and ignore the blood. She was kind of proud of herself afterwards. It was a character-building experience that very few girls growing up in an earlier generation had a chance to have. Now almost all of them have that chance.”

Kindlon is a clinical psychologist and adjunct lecturer at the Harvard School of Public Health. The more he coached his youngest daughter’s team, the more he understood he was observing a new generation of girls and young women. “People who say that girls aren’t competitive and don’t enjoy winning have never gone to a game and watched!” he says with a laugh. “My own daughters are so different from the girls I grew up with, in terms of the things they think they can do.” Linking those observations with accumulating data that show girls outperforming boys in grades, honors, and high-school graduation rates—and with the historic reversal in U.S. college enrollments (58 percent today are women, the 1970 percentage for men)—convinced Kindlon that today’s American girls are profoundly different from their mothers. “They were born into a different world,” he says of girls and young women born since the early 1980s. He began to think of them as “alpha girls.”

These girls—Kindlon uses the term because his research focuses on female development up to age 21, the period covered by pediatric medicine—were not the self-loathing, melancholic teens at risk portrayed in such former bestsellers as Schoolgirls: Young Women, Self Esteem and the Confidence Gap (Peggy Orenstein), Failing at Fairness: How America’s Schools Cheat Girls (Myra and David Sadker), and Reviving Ophelia: Saving the Selves of Adolescent Girls (Mary Pipher). Girls today “take it for granted that it is their due to get equal rights,” Kindlon says. “They never had to fight those battles over fertility control, equal educational and athletic access, or illegal job discrimination.” As a result, “girls are starting to make the psychological shift, the inner transformation, that Simone de Beauvoir predicted” in 1949 when she wrote, in The Second Sex, “sooner or later [women] will arrive at complete economic and social equality, which will bring about an inner metamorphosis.”

Recognizing that a new psychology was necessary to describe his daughters’ generation, Kindlon studied more than 900 girls and boys across the United States and Canada and wrote about his findings in Alpha Girls: Understanding the New American Girl and How She Is Changing the World (2006). This new “girl power” is characterized by what Kindlon calls an “emancipated confidence” that is raising self-esteem, reducing depression, and altering gender roles among girls and young women.

“Alpha girls” did not appear overnight, however. A century of social and economic change first tipped and then leveled the playing field, creating the circumstances for unprecedented gains for women in education and the labor force. These gains appear across socioeconomic strata, but they are less widespread among low-income and minority girls. To rectify the disparities,
some “alphas” are creating innovative programs as part of a “girls’ movement” to make such progress available to all young women. Of course, once alpha girls enter the workforce and begin families, they will no doubt encounter the same tradeoffs their mothers did; how they will cope with these challenges is uncertain, but they are already changing wage and marriage patterns in unexpected ways.

**Alpha Psych 101**

“The psychological demons that used to affect girls and women in this country just don’t affect today’s girls in the same way,” Kindlon asserts. In the 1980s and early ’90s, Carol Gilligan (formerly Graham professor of gender studies at Harvard Graduate School of Education and now a professor at New York University) and other feminist psychologists wrote that girls in their teens compromise their authenticity to fit gender roles, thereby “losing their voice.” In 1992, influential American Association of University Women (AAUW) report on late-1980s data on girls born in the 1970s found that girls’ self-esteem plunged in middle school, compared to boys’, and that classroom sexism (such as teachers’ calling on boys more than girls, or more competitive than cooperative learning) was a cause. The AAUW report recognized positive trends, such as young women’s ascent in college enrollment, while recommending correctives for the continuing shortfalls.

Alpha girls are created in large numbers when the society that they are born into has sufficient equal opportunity, Kindlon says: “It wasn’t until the early to mid ’80s—when schools really started to get serious about Title IX, when women first began to outnumber men in college, when women began moving into leadership roles, such as Congress, in significant numbers—that societal conditions had changed enough to permit the alpha girl explosion.” He set out to discover how Beauvoir’s “inner metamorphosis” has changed girls’ psychology in the years since the AAUW report.

Alpha girls don’t identify with a passive-feminine sex role, yet maintain “female” skills like social networking. They also know how to do things that only men and boys traditionally did, such as “channel their aggression in a competitive situation—not to get too mad, but to get mad enough so you can play harder—and to compete and to enjoy winning.”

He knew that past and recent research in a variety of fields had already revealed gender differences in mental illness: girls and women have twice men’s risk for depression and anxiety disorders, while boys and men are twice as likely to suffer substance-use disorders and schizophrenia. Some theories attribute this depression/anxiety gender gap, which appears in adolescence, to differences in the biology of sex hormones; other explanations focus on “gender socialization.” Investigators have located numerous gender-related risk factors for depression, including passive-feminine sex-role identification, helpless coping styles, and low self-esteem. Body dissatisfaction is also key: in adolescence, boys gain muscle while girls gain fat—just as body-image pressures intensify.

To assess the psychological and social health of a new generation of girls, Kindlon designed the Adolescent Life Survey to measure 19 dimensions of teen experience (from mental health to career and family aspirations) and in 2005 surveyed 700 girls and 228 boys in the sixth through twelfth grades in a range of urban, suburban, and rural U.S. and Canadian schools. He then interviewed the top 113 high-school girls, born for the most part between 1984 and 1988. These were alpha girls who had attained a 3.8 or better grade-point average and at least one leadership position, pursued 10 or more hours of extracurricular weekly, and scored high on measures of “achievement motivation.”

Kindlon found signs of a new “alpha psychology” among all the girls. There were no sex differences in depressive symptoms, no drop in self-esteem across the six grades, and no lack of confidence. By tenth grade, in fact, the girls he surveyed had higher self-esteem than boys, and alphas had significantly higher self-esteem than non-alphas. Lower socioeconomic status tended to lower self-esteem scores for both sexes, irrespective of race or ethnicity, but Kindlon interviewed many “inner-city alphas”—the phenomenon is not confined to “elites.” (Consistent with previous research, he did find higher rates of anxiety among girls than boys—perhaps because girls “want to get things done,” he speculates, although he notes that biological factors could be involved. In either case, he cautions against overemphasizing the anxiety scores, because boys may underreport their own anxiety.)

“Loss of voice” may be a thing of the past, as Kindlon suggests, but gender pressures persist, says Wendy Luttrell, Aronson associate professor in human development and education: “We can’t talk about how girls are doing today without talking about boys and girls in relation to each other.” As a feminist ethnographer who analyzes gender, race, and class in educational settings, she believes kids today, in fact, are still “incredibly constrained” by gender. She recently observed such forces in action at the close of her youngest daughter’s summer college-prep program. The karaoke competition between sex-segregated groups was “a Saturday Night Live mimicry of what gender roles in contemporary society look like,” she reports. The girls performed “sexy-but-cute Britney Spears acts,” while the boys presented aggressive, sexualized, hip-hop dance numbers. “Each group played off the extreme of the other,” she notes, wishing the hypermasculine and hyperfeminine performances had been far less stereotypical, with “both boys and girls crossing what we consider to be ‘male’ and ‘female’ roles.”

The alpha generation may yet fulfill that wish. “Girls are now able to play more roles,” says Kindlon. Alpha girls don’t identify with a passive-feminine sex role, yet maintain “female” skills like social networking. They also know how to do things that only men and boys traditionally did, such as “channel their aggression in a competitive situation—not to get too mad, but to get mad enough so you can play harder—and to compete and to enjoy winning.” Fathers play a big part in this psychology, Kindlon adds. He has found that alphas’ dads are more involved in their daughters’ lives than non-alphas’ dads. They can pass along “male ways of being,” such as rougher play and greater risk-taking, and “male ways of thinking.”
This “hybrid” self, an “androgynous” personality incorporating aspects of both parents, is a cornerstone of alpha psychology, he believes. The more androgynous girls in his study had higher self-esteem, were less anxious or self-conscious, and engaged in less promiscuous sex and substance abuse. Because they can choose from what feminist psychologists call “separate” (traditionally masculine) or “connected” (traditionally feminine) styles of being in the world, they have a psychological advantage. “Girls are better adapted,” he says. “They’re more flexible and have more skill. Boys haven’t changed as much—or haven’t been induced as much to play a variety of roles.”

What girls are saying, adds Kindlon, is, “I have flexibility that no other woman has ever had in history, or certainly not in any numbers, and I can play any role—Bring it on.” As one “hybrid” alpha (now at Harvard) told him, “I can wear high heels to my linear algebra class. I can be sexy or I can be feminine, or I can also blow the boys away in this really tough class. I can do anything. I don’t see it as inconsistent to be wearing high heels. I don’t feel like I’ve got to dress down or dress like a man to do this class. I can still be a woman and do all these other things.”

The Rise of the Alpha Girl

Long-emerging changes in girls’ access to higher education and career options have prepared the ground for girls’ “emancipated confidence.” In fact, aspects of alpha girlhood aren’t new. “Girls have been ahead of boys in pre-college education for well over 100 years,” says Allison professor of economics Lawrence Katz: in high-school graduation rates and in constituting two-thirds of honors students. “What was striking in the past [was] that even though girls dominated boys through high school, boys were given greater opportunities to go on to college.”

But as the women’s movement dismantled labor-market barriers and an accelerating service economy expanded job opportunities in the 1970s, girls and young women expected and found greater economic benefits from going to college. Add the Pill and later marriage and first birth; subtract male incentives like the GI Bill and disproportionate family support; multiply by behavioral differences between girls and boys—and you have the formula for exponential change, argue Lee professor of economics Claudia Goldin and Katz in a recent journal article, “The Homecoming of American College Women: The Reversal of the College Gender Gap” (with Ilyana Kuziemko, Ph.D. ’07).

“It’s never clear why the American press wakes up suddenly and says, ‘Oh! Where are the men on campus?’ The crossover point was way back in 1980—25 years ago!” says Goldin. Headlines imply that male college attendance has dropped, yet there’s been “enormous growth in B.A. completion rates” for both sexes, she notes. The female rate of increase has been much higher, however, so the ratios of the 1960s and ’70s have flipped—to 58 percent female nationwide today. What drove this dramatic catch-up and reversal? “The playing field and the labor market are much more even,” says Katz. “That’s really what’s changed.”

Surprisingly, however, the rise of women in higher education began with college parity, early in the twentieth century. From 1900 to the Crash of 1929, women went to college in numbers equal to men. A fraction went to the “Seven Sisters,” but the majority enrolled in public institutions, such as teachers’ colleges and the large state institutions that accepted women. Then the Great Depression drove a wedge into parity. Unemployed men needed the college advantage, and school districts’ new “marriage bars” against married female teachers made teaching degrees less valuable to women.

Male-to-female ratios peaked in 1947, after World War II. “You get this huge spike of guys coming back from Europe and Asia,” Goldin says, when there were “two and a half men” on college campuses for every woman. The GI Bill enabled men from many age groups to attend college at the same time, bolstering male enrollment until after the Korean War. More women went as well, because college benefits often included “your M.R.S.,” notes Goldin. Then came Vietnam—and draft deferment. Because more draftable men went to and stayed in college, male college graduation rates peaked for men born in the late 1940s. Women also have “a Vietnam effect,” Goldin says: “If boys go, girls go.” Women were catching up, but the gender gap in B.A. completion in 1970 still favored men, 57 percent to 43 percent.

By 1972, girls in the top socioeconomic quartile achieved college parity despite the war. In two decades, by 1992, girls at every socioeconomic level had a substantial lead. “Families are not discriminating in resources for college in favor of boys as they may have done 75 years ago,” says Katz. And in the lower half of economic distribution, the female-to-male ratio today is considerably higher than in the upper half, a reversal of traditional patterns. (The female advantage is larger among African Americans and Hispanics than among whites, but the decline in the male-to-female ratio of undergraduates during the past 35 years is not due primarily to changes in the ethnic mix of the college-aged population, write Goldin and Katz: “The bottom line is that the new gender gap favoring females is found throughout the socioeconomic distribution,” and it is similar for whites, all ethnic and racial subgroups, and the entire U.S. population.)

Girls and young women today also invest in “their own human capital” through what they choose to study in high school and college, due to dramatic changes in the labor market. Reflecting on college majors, Goldin says, “The huge shift is out of education into business.” Until the 1970s, most female undergraduates concentrated in literature, languages, and education, because most of the job opportunities were in teaching. In 1970, for example, 56 percent of working 30- to 34-year-old college-educated women were teachers, compared to only 18 percent in 2000. By 2005, 50 percent of business majors were women. And “psychology is the English of yesterday,” adds Goldin: 78 percent of psychology concentrators today are women. As their opportunities changed, girls took more high-school science and math, achieving virtual parity by 1992 in numbers of courses (and narrowing the math-score gap), while remaining ahead in foreign languages.

Meanwhile, boys’ progress relative to girls’ was less dramatic, and even stagnating at lower socioeconomic levels. In Goldin and Katz’s “cost-benefit analysis” of college returns, girls and young women have lower “nonpecuniary costs” for college-prep and attendance than boys and young men, and they earn higher economic benefits from going to college (women without college earn less than men without college). Moreover, note Goldin and Katz, boys have more learning disabilities, suffer from attention deficit hyperactivity disorder at triple the rate of girls, engage in more criminal activity, and spend less time on schoolwork than girls.

School has also become harder and more competitive since 1983, when the National Commission on Excellence in Education published A Nation at Risk; notes Dan Kindlon. The girls born at
that time and since “were starting to make the psychological shift predicted by Beauvoir, so they rose to the challenge,” he says. “Girls are doing the work and boys aren’t—boys are playing Grand Theft Auto.” Kindl’s once asked his youngest daughter, “Is it just that girls are smarter than boys? And at age 11 she said, ‘No, they’re not smarter, but they have more stamina,’ which I think really does characterize it.”

Yet college-bound girls, despite their hard work, face stiffer admissions competition than boys. A U.S. News analysis of a decade of data from 1,400 colleges discovered that schools maintained gender balance by admitting girls at “drastically different rates”—on average 13 percentage points lower—than boys. “When a number of state universities started becoming incredibly female [70 percent or more],” explains Katz, “private universities started doing things that look like affirmative action for boys. Admissions officers basically said, ‘We were getting worried about the gender mix, so we shaded things.’ They’re bringing in on-the-margin guys who are less qualified than women in order to maintain some gender balance.”

Fertility control, meanwhile, has helped women achieve their ambitions well beyond college. As Goldin and Katz argue in another journal article, “The Power of the Pill: Oral Contraceptives and Women’s Career and Marriage Decisions,” the birth-control pill, approved in 1960 but made available to college-age single women only in the late 1960s and early ’70s, allowed young women to delay both marriage and childbearing while they pursued graduate and professional school. Women now earn the majority of M.D., D.D.S., and J.D. professional degrees, and the majority of all postgraduate degrees.

“For the first time in history, females have complete fertility control, which means they aren’t getting pregnant, dropping out, having babies,” notes neuropsychiatrist Louann Brizendine, a former Harvard Medical School resident and faculty member who is the author of The Female Brain and founder and director of the Women’s Mood and Hormone Clinic at the University of California, San Francisco. She believes that the “alpha” phenomenon also involves “a paradigm shift in the way parents think about their girls’ options in the world,” in part because unwanted pregnancy is out of the picture. “There’s a whole generation of girls whose creativity and intellect are being supported by their families. Their mothers and fathers are cheering them on, coaching them, and setting the bar high, so that their ambition can soar and take them high.” With a level playing field, then, in family resources, higher education, economic opportunity, and fertility control, a critical mass of girls and young women have achieved—and are achieving—the historic potential of their sex.

**Strong Women, Strong Girls?**

“A LOT OF THE HOPES of the feminist movement and the girls’ programming movement are being realized, but there’s a tremendous amount of work still to be done, particularly for girls without educational or economic advantages,” says Lindsay Hyde ’04, founder and executive director of Strong Women, Strong Girls (SWSG), a nonprofit organization that fosters high aspirations and success skills among low-income minority girls by involving them with strong female role models. Hyde’s inspiration was her own mother, a Miami single mom who cut the grass, tiled the bathroom floor, rewired the electrical system, and “demonstrated for me that women could really do anything!”

Keen to share her own experience with young girls, Hyde designed and taught a curriculum based on historic and contemporary women at the local elementary school during her last semester of high school. When she couldn’t find a girl-centered service opportunity at Harvard that fall, she used her curriculum to start a new afterschool program through Phillips Brooks House, beginning with six undergraduate women and 30 girls from the third, fourth, and fifth grades at Roxbury and Mission Hill elementary schools. Seven years later, SWSG serves 400 mostly African-American and Latina girls at 32 schools and community centers in Boston and Pittsburgh, with 120 mentors from seven colleges and universities. (For her work, Hyde recently received the Samuel S. Beard Award for Greatest Public Service by an Individual 35 or Under, one of the five Jefferson Awards conferred annually by the American Institute for Public Service.)

To offset the effects of poverty, gender stereotyping, and low expectations that can undermine girls’ academic confidence and direct them to narrow education and career options, SWSG combines the study of diverse female role models with team-mentoring, field trips, and community service. Two or three undergraduate mentors lead 10 to 12 girls in weekly lessons built around a particular skill, such as critical thinking. Sessions begin by reading the biography of a woman exemplifying that skill, such as Sally Ride, the first American female astronaut, in order to "paint a picture of the steps she needed to take to go from being 10 years old..."
Having It All?

“The myth of having it all, and having it all at once, is what my generation is working to figure out—and we haven’t gotten it right yet!” says Hyde, who was recently scouting wedding locations with her fiancé, Blair Baldwin ’02, B ’09. In the course of graduating from college, working for a couple years, going back to graduate school, perhaps starting a company or nonprofit (as she has done), and having a family, the question her cohort asks is, “How am I going to fit in all of these great things that I want to do?”

Alpha girls want to do everything—have successful careers and marriage and children, in sequence or combination. How will they handle the realities of the workplace and the tough choices their own mothers faced? “It won’t be as easy as it was for them in high school and college,” says Dan Kindlon. “They’ll get slapped around a little when they get out into the world,” he thinks, “but they’re ready for the challenge.” And as Hyde points out, “Some of the structural challenges around balancing work and family—maternity- and paternity-leave policies, women’s

who’s really passionate about writing and poetry and literature, working with a woman who’s a physics major, who’s really passionate about science and electronics,” says Hyde. “They look up to both women, who are doing very different things with very different interests and passions.”

Many of these girls know few people who’ve gone to college besides their mentors, notes Hyde, but “they start to feel, ‘Gosh, maybe college is something that I could do.’” To encourage this sense of familiarity, SWSG includes campus field trips. “We have some girls who now have been to Harvard three years in a row, and they really feel that it’s a place that holds possibility for them to be there. That’s a tremendous change, to go from saying, ‘I don’t know anybody who’s ever gone to college’ to walking onto the Harvard campus and saying, ‘I feel like I belong here. I know where I’m going, and this is a place that feels comfortable for me.’”

The program works. Most parents feel their daughters have learned new skills (94 percent), increased their self-esteem (88 percent), and strengthened their belief in themselves as a leader (80 percent). The mentors also benefit: nearly 95 percent report greater self-confidence and empowerment. At many SWSG partner colleges, there are waiting lists of volunteers.

Strong Women, Strong Girls is helping distribute the benefits of feminism, yet the young women who volunteer often “come to the work with less of a politically oriented agenda and much more of a service-oriented agenda,” reports Hyde. Volunteers frequently tell her, “I had positive experiences as a young woman, and I believe that it is incumbent on me to help other young women also have positive experiences.” As a result, more inner-city girls are breaking out of gender stereotypes and gaining the “emancipated confidence” of alpha psychology to expand their educational and career opportunities.
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percent for dropouts, and interracial marriage still a rarity, educated and employed black women often decide to raise their children out of wedlock. Recent reports suggest that some professional black women are starting to enter interracial relationships, however, so the alpha generation may change these marriage patterns. Meanwhile, Katz and Goldin believe the “marriage gap” reinforces an increasingly polarized and unequal socioeconomic environment for children.

“The mothering piece is really the fault line when it comes to class and race,” says ethnographer Wendy Luttrell, author of Schoolsmart and Motherwise: Working-Class Women's Identity and Schooling (1997). For middle- and upper-class girls and women struggling to balance rewarding work and family, “the tradeoff is about being the perfect mom and doing the perfect job—about being able to do everything” she says. But for poor and working-class, increasingly single, mothers, “It’s not about tradeoffs, it’s about, ‘How am I going to support my kids and keep them safe?’” For these women, the challenge is meeting the double-duty demands of mothering and low-wage work, predominantly in service-sector jobs and often for professional women, who employ and rely upon low-income women (disproportionately women of color and recent immigrants) to do all kinds of family-care work, says Luttrell. The current rhetoric about work-family conflicts emphasizes personal choices regarding working and/or mothering, “but this overlooks the larger mother-care-work crisis caused by unequal opportunity, declining social services, and unjust policies that pit employment demands on wage-poor mothers against the care needs of their children.”

Not all young women will choose to be mothers (26 percent of white women born in 1960 with a college degree are childless, for example), but the majority will. With 72 percent of American mothers working outside the home, the work/family challenge is widespread. “From a women’s rights point of view, that’s still the biggest hurdle to overcome,” notes Kindlon.

Work/family issues play a significant role in the wage gap. Some companies avoid investing in training women who may take time off for maternity and childrearing, according to Burbank professor of political economy Torben Iversen. Once career

From Title IX to Riot Grrrls

Today's American girls and young women may be the daughters of feminism, but their world isn't always the one envisioned by their foremothers. “Little girls dress in pink and they’re princesses, but at the same time they’re going to grow up to wear five-inch heels and kick ass!” says Lee professor of economics Claudia Goldin, an old-school feminist who wants more equality, not difference, between the sexes. The rise of “girl power” and the celebration of “difference”—propelled by forces ranging from Title IX to feminist punk-rock bands—have changed American culture, although not all girls have benefited equally.

The struggle for women's rights in the United States is often described in terms of “waves.” First-wave feminism culminated with women's suffrage in 1920, while the resurgent second-wave feminism of the 1960s and ’70s focused on reproductive freedom, sexual harassment, equal pay, and access to education and jobs. The second-wave mother of the girls’ movement was Carol Gilligan, formerly Graham professor of gender studies at Harvard Graduate School of Education, whose book on women's psychological development, In a Different Voice (1982), inspired countless studies on girls and sweeping educational changes. Another second-wave development was Title IX.

“My students have been deeply touched by Title IX” and its expectation that girls would participate in sports equally to boys, says assistant professor of studies of women, gender, and sexuality and of history and literature Robin Bernstein, when asked about girls' self-esteem. Her work in performance studies examines “what people do with bodies.” Athletics, she says, significantly changes a girl's relationship with her body. To help her students understand the law's impact, she tells them that in the 1970s, “a sports bra was a specialized piece of sports equipment, not something you could buy at any department store—which speaks to a huge change in expectations for women and athletics.” People don't recognize Title IX's impact, she adds, “not just on female athletes who made varsity or went on to the Olympics, but on the masses of girls who grew up with the expectation, ‘Sure, I’ll play soccer. Why not?’”

Female sports participation has skyrocketed since Richard Nixon signed Title IX of the 1972 Educational Amendments to the 1964 Civil Rights Act into law—by 450 percent in college and an astounding 900 percent in high school (to 2.9 million girls) in 2005-2006. (Not everyone has benefited as intended. For inner-city girls, for example, sports fields are often nonexistent and schools can’t afford the expense of equipment, lessons, and travel.)

Furthermore, Title IX is not just about sports. It not only bans bias (in recruitment, financial aid, benefits, and scholarships) against either sex in any educational setting receiving federal aid, it also outlaws sexual harassment and protects equal access to math and science, higher education, career training, technology, and employment. Wendy Luttrell, Aronson associate professor in human development and education and the author of Pregnant Bodies, Fertile Minds: Gender, Race, and the Schooling of Pregnant Teens (2003), notes that “Title IX was also initiated so that pregnant girls could stay in school.” (Public schools used to expel pregnant students and bar visibly pregnant teachers from classrooms. “Title IX got rid of the de jure discrimination that pregnant girls cannot be in school,” Luttrell says, “but de facto discrimination”—either isolating the girls from resources and regular classes, or mainstreaming them without support—“is still quite prevalent.”)

While the effects of Title IX were taking hold, a “third wave” of American feminism—advocating “difference” and “girlness”—was rising. Feminist performance artists like the Guerrilla Girls and the V-Girls reclaimed the word “girl” in the 1980s, and in the early 1990s, the punk band Bikini Kill famously put the grrr into “grrrl” and helped catalyze a movement of Riot Grrrls. Young third-wavers resisted sexism through their music,
choices are taken into account, Iversen has found that “statistical discrimination” against women (basing judgments about individuals from a group on average assumptions about that group) is a major cause of the wage gap. Katz believes that among college graduates, career “choice” is likely the largest factor causing the wage gender gap, while traditional sex discrimination remains substantial but is diminishing. He suggests that behavioral differences play a secondary role: men tend to negotiate better salaries or bonuses, while women tend to accept what’s offered. (Because studies have found that some employers “penalize” women who negotiate, female reluctance to negotiate may be self-protective against bias.)

According to the Harvard Crimson survey of the class of 2007, such factors are still in play for recent alphas. Women and men were heading to graduate school (22 percent) and finding jobs (50 percent) in equal numbers, but there was a significant gender gap in median starting salaries: men were contracted to earn $10,000 more. “That’s entirely explained by which sectors they go into,” says Katz: 58 percent of men chose finance, compared to 43 percent of women (still a large percentage of women choosing a male-dominated field). Eleven women planned to work at non-governmental organizations, but no men, adds Goldin: “Men chose to work 80 hours a week at Goldman Sachs and make $60,000, not including bonuses.” However, within banking or consulting, they report, the wage gap disappears.

Goldin is concerned about the “extremely large” economic penalty for choosing to balance family and career down the line. Female and male lawyers straight out of law school have similar salaries, she notes, but 10

the Internet, and grass-roots activism, on the one hand, and on the other, through a “girlie” feminism that championed “girl stuff,” from Barbie dolls and high heels to knitting.

“Girl Power,” the third wave’s best-known catch phrase, went mainstream as the slogan for the British pop group the Spice Girls. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services even named its first girl-centered public-health initiative Girl Power! (www.girlpower.gov). Today girls’ programming includes cultural staples like the Ms. Foundation’s original Take Our Daughters to Work Day (now Take Our Daughters and Sons to Work Day) and organizations like Strong Women, Strong Girls (SWSG).

Even the preferred sex of infants has acquired a girl-power spin. “Now people say, ‘Oh, I’m having a boy. This is going to be so difficult,’” says Goldin. “We’ve seen a huge shift in what is considered to be the perfect child—little girls are just ‘easier;’ they’re ‘smarter,’ they ‘mature faster.’” Popular treatments of sex-difference research may be responsible: “Men, Get Ready to Develop Brain Envy,” declares the back cover of The Female Brain, by neuropsychiatrist Louann Brizendine, a former Harvard Medical School resident and professor.

Brizendine has found a generational divide in the response to her work on this biology-psychology connection. Girls and women under 30 send grateful e-mails, she says: “Younger women have come up in the world not thinking they have limitations on their intellect at all. They’ve embraced their own intelligence, and they’re moving forward.” But women of her own over-50 generation “don’t like it. They’re afraid the message will hurt women instead of help them. If you say anything about difference, it means unequal, and unequal means women lose.” Brizendine was a second-waver, but now, she says, “I call myself a third-wave feminist, which means embracing and celebrating the differences.”

Whatever the wave, few daughters of feminism identify themselves by the “f-word,” as Dan Kindlon, clinical psychologist and adjunct lecturer at the Harvard School of Public Health, found among the alpha girls he studies. SWSG’s Lindsay Hyde ’04 reports that her volunteer mentors “have really differing levels of comfort with what feminism means.” Demonizing rants against “male-bashing feminazis” are partly to blame, so SWSG organizationally defines feminism, which “has become such a flash point in the political realm, as ‘ensuring that everyone, men and women, have access to the resources they need to make positive choices in their lives,’” says Hyde. “Using that definition, I absolutely consider myself a feminist.”
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years later their earnings are very different. Many professions were structured for “the Father Knows Best world, when every person in the labor market had a mirror image in the home,” which freed time for the paid worker. Hence “rising to the top” (tenure, partnership, profit shares) required enormous amounts of time—on average 80 hours a week. For women, the traditional promotional clock often conflicts with their biological clock, and many who want children don’t “opt into” the partner track, says Goldin, choosing instead less demanding—and less remunerative—corporate counsel, government, or nonprofit work, or having a small firm. (Being slow to adapt to women’s realities has cost law firms dearly, however, prompting restructuring that will benefit alpha girls. To attract and retain female attorneys, some firms now offer flextime partner tracks; “lattice” rather than “ladder” careers—climbing interspersed with slower childbearing periods; on-site daycare; flat-rate or project-based compensation rather than billable hours; and part-time partnerships.)

The alpha girls Kindlon studied were aware that “having it all” isn’t easy. Most knew their career path: medicine was at the top (23 percent), followed by STEM (science, technology, engineer-

ing, math) fields and art/music (13 percent each), business (12 percent), and politics/law (9 percent). Medicine was the first choice, Kindlon believes, because most female physicians can and do practice part-time, allowing a lucrative, satisfying career with time for kids. By specialty, the percentage of female residents in 2003 was highest for obstetrics/gynecology (71 percent), followed by pediatrics (65 percent), dermatology (57 percent), pathology and psychiatry (50 percent each), family medicine (49 percent), and surgery (24 percent). How might subsequent choices influence alpha women’s salaries? “Women are using their market power not to maximize their earnings, but to get the job that allows more balance,” says Katz. They’re forming group ob/gyn practices and earning $140,000 a year for 50-hour weeks and not coming in at night, while men are making $180,000 for 60 hours and taking the emergency calls.

The work/family challenge involves more than wages, of course. When young women face the reality of child-rearing, there may be a psychological penalty, says Louann Brizendine. Her psychiatry-neurology residents are “two years out from hanging up their shingle,” she reports, “and I don’t see them giving a second thought to anything holding them back from what they want to do—up to age 27 or 28.” The question of children looms ahead, but “they go full steam with their ambition—the things that is really important for women of my generation to be aware of, when every parent wants to help me out with it.” This generation won’t feel “it’s their work to do, as a lot of women today do”; as a result, men will pick up a bigger share and women’s lives won’t feel as unbalanced.

“It’s very possible that my daughters will be the primary breadwinners in their homes,” Kindlon speculates. “They’re certainly not looking for a husband to provide for them!” Single mothers already carry that responsibility, but more married mothers will, too. In fact, “if the college-educated person is making more money and has health benefits and the other person doesn’t, who’s going to cut back on the career? There’s no question, I’ve seen it. I have nephews whose wives are working, and they’re staying home with the kids, because money’s behind it, and nothing’s stopping that trend.” (Some estimates number U.S. stay-at-home dads at 2 million.) “The real fuel for the engine is going to be that women will have more money, so they’ll have more of a say over what happens,” he adds. With parenting no longer “women’s work” alone, perhaps a true work/life balance is possible for men and women.

For Hyde, a different responsibility is foremost. “One of the things that is really important for women of my generation to be cognizant of is that we have had tremendous opportunities and that it is incumbent on us to make sure that the young women—and young men—who are coming up behind us have access to those same opportunities. As people who have been so privileged to live in this time period, we really do have a responsibility to continue to make change moving forward.”

Contribution Editor Harbour Fraser Hodder, Ph.D. ’91, lives in central Massachusetts.

Reprinted from Harvard Magazine. For more information, contact Harvard Magazine, Inc. at 617-495-5746.
“...In My Mind I Am Perplexed”

The Civil War and the invention of modern death

by Drew Gilpin Faust

Soldiers' graves near General Hospital, City Point, Virginia, circa 1861-1865. Photographer unknown.
The civil war transformed American society and institutions. It brought about the formal end of slavery (but not of racial discrimination). It empowered central, national government, and put citizens, particularly those conscripted for battle, in a new relationship to the state. It advanced the reach of industry.

But in personal terms, the war’s largest and most lingering effects lay elsewhere. “In the middle of the nineteenth century,” writes Drew Gilpin Faust, “the United States embarked on a new relationship with death” as 620,000 soldiers lost their lives between 1861 and 1865. The scale of the killing—a sum equal to the fatalities in all other American wars from the Revolution through Korea—widowed spouses and orphaned children to an unimaginable degree, particularly in the Confederate states.

Beyond the sheer number of the dead, the experience of mortality itself was exploded. In place of the “Good Death”—at home, surrounded by loved ones, at peace with God—husbands and sons were cut down en masse, or literally cut up by desperate surgeons, or their remains were left to rot on battlefields, or be buried by the score in unmarked ditches. Frantic relatives had no official way, often not even informal means, to confirm the deaths. Many soldiers who survived were themselves driven nearly mad by the carnage they had caused and seen. However they were engaged, Faust writes, all were involved in “the work of death in the American Civil War.” In a collective sense, the result was, in Frederick Law Olmsted’s phrase, a “republic of suffering.”

From sources including letters, newspapers, photographs, official postwar reports of reinterments, and literature, Faust, who is Lincoln professor of history, has made that “work” and its harvest the subject of her new book, This Republic of Suffering: Death and the American Civil War. Based on a decade of scholarship that preceded her arrival as founding dean of the Radcliffe Institute for Advanced Study in 2001 and concluded in the winter weeks just before her appointment as Harvard’s twenty-eighth president last February, the book documents a society transformed. From the war’s bloodshed came government responsibilities for military cemeteries (initially for the Northern dead, at least), for veterans’ pensions, and other institutional changes. The ghastliness of the war impelled a search for meaning, a quest to justify what had been wrought, and challenges to religious faith that mark the beginnings of a modern, skeptical outlook on a newly fearsome and cold cosmos.

For all those reasons, this original, unsettling interpretation of the war that did so much to shape America commands attention. That This Republic of Suffering appears during what has now become the nation’s longest war makes it unexpectedly topical, its approach unusually pertinent. That it is dedicated to the author’s father, McGhee Tyson Gilpin (1919-2000), who earned the Silver Star, Purple Heart, and Croix de Guerre as a captain in U.S. Army Intelligence during World War II, underscores as perhaps nothing else the personal nature and meaning of war, even in the twenty-first century.

The excerpts that follow come from the end of chapter 2, “Killing,” and the epilogue, “Surviving.”  
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of killed and wounded approached 90 percent. And by the spring of 1864 Grant’s losses in slightly more than a month approached 50,000.

Faced with the Civil War’s unprecedented slaughter, soldiers tried to make sense of what they had wrought. As they surveyed the scene at battle’s end, they became different men. For a moment they were relieved of the demand to kill; other imperatives—of Christianity, of humanity, of survival rather than courage or duty—could come again to the fore. And now they had time to look at what was around them. Union colonel Luther Bradley described this transformation:

"Of all the horrors the horrors of the battlefield are the worst and yet when you are in the midst of them they don’t appal one as it would seem they ought. You are engrossed with the struggle and see one and another go down and say, “there goes poor so-and-so. Will it be my turn next.” Your losses and dangers don’t oppress you ‘till afterwards when you sit down quietly to look over the result or go out with details to bury the dead.

Dealing with the “afterwards” required work lest, as a Confederate soldier worried after Shiloh, the spectacle “dethrone reason or pervert the judgment.” Henry C. Taylor wrote to his parents in Wisconsin after a grim night collecting the dead and wounded from an 1863 battle in Kentucky, “I did not realize anything about the fight when we were in action, but the battlefield at midnight will bring one to a realizing sense of war. I never want to see such a sight again. I cannot give such a description of the fight as I wish I could.

Witnesses to battle’s butchery often wrote of the impossibility of crossing the field without walking from one end to the other atop the dead. “They paved the earth,” a soldier wrote after the Battle of Williamsburg in 1862. Grant found the same after Shiloh: “I saw an open field...so covered with dead that it would have been possible to walk across the clearing, in any direction, stepping only on dead bodies without a foot touching the ground." With grim precision Eugene Blackford described a two-acre area at Fredericksburg containing 1,350 dead Yankees;
"I had no more feeling for him, than if he had tripped over a stump and fallen..."

Others estimated stretches of a mile or more at Antietam or Shiloh where every step had to be planted on a dead body. Men were revolted both by the dishonor to the slain beneath their feet and by the pollution represented by such distasteful contact with the dead. Like a modern snapshot, this oft-repeated representation of battle's horror graphically portrayed in the freeze-frame of a picture what soldiers could not narrate in a sequence of words. With vividness and detail, for the senses rather than for the reason or intellect, this recurrent image communicated the unspeakable.

Men wept. Even as he acknowledged that "it does not look well for a soldier to cry," John Casler of the Stonewall Brigade knew "I could not help it." Benjamin Thompson of the 11th New York affirmed that after Gettysburg "no words can depict the ghastly picture." He "could not long endure the gory, ghastly spectacle. I found my head reeling, the tears flowing and my stomach sick at the sight." Colonel Francis Pierce confessed that "such scenes completely unman me." Battle changed the living to the dead, humans into animals, and strong men into "boys...crying like children”—or perhaps even into women with their supposed inability to control their flowing tears. As Walter Lee wrote his mother from the front in June 1862, "I don't believe I am the same being I was two weeks ago, at least I don't think as I used to and things don't seem as they did."

One way soldiers became different men was by resisting and repressing the unbearable horror. "The feelings of a soldier walking over his first battle-field and over his second, are widely different," a southern newspaper observed. Men wrote of "hardening," numbing, or becoming "calloused" or even indifferent to others' deaths as well as to the prospect of their own. A Union surgeon, surrounded in Virginia by "a horrible spectacle of human misery," saw this transformation in attitude as a blessing, regarding it as a "wise provision of divine providence that man can accommodate himself to any & every circumstance, at first no matter how revolting." A seasoned soldier could sleep or eat amid the bodies of the dead; "all signs of emotion...or ordinary feelings of tenderness and sympathy" disappeared. With a gesture that reflected either a jocular insensitivity or an ironic anger that may well have shocked and surprised his wife, Isaac Hadden of New York invited her to join him at dinner "in the enemy's rifle pits where the dead lay around crawling away with dear little worms called maggots...I was kind of hungry and got used to the pretty sights." Union Colonel Charles Wainwright reported that when another soldier fell against him proclaiming himself a dead man, "I had no more feeling for him, than if he had tripped over a stump and fallen; nor do I think it would have been different had he been my brother."

Soldiers acted with as little concern as if it were not men but "hogs dying around them." Human life diminished sharply in value, and the living risked becoming as dehumanized as the dead. Soldiers perhaps found it a relief to think of themselves not as men but as machines—without moral compass or responsibility, simply the instruments of others' direction and will. As a common soldier, Angus Waddle believed he was "but a machine by which fame and glory is manufactured for some great Gen.'" Texan Elijah Petty explained to his wife that "we have no right to think. Others have been appointed to think for us and we like the automation must kick (or work) when the wire is pulled." Civilians caring for the fallen in battle's immediate aftermath adopted a similar strategy. Katherine Wormeley, who served on a hospital ship during the Peninsula Campaign, believed that to permit herself to "feel acutely at such times is merely selfish." It was imperative "to put away all feeling. Do all you can, and be a machine—that's the way to act; the only way."

While many soldiers welcomed this numbing as a means of escaping the horrors around them, others worried about the implications of such detachment. "The fact that many men get so accustomed to the thing, that they can step about among the heaps of dead bodies, many of them their friends and acquaintances[,] without any particular emotion, is the worst of all," a Federal officer observed. Indifference to suffering and death was "demoralizing," a failure to care about what should matter most in human life. A religious tract widely distributed in the Confederate army issued a stern "warning to soldiers." "Guard against unfeeling recklessness," it cautioned. "By familiarity with scenes of violence and death, soldiers often become apparently indifferent to suffering and anguish, and appear to be destitute of the ordinary sensibilities of our humanity." Hardening represented in the eyes of the church an abandonment of the compassion that lay at the core of human and Christian identity. Loss of feeling was at base a loss of self—a kind of living death that could make even survivors casualties of war.

Killing was the essence of war. But it also challenged men's most fundamental assumptions about the sanctity of their own and other human lives. Killing produced transformations...
that were not readily reversible—the living into the dead, most obviously, but the survivors into different men as well, men required to deny, to numb basic human feeling at costs they may have paid for decades after the war ended, as we know twentieth- and twenty-first-century soldiers from Vietnam to Iraq continue to do; men who, like James Garfield, were never quite the same again after seeing fields of slaughtered bodies destroyed by men just like themselves.

“The Whole of Life Has Been Not-Dying”

Then with the knowledge of death as walking one side of me,
And the thought of death close-walking the other side of me,
And I in the middle, as with companions, and as holding the hand of companions...

Walt Whitman, “When Lilacs Last in the Dooryard Bloom’d”

John Palmer carried the bullet that killed his son with him to the grave; Henry Bowditch habitually wore a watch fob fashioned from his fallen son’s uniform button; Mary Todd Lincoln dressed in mourning till she died; Walt Whitman believed the war had represented the “very centre, circumference, umbilicus” of his life; Ambrose Bierce felt haunted by “visions of the dead and dying”; Jane Mitchell continued to hope for years after Appomattox that her missing son would finally come home; J.M. Taylor was still searching for details of his son’s death three decades after the end of the war; Henry Struble annually laid flowers on the grave that mistakenly bore his name. Civil War Americans lived the rest of their lives with grief and loss.

More than 2 percent of the nation’s inhabitants were dead as a direct result of the war—the approximate equivalent of the population in 1860 of the state of Maine, more than the entire population of Arkansas or Connecticut, twice the population of Vermont, more than the whole male population of Georgia or Alabama. These soldiers had experienced what many Americans called “the great change,” the uncharted passage from life to death. No longer fathers or brothers or sons, they had become corpses and memories, in hundreds of thousands of cases without even identifiable graves.

But the fallen had solved the riddle of death, leaving to survivors the work of understanding and explaining what this great change had meant. And the living had been changed too, by what they had seen and done, what they had felt, and what they had lost. They were, like Bierce, “sentenced to life” and to making sense of how Civil War death had redefined what life might be. Sidney Lanier, the Confederate poet who had fought in the bloody Seven Days’ Battles in 1862 and later suffered in a Union prison camp, commented in 1875 that for most of his “generation in the South since the War, pretty much the whole of life has been not-dying.”

Managing Civil War death was made all the more difficult by the mystery that so often surrounded it. Nearly half the dead remained unknown, the fact of their deaths supposed but undocumented, the circumstances of their passage from life entirely unrecorded. Such losses remained in some sense unreal and thus “unrealized,” as the bereaved described them, recognizing the inhibition of mourning that such uncertainty imposed. The living searched in anxiety and even “phrensy” to provide endings for life narratives that stood incomplete, their meanings undefined.

This crisis of knowledge and understanding extended well beyond the problem of the unidentified dead to challenge, in Melville’s words, “the very basis of things.” Individuals found themselves in a new and different moral universe, one in which unimaginable destruction had become daily experience. Where did God belong in such a world? How could a benevolent deity countenance such cruelty and such suffering? Doubt threatened to overpower faith—faith in the Christian narrative of a compassionate divinity and a hope of life beyond the grave, faith in the intelligibility and purpose of life on Earth. Language seemed powerless to explain, humans unable to comprehend what their deaths—and thus their lives—could mean.

Man had been at once agent and victim of war’s destruction. Both as butcher and butchered, he had shown himself far closer to the beasts than to the angels. The vaunted human soul had seemed to count for little in the face of war’s fearsome physicality, its fundamental economy of bodies, of losses and casualties, of wounding and killing. Mutilated and nameless corpses challenged notions of the unity and integrity of the human selves
The meaning of the war had come to inhere in its cost. The nation’s value and importance were derived from and proved by the human price paid for its survival.

they once housed, for by the tens of thousands these selves had fragmented and disappeared. Death without dignity, without decency, without identity imperiled the meaning of the life that preceded it. Americans had not just lost the dead; they had lost their own lives as they had understood them before the war. As Lucy Buck of Virginia observed, “We shall never any of us be the same as we have been.”

The nation was a survivor, too, transformed by its encounter with death, obligated by the sacrifices of its dead. The war’s staggering human cost demanded a new sense of national des-tiny, one designed to ensure that lives had been sacrificed for appropriately lofty ends. So much suffering had to have transcendent purpose, a “sacred signif-icance,” as Freder-ick Douglass had in-sisted in the middle of the war. For him, such purpose was freedom, but this would prove an unrealized ideal in a nation unwilling to guarantee the equal citizenship on which true liberty must rest. Slavery had divided the nation, but assump-tions of racial hierar-chy would unite whites North and South in a century-long abandon-ment of the emancipa-tionist legacy.

Instead, the United States’ new and ele-vated destiny became bound up with the na-tion itself: its growing power, its wealth, its extent, its influence. Debates about nation-alism had caused the war; national might had won the war; an expanded nation-state with new powers and duties emerged from war’s demands. And both the unity and responsibilities of this transformed nation were closely tied to its Civil War Dead.

The meaning of the war had come to inhere in its cost. The nation’s value and importance were both derived from and proved by the human price paid for its survival. This equation cast the nation in debt in ways that would be transformative, for executing its obligations to the dead and their mourners required a vast ex-pansion of the federal budget and bureaucracy and a reconceptualiza-tion of the government’s role. Na-tional cemeteries, pensions, and records that preserved names and identities involved a dramatically new understanding of the relationship of the citizen and the state. Edmund Whitman had observed with pride after his years living among the dead that the reinterment program represented a national commitment to a “sentiment.” In acknowledging that decent burial and identifiable graves warranted such effort and expense, the United States affirmed its belief in values that extended beyond the merely material and instrumental. Soldiers were not, as Melville articulated and so many Americans feared, “opera-tives,” simply cogs in a machinery of increasingly industrialized warfare. Citizens were selves—bodies and names that lived beyond their own deaths, individuals who were the literal life-blood of the nation.

Without agendas, without politics, the Dead became what their survivors chose to make them. For a time they served as the repository of continuing hostility between North and South,
but by the end of the century the Dead had become the vehicle for a unifying national project of memorialization. Civil War death and the Civil War Dead belonged to the whole nation. The Dead became the focus of an imagined national community for the reunited states, a constituency all could willingly serve—“the dead, the dead, the dead—our dead—or South or North, ours all (all, all, all, finally dear to me),” Walt Whitman chanted.

In 1898 President William McKinley announced to the South, in a much-heralded speech in Atlanta, that “the time has now come in the evolution of sentiment and feeling under the providence of God, when in the spirit of fraternity we should share with you in the care of the graves of the Confederate soldiers.” The sons and grandsons of “these heroic dead” had in the preceding year risked their lives in a new American war; the brave Confederates should be officially honored alongside their Union counterparts.

To Frederick Douglass’s despair, the reasons for which men had died had been all but subsumed by the fact of their deaths. “Death has no power to change moral qualities,” he insisted in a Decoration Day speech in 1883. “Whatever else I may forget,” the aging abolitionist declared, “I shall never forget the difference between those who fought for liberty and those who fought for slavery.” But many even of those who had fought felt otherwise. “The brave respect the brave. The brave/Respect the dead,” Ambrose Bierce wrote in a poem chiding one “Who in a Memorial Day oration protested bitterly against decorating the graves of Confederate dead.”

\begin{quote}
Remember how the flood of years
Has rolled across the ebbing slain;
Remember, too, the cleansing rain
Of widows’ and of orphans’ tears.
\end{quote}

And Oliver Wendell Holmes, who had as a young soldier facing death so resolutely rejected the solace of Christianity, came to embrace war’s sacrifice as the one foundation for truth. His “Soldier’s Faith” speech, delivered on Memorial Day 1895, became emblematic of the elegiac view of the war that hailed death as an end in itself. “I do not know the meaning of the universe,” Holmes baldly declared. “But in the midst of doubt, in the collapse of creeds,” he had found one certainty: “that the faith is true and adorable which leads a soldier to throw away his life in obedience to a blindly accepted duty, in a cause which he little understands, in a plan of campaign of which he has no notion, under tactics of which he does not see the use.” The very purposelessness of sacrifice created its purpose. In a world in which “commerce is the great power” and the “man of wealth” the great hero, the disinterestedness and selflessness of the soldier represented the highest ideal of a faith that depended on the actions not of God but of man. “War, when you are at it,” Holmes admitted, “is horrible and dull. It is only when time has passed that you see that its message was divine.” War may have shattered the young Holmes’s beliefs, but for the old man, war became the place where man’s confrontation with annihilation had made him “capable of miracle, able to lift himself by the might of his own soul.” Man’s ability to choose death became for both Holmes and Bierce the most important experience and memory of the war.

For a time the Dead served as the repository of continuing hostility between North and South.

We still live in the world of death the Civil War created. We take for granted the obligation of the state to account for the lives it claims in its service. The absence of next-of-kin notification, of graves registration procedures, of official provision for decent burial all seem to us unimaginable, even barbaric. The Civil War ended this neglect and established policies that led to today’s commitment to identify and return every soldier killed in the line of duty. But even as the Civil War brought new humanity—new attentiveness to “sentiment”—in the management of death, so too it introduced a level of carnage that foreshadowed the wars of the century to come. Even as individuals and their fates assumed new significance, so those individuals threatened to disappear into the bureaucracy and mass slaughter of modern warfare. We still struggle to understand how to preserve our humanity and our selves within such a world. We still seek to use our deaths to create meaning where we are not sure any exists. The Civil War generation glimpsed the fear that still defines us—the sense that death is the only end. We still work to live with the riddle that they—the Civil War dead and their survivors alike—had to solve so long ago.

\begin{quote}
The dead are dead—let that alone.  
And though with equal hand we strew  
The blooms on saint and sinner too,  
Yet God will know to choose his own.

The wretch, whate’er his life and lot,  
Who does not love the harmless dead  
With all his heart and all his head—  
May God forgive him, I shall not.
\end{quote}
Approaching the Arts Anew

Harvard president Drew Faust made the inaugural performance at the New College Theatre, on November 1, the setting for her announcement of a University-wide arts initiative. A faculty task force involving several Harvard schools will explore the role of creativity, performance, and artistic practice; implications for the curriculum; and the changes in administration and facilities that might be entailed in implementing any recommendations. This appears to be the broadest effort to examine performing arts and artistic creation at Harvard, inside the classroom and beyond (as compared to an almost exclusively extracurricular pursuit for thousands of students), since the 1950s.

Cogan University Professor Stephen Greenblatt, general editor of The Norton Anthology of English Literature as well as a playwright, chairs the task force. He is widely known for his research on Shakespeare and his milieu (see “The Mysterious Mr. Shakespeare,” September-October 2004, page 56). Last fall, he introduced a new humanities general-education course that uses interactive and multimedia technologies to con-
nect students with the burgeoning world cultures of the seventeenth century.

Other members include Rothenberg professor of the humanities Homi Bhabha, director of the Humanities Center (www.fas.harvard.edu/~humcentr); Diana Sorensen, Rothenberg professor of Romance languages and literatures and of comparative literature, and dean for the humanities in the Faculty of Arts and Sciences (FAS); Graduate School of Design dean Mohsen Mostafavi, newly arrived from Cornell, where his brief as dean included the arts; Mallinckrodt professor of physics Melissa Franklin, and FAS peers leading figures from the Graduate School in art history, the visual arts, and music; and undergraduates and a recent graduate of performance and practice in the curriculum and in the life of the University.

Despite the individual strengths of the “nearly 150 undergraduate student organizations,” plus museum collections, art studios, the Harvard Film Archive, the ART and the OFA, she said, these and the American Repertory Theatre (ART); undergraduates and a recent graduate student; and others. (For the news release on the task force, see www.news.harvard.edu/gazette/2007/11.08/09-arts.html.)

Beyond its explorations on campus, Faust asked the task force to examine programs at other educational institutions, and to consult the “large external network” of alumni involved in the arts. Comments may be sent to arts_task-force@harvard.edu.

The intimate performance space, seen from the stage, is equipped with thoroughly modern theater technology.

The upper floors hold classroom space as well: first-semester offerings included Dramatic Arts 40, “Introduction to Stage Combat,” which instructed students in the art of realistic-looking yet innocuous slaps, punches, kicks, pushes, chokes, and hair grabs. In light of the emphasis President Drew Faust is placing on the arts (see page 51), Jack Megan, director of the Office for the Arts at Harvard, predicts an increase in the number of professors of the practice of dramatic arts, and more outside faculty and theater professionals visiting to hold workshops. “We’re excited about the potential” the new space offers “for students to connect with faculty,” he says. “It’s not just a theater to do yet another 12 shows.”

There is also office space for both staff and student groups, including HPT and the Harvard Krokodiloes and Radcliffe Pitches, two a cappella groups affiliated with the Institute of 1770, HPT’s parent organization. (Harvard bought the
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other units “remain fragmented, less well-understood, less well-supported, and less integrated than their importance warrants.” Compared to the “central role” the arts play “in the lives of so many students and faculty at Harvard...their role in the life of the University remains uncertain and undefined. I hope that this task force will attempt such a definition.”

The rationale for doing so now in part reflects interest in visual ways of thinking and communication, use of new digital tools, and developments in neuroscience and the understanding of cognition—all elements in a vision of arts and creative work as essential to the critical thinking the University has aimed to encourage in many realms. In part, it reflects contemporary students’ participation in a vast array of musical and theatrical performances—traditionally not part of Harvard’s academic, curricular landscape—as well as in creative writing and newly expanded work in film (see “Cinema Veritas,” November-December 2005, page 34).

Such issues are not confined to FAS professors and students. Serious interest in creativity, broadly defined, appears, for example, in a December 7-8 colloquium (part of Harvard Business School’s centennial celebration), a faculty research conference on “Creativity, Entrepreneurship, and Organizations of the Future.”

Finally, there are competitive considerations. As Faust noted in her charge to the task force, “Many of our peer institutions have, in recent years, undertaken serious expansion in arts programming, offering us both models to consider and a challenge to act.” Broad inquiries into creativity, work in the arts, and performance are taking place at several universities—with some making large commitments to such programs.

Among such institutions, Yale has perhaps the most fully developed curricular offerings. It has schools of architecture, art, drama, and music; $500 million has been budgeted for those units and its art museums and art-history department as part of its current capital campaign. Princeton aims to fund a $300-million arts precinct, designed by Renzo Piano, near its existing repertory theater company; at a minimum, the development is expected to encompass new theater, dance, and museum facilities.

The Stanford Arts Initiative, a quarter-billion-dollar part of that university’s campaign, embraces a broad range of the sorts of measures Harvard might consider. Stanford already offers undergraduate-degree programs or tracks in studio art, musical performance, creative writing, drama, dance, and film, and graduate-degree programs in several of those areas. Under the Stanford Institute for Creativity and the Arts (SICA), an umbrella organization formed in 2006 and now directed by a university assistant vice president for the arts, Karen Nagy, the campaign is seeking new resources to expand across the spectrum. The plan envisions augmenting the current 50 or so tenured and tenure-track creative-arts faculty by about 20 percent; funding graduate-student fellowships; greatly increasing artist-in-residence programs and visits, and placing students in arts internships; and underwriting course development and interdisciplinary work—ranging from the intersection of engineering and technology with the arts to exploring world cultures in a creative-arts context (see http://givingtostanford.stanford.edu/get/layout/tsc/Arts).

Alongside these program investments, Stanford plans extensive new facilities and renovations of those Nagy calls “substandard”—the need for which she understands from two perspectives: she was a professional flutist before arriving at Stanford in 1986, and most recently was executive dean of the School of Humanities and Sciences (Stanford’s equivalent of Harvard’s FAS)—the overseer of budgets and buildings. When fully realized, the result will be an integrated “arts district,” extending from the existing art museum and a new performing-arts center (with a 900-seat concert hall and a 500-seat theater; Polshek Partnership Architects is the designer) to a new art and art-history building (complete with film capabilities), among other facilities. The whole complex will extend on either side of the main road from the surrounding communities into the central campus, inviting town-gown interaction. Finally, the initiative aims to equip studio spaces in student dormitories. In light of these ambitions, it is promising for the initiative that Stanford president emeritus Gerhard Casper chairs
the executive committee charged with realizing the university's arts aims.

Stanford's plan obviously reflects circumstances different from Harvard's situation: it has existing degree programs and a significant nucleus of creative-arts faculty members; its initiative proceeds with more central direction than is the norm in Cambridge; even its suburban location, well removed from cultural facilities in San Francisco, means that its needs are different.

But the plan raises many of the issues Harvard's task force will need to address. The University has already made scattered investments in facilities such as the New College Theatre (see page 52) and is about to embark on an enormous, complex, and costly renovation of the Fogg Art Museum (see page 62). The College residential houses are nearing a potentially extensive and expensive renovation of their own; knowing what kinds of creative (and other) spaces they should have must be

---

**Theatrical Software**

For a while, all seemed to go well at the ribbon-cutting ceremonies for the New College Theatre on October 17. As a jazz trio played in the balcony, a full house settled into the comfortable, red-upholstered seats in the steeply raked auditorium. President Drew Faust made a few remarks, noting, for example, that five U.S. presidents and a justice of the Supreme Court had performed in the building in its previous incarnation: “Perhaps they discovered that politics is mostly theater,” she put in, drawing one of the first laughs from the new stage. And then, along with Faculty of Arts and Sciences dean Michael D. Smith and dean of Harvard College David Pilbeam, she approached the wide ribbon that stretched across the proscenium, scissors in hand.

Suddenly a large blonde in a red-sequined dress and red-feather headdress charged down the aisle, yelling, “Wait! Stop! Don’t cut the ribbon!” The obstreperous interloper quickly declared Faust’s scissors utterly inadequate and another, suspiciously tall, woman instantly appeared with a giant three-foot-long pair. The blonde called for better lighting, and the theater’s high-tech system showed off some of its textures and gels. She next critiqued the administrators’ clothes, finding Smith’s so hopelessly bland that she planted her headdress on him. Finally, she called for music, and buglers appeared at the sides of the stage to play a fanfare, after which Faust was finally permitted to carry on.

The interloper—in drag, of course—was David Andersson ’09, cast vice president of the Hasty Pudding Theatricals, and his spectacular intrusion (Faust had been warned to expect an interruption) added both a theatrical note and a nod to the long history of the newly renovated building. That accomplished, Robert Brustein, founding director and creative consultant of Harvard’s American Repertory Theatre (ART) hailed Faust: “Anyone who has the name of a great English play by Marlowe and a great German play by Goethe surely will look favorably on the theater.” He then moderated the discussion as distinguished playwrights John Guare, Melinda Lopez, Adam Rapp, and Paula Vogel addressed the query, “Does Playwriting Have a Future?”

Guare, author of Six Degrees of Separation and many other stage works, who teaches at Yale, raised a point that the panelists seemed to agree on. “The future of American playwriting takes care of itself,” he said. “But what’s the future of American producing?” Brustein observed that readings, meetings, and workshops were fine, but “What the playwright really needs is help getting his play produced. In America right now we have 35 or 40 major playwrights. We don’t have the audiences, but we do have the plays.” Rapp, author of Nocturne and Animals and Plants, worried that “there’s an atrophy to the audience—the audience for plays is getting older.”

The playwrights pointed to the rise of the multimedia entertainment industry as a major factor affecting live theater. “We are going further and further toward entertainment and toward plays that look more like TV,” Rapp said. “I saw a play with 52 scene changes—and I disconnected 52 times.” Vogel, who won the 1997 Pulitzer Prize for How I Learned to Drive, declared, “It feels very much like the entertainment industry is producing entertainment for a gladiatorial empire.” Lopez, whose Sonia Flew won the Eliot Norton Award for best new play and who teaches theater and performance (she is also an actress) at Wellesley, suggested that commercial entertainment spares audiences the risks and uncertainties of fresh drama: “With [the Broadway show] Little Mermaid, it’s a relief to know what you’re getting when you spend your money.”

In that context, Rapp said, “Maybe the next five or 10 years of exciting things to happen in theater will happen in universities.” Lopez might have voiced the evening’s most reassuring conviction. “You tell stories that you have to tell,” she said. “At our core, we are animals. And we need something from each other that we can’t get from a screen.”
taken into account before any work can proceed. And the plans for Allston campus development more generally have always included elements of arts and cultural facilities, but the details await clearer academic and intellectual underpinnings.

In the Harvard context, too, such University initiatives will have to be grounded in the faculties, particularly FAS. The news release on the formation of the task force included comments from Dean Michael D. Smith, welcoming the prospective “University-wide perspective in a domain that is so directly pertinent to the work of FAS” and promising his close cooperation with Faust and the many FAS professors directly engaged.

As the task force strains to meet Faust’s deadline that it report in the fall of 2008, it clearly has a huge assignment. It begins with fundamental questions: as the president has repeatedly put it, “What should the place of the arts be in a research university? What should the place of the arts be in a liberal-arts education?” Whatever their answers, the task-force members will then proceed to the details of advocating expanded or new academic programs, faculty growth, and facilities large and small.

If the result resonates in the community, it will go a long way toward advancing Faust’s aim of bringing Harvard units together as one university. The recommendations will no doubt shape Harvard’s own forthcoming capital campaign. In these senses, Faust has asked the task force to think both expansively and urgently, recognizing that through its work, a new vision of the arts could affect the design of teaching and learning across the campus, and of the very campus itself.

The Rise of Faculty Centrism

Politically, U.S. professors are less liberal than many people believe, but their ranks also include fewer conservatives than in the early 1970s. Meanwhile, centrism is ascendant among faculty members under the age of 35. These are among the conclusions of a major new national study of professors and their politics, also the topic of an October 6 symposium at Harvard Magazine 55
Harvard organized by the study’s authors, assistant professor of sociology Neil Gross and Solon J. Simmons, assistant professor of sociology at George Mason University.

The study, says Gross, was intended to move discussions about professors’ political views “away from partisan polemic and back toward rigorous social science.” The seminal comprehensive survey in this area was conducted in 1969 by Everett Carll Ladd and Seymour Martin Lipset, who found that professors were more liberal than members of many other occupations: at that time, “46 percent of professors described their overall political identity as left or liberal, 27 percent as middle of the road, and 28 percent as conservative, with younger faculty members more liberal than older ones.”

But recent decades, Gross and Simmons argue, have brought a reactionary targeting of liberal academicians: “[a] conservative strategy of attempting to influence public opinion on a wide variety of matters by starting think-tanks—most independent of academe—funded by conservative foundations that build and then leverage tie to the increasingly consolidated mass media in order to get their message across; and… the rhetorical strategy that accompanied this institution-building effort, of calling into question the legitimacy of intellectuals on the other side of the political aisle who would contest conservative claims.” A wave of faculty studies that appeared in the context of this new order were, according to Gross and Solon, “closer to thinly disguised works of political advocacy intended to back up the charge of liberal bias in academe” than to “thoughtful scientific investigations.”

Their own study, which the Chronicle of Higher Education described as “arguably the best-designed survey of American faculty beliefs since the early 1970s,” found that 44 percent of faculty members today are self-described liberal, 46 percent are moderate, and 9 percent are conservative. Only 20 percent voted for George Bush in 2004. The rise in centrism, the study authors say, seems to have come at the expense of conservatives.

While the nearly steady number of liberals teaching in higher education might have been expected, there were surprises. On the question of affirmative action in college admissions, for example, the study (with funding from the Richard Lounsbery Foundation) found, after surveying 1,417 faculty members at 927 colleges, that professors are nearly split on the issue. As compared to the general population, they are also more conservative on certain issues of economic policy. Less than half agreed with the statement, “Business corporations make too much profit,” compared to two-thirds of the American public. In other ways, however, faculty members lean sharply left. Eighty percent believe President Bush misled the American people about the reasons to go to war in Iraq, and 75 percent think having an abortion should be legal “if a woman wants it for any reason.”

The highest concentration of left-leaning academics, the study found, appears in liberal-arts colleges (61 percent liberal), while the lowest (37 percent liberal) is found in community colleges, where conservatives reach their zenith (19 percent conservative). Only 4 percent of professors at liberal-arts colleges identify as conservative. Elite Ph.D.-granting institutions fall in the middle.

Patterns of political belief track disciplines, write Gross and Simmons: for example, more than half of professors in health sciences voted for Bush in 2004, while only 15 percent of humanities faculty members did so. They also track age: 60 percent of professors aged 26 to 35 are moderate, as compared to 50 percent of professors aged 36 to 49 and 43 percent of professors aged 50 to 64.

At the symposium held to discuss the study, some participants emphasized different interpretations of the data. Eliot University Professor Lawrence H. Summers (who three weeks earlier had been disinvited to address the board of regents of the University of California because of faculty pressure) said that he was surprised to find in the survey data “even less ideological diversity in the American university than I had imagined.” This led him “naturally” to question whether professors’ politics affect their students. Bass professor of English
and American literature and language Louis Menand worried that convergent political beliefs among faculty and students, whether caused by self-selection or conformist socialization—in combination with the long time commitments required to earn a Ph. D.—might stifle beneficial “ferment” and “iconoclasm.”

For their part, the authors hope their work will lay the foundation for “serious social-scientific scholarship” that could explain the “social mechanisms and processes that account for the relative liberalism of the faculty.” What are the effects of professors’ politics, they ask, not only on students, but also on the “structure of intellectual fields”? To what extent, they ask, do the “political propensities” of “the contemporary professoriate...both reflect and feed into broader social and cultural dynamics?” These are questions they hope will be the future “subject of lively—and empirically informed...debate.”

Retooling Tech Transfer

When physicist Eric Mazur’s research group created a new material called black silicon one day in 1998, he knew right away they were on to something. The material absorbs 50 percent more visible light than regular silicon, making possible uses easy to imagine—in solar panels, for instance. But the material also has unusual capacity to detect infrared light, giving it potential applications in the defense, automotive, telecommunications, and electronics industries (see “A Sponge for Light,” May-June 2002, page 12).

Mazur, now Balkanski professor of applied physics and professor of physics, remembers approaching someone in what was then the University’s Office of Technology and Trademark Licensing (OTTL) about patenting his discovery. The response was, “No, this is not interesting,” Mazur dropped the idea. A few months later, he spoke about black silicon at a meeting of the American Physical Society. “I said, ‘It could be used for this. It could be used for that.’ I was freely talking about it, because”—supposedly—“it wasn’t worth patenting.” But with each statement, by putting his ideas in the public domain, Mazur was unknowingly closing off a possible patent for Harvard. “When I came out of my talk, the Los Angeles Times, The Economist, Discover magazine—they were all there to interview me,” he recalls. The word was out.

After the media flurry, OTTL had second thoughts. Mazur says. “They came to me and said, ‘Well, maybe we should do something.’ But it was too late. We lost the chance of protecting the basic idea.” Harvard now holds patents to two applications of black silicon and continues to apply for more, but the University is still paying for that sin of omission; Mazur planned to travel to the U.S. Patent Office in December to defend an application.

Mazur’s experience may stem from one bad decision, but it is also emblematic of a subsequent transformation. During the last five years, the University has completely retooled the way it handles commercializing professors’ inventions and innovations, a process known as technology transfer. The metamorphosis involved combining two offices and rechristening the merged entity the Office of Technology Development (OTD, http://otd.harvard.edu), hiring a new director, and systematically updating Harvard’s intellectual-property policies.

Mazur has a unique vantage point as someone hampered by the old office and helped by the new one. He has been on the Harvard faculty since 1984, but his name was on just one patent application prior to 2002; since 2002, his lab has filed a dozen. In 2005, with funding from three venture-capital firms, he founded a company called SiOnyx that is developing applications for black silicon and expects to launch its first product soon. Now, Mazur’s name appears on a list of OTD “success stories”—recent start-ups spun off from work in University labs—as evidence of a burgeoning entrepreneurial spirit at Harvard. With OTD’s help,
he is negotiating with “a major established company” that wants to develop another idea from his lab into a product. The difference between the new OTD and the old OTTL, Mazur says, is “black and white.”

That was the goal. “Harvard has a remarkable research presence,” says Steven E. Hyman, a professor of neurobiology who was appointed provost in 2001. “We produce an enormous number of important and highly cited new papers every year. But we had been relatively slow to commercialize our discoveries, and as a result, many potentially important discoveries...sat on library shelves...I actually think that it is part of the mission of a research university not only to publish papers, but also to get discoveries out into the world.”

Hyman assembled a faculty committee in 2004 to set priorities for changing technology transfer at Harvard and mounted a search for someone to lead the charge. In May 2005, Isaac T. Kohlberg became the University’s associate provost and chief technology development officer. Kohlberg, who has an LL.B. and an M.B.A., had held analogous positions at the Weizmann Institute of Science in Israel, New York University, and Tel Aviv University.

At Harvard, Kohlberg integrated the separate technology-transfer office at the Medical School into a unified operation that would report to Hyman. (OTTL had reported to the vice president for finance.) He expanded the office’s staff by 40 percent, to 35 people—about the same size as MIT’s office—and focused on hiring colleagues who understand science and business and take a proactive approach. (Here, too, Mazur’s story is illustrative. He says someone from OTD visits him “every couple of weeks, if not more...constantly trying to connect us to companies to see if there are mutual interests, and I think that’s great.”)

Kohlberg has emphasized formal networking, but also the informal interactions that may unearth unrecog-
nized opportunities, and lead to trust. (He keeps an espresso machine in his office to fortify professors and business leaders who drop in.) He hopes to involve more alumni, too—as faculty mentors, angel investors, chief executives, or enthusiasts who spread the word to friends and thereby increase the chance of making a match between an idea and a company to develop it. “If you look at any major corporation or any venture-capital group, in the country or internationally, you will always find a Harvard connection,” Kohlberg says. “The question is, how do we build on this? How do we leverage this?”

Since Kohlberg arrived, licensing revenue—the amount of money the University makes from agreements for the use of technology on which it holds patents—has actually declined from $27.9 million in fiscal 2005 to an estimated $15 million for fiscal 2008, mostly reflecting the expiration of a patent for Cardiolite, a technology for diagnosing coronary-artery disease. Either number is low compared to some of Harvard’s peers. The Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, the licensing arm of the University of Wisconsin, reported income of $48.9 million in 2009, largely because of patents to the anticoagulant drug Coumadin, to vitamin D, and to technologies related to stem cells. Columbia—which holds patents to a technique for inserting foreign DNA into host cells to cause them to produce specific proteins, for pharmaceutical applications—reported $16 million in licensing income for 2009, and Stanford reported a whopping $184 million, $336 million of which it earned by selling Google stock. (Google’s founders developed the search technology while they were graduate students at Stanford, so the university holds the patent.)

But Kohlberg says this is not the measure that matters. For one thing, licensing revenue is a lagging indicator; changing the way Harvard handles licensing won’t produce noticeable results for about a decade. He tracks success in other ways. The number of invention disclosures, for instance, has jumped from 160 in fiscal 2004 to 222 in 2007. That’s far below the 320 invention disclosures filed at MIT in fiscal 2007, but Kohlberg considers the trend a vote of confidence. Professors are not required to file the forms, which might be considered precursors to patent applications, so when they do, it indicates trust in OTD to weed through the paperwork and file for a patent if warranted.

Harvard now has an “accelerator fund” to advance research that holds commercial promise, but which isn’t yet at the “Kitty Hawk point,” as Kohlberg calls it—ready for takeoff. The initial round of funding allocated $1.3 million among six life-sciences projects, including research by professor of chemistry and chemical biology Andrew Myers, who is developing an anticancer drug derived from marine fungus. Even though such a drug holds huge potential for healing (and profits), pharmaceutical firms won’t commit to developing it until there’s a reasonable probability they’ll be able to create a product that works. Harvard’s funds will pay for initial studies—for instance, on toxicity—so there’s less likelihood of a late-stage finding that would make marketing the drug impossible. (There are plans to extend the accelerator fund to engineering and the applied sciences, and to bioengineering.) In another effort to address the so-called “development gap,” Partners HealthCare—the parent organization for two of the Medical School’s largest teaching hospitals, Brigham and Women’s and Massachusetts General Hospital—has set up its own internal innovation fund (www.partners.org/civ), like OTD’s, to nurture early work on medical devices and therapies emanating from its researchers’ labs.

In a separate project, Myers is one of the founders of Tetraphase, a company that is developing antibiotics with potential to fight infections resistant to the drugs already on the market. Myers’s lab was the first to develop a completely synthetic form of tetracycline, rather than start with biological material. Kohlberg’s efforts have helped jump-start entrepreneurial thinking at Harvard and encourage ventures like SiOnyx and Tetraphase, says Richard Hamermesh, MBA Class of 1961 professor of management practice, who has written a case on technology transfer at U.S. universities. “It’s in the DNA of MIT,” Hamermesh says. “It’s in the DNA of Stanford. It’s not in the DNA of Harvard.”

What it lacks in genes, Harvard is trying to contribute through environment. With presenters from OTD and the business world, Harvard Business School (HBS) held a day-long symposium for pro-
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Refining the Allston Master Plan

A year ago, Harvard filed three sets of plans for building in Allston with the City of Boston: a master plan for the new Allston campus, plans for a science complex, and a proposal for an art museum. "The City cried 'Uncle' and said, 'We can't do all of this at once,'" says Christopher M. Gordon, chief operating officer of the Allston Development Group (ADG), "and the community said, 'We can't process all this,' so…we focused on [the science complex] and slowed down the other stuff." With the one-million-square-foot science project approved and site work preparatory to construction under way, and the art museum on temporary hold as Harvard undertakes a comprehensive review of the arts (see pages 62 and 51), the focus has shifted to fine-tuning the University's master plan. "We are working very hard to get that refined so we can get back in front of the City, back in front of the community, the faculty, and the staff here…." says Gordon. "We'll start meetings in January and then sometime later in the year, when everybody starts to be comfortable, we will formally file the master plan again."

As part of that effort, detailed program (what goes into each building) and strategy (what moves when) studies are under way for the Schools of Education and Public Health, both of which contemplate moves to Allston. The Medical School has begun its academic planning vis-à-vis allocation of research space there, and a separate study is looking at how University museums might relate to each other in a new location, if they were to move. An agreement has finally been reached to relocate residents of the Charlesview affordable-housing complex, freeing up a 4.5-acre parcel of land strategically located at the intersection of Western Avenue and North Harvard Street. And then there is the infrastructure: Gordon's ADG team has finished the first draft of the master plan's underground components: water, sewer, telecommunications, steam, and electrical lines. Aboveground, his group has finished the conceptual design for all the streetscapes, including medians, sidewalks, intersections, and landscaping. Because of the way the relevant Boston ordinance is written, most of this road work can begin as soon as regular approvals are in hand.

The next step, Gordon says, is lining up the financing. "We have done an estimate of ballpark numbers on most of these projects," and now "we're trying to put them in a schedule, see how fast they could be built. Is it five years, 10 years, 15 years?" The capital plan being worked out now will be one factor that determines how fast an Allston campus will rise. Demand will be another. As Gordon puts it: "When do you really want all this stuff?" In the case of athletic facilities, for example, "We've got a schedule, we think we could do it, but Harvard has to decide whether we kick that off or not."

In fact, the biggest challenge of the next six months may well be figuring out how this campus-building project will unfold. "We're starting to get some pretty good data to figure out what it is going to take in practical terms to do all this…. There is great interest in…undergraduate houses, athletics, more museums, more science, the school of education, the school of public health. That is a lot of stuff," Gordon emphasizes. "Harvard has really got to get focusing. It is not a dream anymore."

Renderings of Harvard's new four-building science complex in Allston. Above: Building I looking southwest from Western Avenue. The ground floor will include retail space and other public amenities, such as an atrium and conference center. Left: Looking west into the "yard" between Building I and Building II from the proposed new road, Stadium Way.
Making a Case against the Courts

How will Americans know that their Supreme Court is truly dedicated to interpreting the Constitution as the Founding Fathers would wish? Attorney, activist, and author Phyllis Schlafly, A.M. ’45, offered some guidelines while discussing “The Culture War in the Courts” on October 15 as part of the 2007-2008 Dean’s Lecture Series at the Radcliffe Institute for Advanced Study. Homeowners won’t face seizure of their property by municipalities eagerly seeking to increase the local tax base, she said. “Unborn babies” will be entitled to protection under the Fourteenth Amendment, while gun-control laws and federal funding of K-12 education will be abandoned. And public-school students will spend more time saying prayers than learning how to use a condom.

A polished, impeccably prepared speaker, Schlafly provided a torrent of legal references, snappy comments, and vivid anecdotes to make her case to an audience of roughly 150, including Radcliffe’s interim dean, Higgins professor of natural sciences Barbara J. Grosz, and former dean, Harvard president Drew Faust. The presentation drew on research and arguments Schlafly has amassed in 41 years of writing a monthly newsletter and 35 years of leading the Eagle Forum, a national organization of “citizens who participate in public policymaking as volunteers” (see “Two Women, Two Histories,” November-December 2007, page 29). She began by invoking Hamilton and Lincoln—the former’s view that the courts would be the least dangerous branch of government because Congress holds all legislative power and assigns their jurisdictions, and the latter’s assertion, after the Dred Scott decision of 1857, that the Supreme Court cannot be allowed to set the law.

“Activist” judges who see the Constitution as a “living document” have used that claim incrementally to take away the supremacy of the people, she charged. Thus the Fifth Amendment prohibition against governmental seizure of private property except for public “use” was sufficiently diluted by a 50-year-old judicial wording shift to public “purpose” that in 2005 a Supreme Court majority could allow New London, Connecticut, to seize homes to make way for a business venture that might generate more taxes. She provided similar examples, in her speech and in reply to subsequent questions, covering other areas of concern to her: parental rights, pornography, religious freedom, and homosexuality. Her comments on the latter prompted a small group of people to walk out.

Schlafly argued that the nation needs judges for the same reason baseball needs umpires—judges who call the balls and strikes, but don’t change the rules of the game. She praised Justice Clarence Thomas for setting the proper example, and said citizens must strive for judicial appointees who represent the ideal expressed almost 800 years ago in the Magna Carta, a forerunner of the Constitution: individuals who “know the law of the realm and are minded to keep it well.” (Video coverage of the lecture appears at www.radcliffe.edu/events/lectures/2007_schlafly.php.)

1989 and has a certain fondness for his doctoral alma mater, but had not previously worked with the University because, he says bluntly, “Harvard has long had a reputation as one of the most difficult institutions in the country to work with.”

That is changing. The dollar value of industry-sponsored research at Harvard increased by 70 percent from 2006 to 2007 alone, and there is more to come. In another recently announced deal, the multinational chemical company BASF will support 10 postdoctoral fellows and inject $20 million during five years into labs at the School of Engineering and Applied Sciences. Merck has agreed to fund basic research in six programs at the Medical School. Such collaborations will grow more common as the University’s historic ambivalence toward corporate funding of scientific research diminishes and as trends in federal funding necessitate them. “I can’t overemphasize the importance of this kind of funding...at a time when the federal government is really in the doldrums” in terms of support for scientific research, Hyman says.

He says he has not encountered the resistance he expected from the faculty. “I was told when I got here that I would meet a firestorm of protest about having a more aggressive technology-transfer office,” Hyman says. Instead, he says, “I’m getting a different kind of complaint. ...I’ve heard from some venture capitalists that Isaac drives too hard a bargain on Harvard’s side. I can live with complaints like that.”

The University’s agreements with industry have passed muster because Harvard has been extremely judicious in the way it structured them, Kohlberg says. As with the Vertex deal, he says, “All the projects are faculty-initiated. They are not contract research. There is no limitation on the public dissemination of the results of the project. There is no direction by the company on the project.” Indeed, the terms of these deals differ significantly from corporate partnerships some other universities have signed—notably, Berkeley’s agreements with BP and Novartis. The Novartis agreement, reached in 1998 and already concluded, gave the drug company veto power over the university’s ability to patent the findings of research the company funded, and the first rights to negotiate on any patents coming out of the university’s department of plant and microbial biology—whether or not the company funded the underlying research. The BP agreement, which is new, involves proprietary labs on university property.

Kohlberg also aims to make Harvard a leader in what is called “socially responsible licensing”—enabling the developing world to benefit from innovative research. This is where it becomes clearly apparent that con-
trol over how an invention is used, and not profits, can be the chief motivation for seeking a patent. OTD recently licensed a new vaccine technology developed by Lehman professor of microbiology and molecular genetics John Mekalanos to a China-based venture-capital company for commercial development—but retains the rights to license the technology to governments and humanitarian groups in the developing world. With regard to an inhaled tuberculosis vaccine spray invented by McKay professor of the practice of biomedical engineering David A. Edwards, Harvard is forgoing royalties from sales in developing countries and donating royalties from sales in developed countries to Medicine in Need, a nonprofit Edwards founded.

Academic ambivalence toward commercialization largely stems from a fundamental misunderstanding, says Flowers University Professor George M. Whitesides, a chemist whose name is on more than 50 Harvard patents and 160 patent applications, and whose work has spawned more than a dozen startups, including Genzyme, over the years (see “Patent Portfolio,” September-October 2007, page 70). Whitesides, who is one of the directors for the BASF project, spent two decades on the MIT faculty before coming to Harvard in 1982: “The idea that there’s something intrinsically better about doing things that are useless, as opposed to doing things that have the potential to be useful, is an incorrect formulation,” he says. Seeking to apply research is “not getting your hands dirty. I mean, what’s dirty about trying to help your mother live for another five years, or trying to make a better communications system, or trying to make engines that are twice as efficient?” he asks. “Society pays us not to write papers, but ultimately to solve societal problems.”

Art Museum Two-Step

The museum of modern and contemporary art that Harvard plans to build in Allston will have to wait. In September, the Harvard Corporation decided that the project, once fast-tracked for rapid construction ahead of Harvard’s 50-year Allston master plan, was not so pressing a priority as the renovation of 32 Quincy Street in Cambridge, home to the Fogg and Busch-Reisinger art museums. The roof leaks at the Fogg, and the building is more than 50 years overdue for attention to its antiquated electrical, plumbing, and climate-control systems.

The Harvard University Art Museums (HUAM) had focused on the Allston project as a way to address the absence of modern and contemporary art exhibition space on campus—a serious structural deficiency for an institution whose collections rival in size those of the public museums of Chicago and Philadelphia. But last March, the Allston project was put on hold for at least a few months in order to allow the neighborhood community time to focus instead on plans for a science complex (see “Off the Fast Track,” May-June 2007, page 64). Residents raised “legitimate, valid” concerns, says Cabot director of the museums Thomas W. Lentz, who nevertheless felt most issues could have been “resolved through the design process.” (One community objection centered on the amount of public gallery space as compared to non-public space in the original design).

Now, with a comprehensive review of the arts at Harvard under way, with its own implications for Allston (see page 51), Harvard will instead proceed with the Quincy Street renovation, a massive and complex undertaking that will begin on June 30 with the yearlong process of emptying the building of all its artwork and staff. (Artworks and personnel will move to an offsite location in Greater Boston.) Construction is expected to begin in late fall 2009. The project will be extraordinarily expensive, not only because of the multiple moves of objects and personnel required, and the challenges of working on an urban site, but also because of the building’s landmark status, the need to capture underground space, and the requirement for complex fire, security, and climate-control systems. The total cost may run a few hundred million dollars.

When 32 Quincy Street reopens in 2013, it will serve as home to all three of the University art museums: the Fogg, the Sackler, and the Busch-Reisinger. (During the closure, HUAM will use the nearby Sackler building, one-third the size of the Fogg, for a limited installation of artworks from all three collections). Architect Renzo Piano is working on the plans, now in the conceptual phase, as the museum seeks approval from Cambridge boards and community groups. Once the renovation is complete, all the collections will be represented in the new building, but only some of the staff and the collections will return. The Fine Arts Library will move to the Sackler building, joining the already-resident history of art and architecture department. Gallery space in the renovated Fogg will increase, but office space will be reclaimed, so only the curatorial, conservation, and director’s staff will remain.

With one of the great collections in the United States, “Harvard is not only in the major art-museum business, it is in it in a very big way,” says Lentz. “We want to make the collections far more accessible than they have ever been in the past...for all students.

Nobelists of Note

Five alumni—two of them former faculty members—and the recipient of an honorary doctorate were among those to whom Nobel Prizes were awarded during the annual rite, which took place this year between October 8 and October 15. In addition, four faculty members were leaders in the scientific work cited in the awarding of the Nobel Peace Prize (see below).

• Medicine. Mario R. Capecchi, Ph.D. ’67, who studied biophysics and was a member of the Society of Fellows, shared the prize with two other researchers for their work on developing genetic “knockout” technology that has enabled scientists to model diseases in mice—a technique that has broad applications for modifying DNA and working with embryonic stem cells.
all faculty, and the communities where we operate, both Cambridge and Allston, not simply for the specialists we always have and always will train; and we want to do it with a model that encourages collaboration in working across disciplines.”

Lentz’s vision is to use the museum collections as a resource to “teach through works of art in ways that the rest of Harvard can’t. If works of art are viewed as more than just pretty objects,” he explains, “if they are understood as the material embodiment of ideas and values and traditions and emotions and attitudes, then all of a sudden a collection like this turns into a very powerful educational and teaching resource. What we are trying to do is reposition the museums within Harvard’s educational mission.”

This vision for renewed curricular engagement is driving the physical plan. “We are moving to a two-site operating model,” Lentz says. “When I first came here, we tackled in a very fundamental way” the question of whether to maintain a presence in Cambridge, “because we will never have the space we need here: the site is too tight.” After much discussion, “we decided unanimously we’d be insane if we ever moved from this location...[W]e’re in the center of a visual-arts corridor that sits right on the edge of Harvard Yard: Houghton Library’s rare books and manuscripts; the Barker humanities center; the Carpenter Center, where the practice of art takes place; Sever Hall’s brand new facilities for film and video; the film archive next door; and the Graduate School of Design.”

In addition, he points out, “We have this great mission—we are not driven by attendance or revenue generation. We are all about teaching and research and education and learning.” Recognizing the limitations of the Cambridge site, Harvard will try to maximize its utility, rather than move all the collections to a new museum in Allston.

“When the dust settles and Renzo Piano is through, we’re going to have in place what we think is going to be, in many ways, a different kind of art museum,” Lentz says. “It is going to be an integrated balance of exhibition galleries, study centers, classrooms, seminar rooms, lecture hall, auditorium, as well as, in the Straus Center, one of the great conservation laboratories in the world. So in many ways, we are setting this up as our major public presentation and teaching platform.”

Lentz hasn’t forgotten Allston, where HUAM already has a public-education program underway with the Gardner elementary school. Allston will be “a really healthy thing for this museum,” he believes, “because we are going to have to go out and engage with and build an entirely new audience over there. At a time when the visual is so privileged in this society—think of how information is conveyed and communicated—not having the tools and resources that help people foster critical looking and thinking skills, I think, is a huge deficiency.”
Global Gains

Harvard's engagement with the world widened significantly during the fall term. New or enlarged programs of scholarship and study involving Brazil, Egypt, and South Asia were launched. A professional school extended financial aid to international students. Data on study abroad and foreign students coming to Harvard during the last academic year showed traffic increasing in both directions (see graphs below). And Madero professor of Mexican and Latin American politics and economics Jorge I. Domínguez, the vice provost for international affairs—the post was created in mid 2006—put support in place to continue the momentum.

• Brazil. The Brazil Studies Program, launched within the David Rockefeller Center for Latin American Studies in May 2006, was boosted by the opening of a São Paulo office two months later. The initiative spurred an immediate surge of student and faculty exchanges—nearly 50 undergraduates did academic work or held internships in that country in the 2006-2007 academic year—as well as a series of speakers and conversas (lunchtime symposiums) on campus.

Now such activities have been supplemented substantially by an eight-figure endowment gift from the family of Jorge Paulo Lemann ’61, announced in early October. A Brazilian investment banker and philanthropist, Lemann has previously backed education initiatives ranging from a professorship at Harvard Business School to comparable gifts and fellowships for other universities in the United States and in his native country.

The Harvard initiative (http://drclas.harvard.edu/brazil) enables a full range of intellectual work on Brazil—“We went broad rather than narrow,” said visiting professor of history Kenneth Maxwell, director of the program. But it has in particular prompted public-service-oriented research, training, and education. Lemann Fellowships underwrite Brazilians’ graduate study in education, public health, or public policy at Harvard, as well as dissertations on Brazil by Graduate School of Arts and Sciences students. The eight current fellows are pursuing work in child development, poverty reduction, the education of low-income students, ethnomusicology, environmental chemistry, and other subjects.

The first formal Brazil-Harvard symposium, held in São Paulo last May, brought 35 University and Brazilian public-health specialists, administrators, and Lemann Fellows together for three days of working discussions. One outcome is a Harvard School of Public Health (HSPH)-Santa Casa Medical School course on infectious disease, scheduled for January 6 to 21 in Brazil; participants include 15 Harvard and 17 Brazilian students, faculty members from the public-health and medical schools, and counterparts from 11 Brazilian institutions. The 2008 symposium, in northeastern Brazil this August, will enable Harvard and Brazilian specialists to address the environment and sciences.

• Egypt. In mid November, Youssef Boutros Ghali, Egypt’s minister of finance, met in Cambridge with President Drew Faust to sign his government’s $10-million endowment to fund “Egypt Fellowships” for students from that nation who study in HSPH, the Graduate School of Education, and the Kennedy School of Government (KSG).

• South Asia. On a larger scale, Domínguez helped bring together an eight-member steering committee—from the Faculty of Arts and Sciences (FAS) and the business, Kennedy, and public-health schools—for the formal launch of the South Asia Initiative (www.southasia.harvard.edu), unveiled in November after several years of academic planning. This new venture focuses on the quarter of humanity in India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and surrounding nations, where economic growth is rapid but unequal, and where there are major issues of health, the environment, and peace and security. Like other regional-studies programs, it aims to expand research, increase financial aid for international students, facilitate Asian travel for Harvard faculty members and students, and form partnerships abroad.

This academic year, Domínguez said, India has supplanted the United Kingdom as the fourth-largest home of international students at Harvard. The business school operates a research office in Mumbai, GSD faculty are planning for urban renewal there, KSG faculty are training Indian public administrators, and HSPH has broad relationships with public-health professionals throughout India—all activities highlighted during visits by President Lawrence H. Summers and the Harvard Alumni Association in March 2006. The number of undergraduates doing
Public Health Dean Steps Down

Barry R. Bloom, dean of the Harvard School of Public Health since 1999 (and thus the University’s senior dean) will relinquish his post on June 30. Doing so, he said in an announcement on November 15, will enable a new leader to plan the school’s relocation to the Allston campus. For the rest of this academic year, Bloom intends to focus on new programs in genes and the environment, quantitative genomics, and global health. The latter has long been a personal priority: Bloom, who is Jacobson professor of public health, is a specialist in tuberculosis and infectious diseases—work he expects to continue as a Distinguished Service Professor. The magazine will examine his tenure in more detail in a future issue.

Calendar for Curriculum Change

Wolfson professor of Jewish studies Jay M. Harris, master of Cabot House, reported to the Faculty of Arts and Sciences that the undergraduate general education curriculum, legislated last spring (see “College Curriculum Change Completed,” July-August 2007, page 64), will offer new courses beginning this fall and take full effect in 2009, for the entering College class of 2013. Speaking November 13 as chair of the standing committee on general education, Harris said early efforts are focusing on the creation of complex multidisciplinary courses. Core curriculum courses will be available at least through 2012, supplemented by departmental offerings. Students entering Harvard this fall would likely have the opportunity to complete either set of requirements; current freshmen and sophomores will find it difficult to do so.

National Humanities Medalists

President Bush has conferred the National Humanities Medal on Baird professor of history emeritus Richard Pipes and Peretz professor of Yiddish literature and professor of comparative literature Ruth R. Wisse (profiled in “Mame-loshn at Harvard,” July-August 1997, page 32). In the White House ceremony on November 15, the president cited Pipes for “peerless scholarship on Russia and Eastern Europe and for a life of service to freedom’s cause.” He recognized Wisse, author of the current Jews and Power, for scholarship that has “illuminated Jewish literary traditions.”

Interim Endowment Executive

Harvard Business School (HBS) professor of management practice Robert S. Kaplan, M.B.A. ’83, has been appointed interim CEO of Harvard Management Company (HMC), effective November 12. HMC, which manages the University’s endowment, pension, and other assets, is conducting a search for a permanent successor to Mohamed El-Erian, who has returned to Pacific Investment Management Company (see “An Unexpected Risk Factor,” November-December 2007, page 64). Kaplan is a senior director of Goldman Sachs Group, where he became partner in 1990, led international and domestic investment-banking and corporate-finance units, and served as vice chairman from 2002 to 2006, with responsibility for investment banking and investment management. Separately, HBS’s Schiff professor of investment banking, Josh Lerner, and two MIT colleagues published “Secrets of the Academy: Drivers of University Endowment Success,” interpreting the market-beating performance of large, elite universities’ endowments during the past two decades in terms of their commitment to alternative investments: venture capital, hedge funds, and real estate (http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1027450).

Nota Bene

Vatican envoy. Hand professor of law Mary Ann Glendon has been appointed United States ambassador to the Holy See. She was appointed to the Pontifical Academy of Social Sciences in 1994 and named its president in 2004, and led the Holy See’s delegation to the 1995 United Nations Conference on Women.
The competition. The University of Pennsylvania launched a $3.5-billion capital campaign in late October. The University of California, Berkeley, has received a $113-million challenge gift from the Hewlett Foundation to support 100 new faculty chairs and to attract graduate students. The University of Michigan has announced a $30-million program to hire new interdisciplinary junior faculty members. MIT alumnus David H. Koch has given that institution $100 million to jump-start construction of an integrative cancer-research center. And on the financial-aid front, Williams College eliminated loans from students’ aid packages, effective this fall, and Tufts University has introduced a program to pay the loans of its college alumni who go on to pursue public-service careers.

Connecting to China. Rosovsky professor of government Elizabeth J. Perry, who studies grassroots governance and social protest in China, has been appointed director of the Harvard-Yenching Institute effective July 1. She succeeds Tu Wei-Ming, Harvard-Yenching professor of Chinese history and philosophy and of Confucian studies, director since 1996. The institute (see “Where East Meets West,” January-February 2006, page 67) promotes cultural and social-sciences studies in Asian higher education, in part through extensive visiting fellowships at the University.

Scholar roster. Pole-vaulter Clara L. Blättler ‘08, who is concentrating in earth and planetary sciences, won a Rhodes Scholarship, as did Sammy K. Sambu ‘08, as a Rhodes Scholar from Kenya. A biomedical science and engineering concentrator, she intends to work on vaccine-delivery systems that can be used in developing nations that lack refrigeration and sterilization equipment. Shayak Sarkar ‘07, who received a bachelor’s degree in applied mathematics and a master’s degree in statistics last June, was the College’s third Rhodes; he aims to apply analytical techniques to problems such as affordable housing. Megan Galbreth ‘08, a joint concentrator in French and linguistics, won a Marshall Scholarship; she will study at Oxford.

Courageous jurist. In the wake of Pakistan’s state of emergency, the Harvard Law School Association awarded that country’s chief justice, Iftikhar Chaudhry, who was put under house arrest, its highest honor, the school’s Medal of Freedom, along with an invitation to visit to receive the honor when he is free to travel.

Touch of Glamour. Glamour magazine’s “women of the year” (December 2007) included Ivy presidents Drew Faust, Amy Gutmann (Penn), Ruth Simmons (Brown), and Shirley Tilghman (Princeton).

Energy Initiative. Shell Exploration & Production Company is funding a five-year, $3.75-million University energy-policy program to be coordinated by the Kennedy School of Government.

Miscellany. With Harvard, Princeton, and Virginia having abolished early admissions for undergraduate applicants, competing institutions such as Yale, Chicago, and Georgetown saw their early-applicant rosters rise 20 percent to 45 percent. Admissions officials expect a more complicated sorting out of which accepted students ultimately choose to enroll in which schools come this spring....The American Association for the Advancement of Science has named four faculty members fellows: Brooks professor of international science, public policy, and human development William C. Clark; Clowes professor of anthropology Peter T. Ellison; Hessel professor of biology Naomi E. Pierce; and McKay professor of environmental engineering Peter P. Rogers....Susan Fliss was appointed associate librarian for Harvard College for research and instruction, effective October 15; she will focus on aligning library programs with teaching and research uses of the collections....The Institute of Medicine has elected these new members from Harvard faculties: Massachusetts General Hospital professor of anaesthesia Emery N. Brown; professor of medicine William G. Kaelin; Jordan professor of medicine David T. Scadden; Bugher Foundation professor of genetics Jonathan G. Seidman; and professor of health policy and economics Katherine Swartz....The Coop is celebrating its 125th anniversary year with monthly events and promotions; see www.thecoop.com for details.

TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY 400TH: To celebrate John Harvard’s 400th anniversary, President Drew Faust and actor Richard Dava blew out the candles on a Veritas-themed cake at the Murr Center, site of a post-Princeton-game party on October 20. Harvard Alumni Association executive director John P. Reardon Jr. supervised.
work in India rose from 3 in the academic year ending in 2005 to 57 last year.

The initiative, Dominguez said, has attracted a gift for a new Mehra Family professorship of South Asian studies, to be used in various faculties (Sanjeev K. Mehra ’82, M.B.A. ’86, and Karen Petersen Mehra ’82 are the donors), and several other leadership gifts for a South Asia Founders Club.

• Advancing the agenda. Behind the scenes, Dominguez’s office is underpinning global Harvard by creating databases that will track all personnel abroad (for security purposes), and a Web resource that will detail every Harvard international initiative, so students and researchers can determine common interests and available resources. Area-studies centers have also moved toward a common application form for undergraduate work abroad, and for graduate students whose research requires exploratory travel. With the Board of Overseers restructuring its visiting committees into an integrated body that will examine international and area centers within FAS, the elements are falling in place to align and administer Harvard’s two-way discourse with the world.

Indeed, Dominguez said he sees evidence of Harvard’s ability to make “vast intellectual commitments” to important research and teaching opportunities around the globe during the twenty-first century.

Teaching—and Learning—Abroad

Mollie Wright ’09 expected to spend her summer in Costa Rica teaching English. She was, after all, a volunteer for WorldTeach, a nonprofit, nongovernmental organization affiliated with the Harvard Center for International Development that places volunteer teachers in developing countries throughout the world. But Wright, stationed in a small rural pueblo in “the northwest ‘cowboy-country’” as the profesora de inglés of nearly 100 teenage students, didn’t expect to find herself a teacher without a classroom.

The town had begun construction of a new, all-purpose building next to the overcrowded school, but lack of funds had prevented its completion. “They said, ‘We’re missing doors, hinges, windows—and you see that part of the roof that should be meeting the wall? We’re missing that, too!’” she recalls. After a meeting with other WorldTeach volunteers in a nearby city, Wright sprang into action. She helped raise the funds to complete the project by e-mailing friends and relatives for contributions and, along with community organizers, her fellow teachers, and her students, she worked to help make the building usable. When she left, with the salon nearing completion, she says she “had to fight them to stop them from naming it after me. It had nothing to do with me but everything to do with being lucky: because WorldTeach put me there, that’s what allowed this opportunity to exist.”

It’s an opportunity, says Helen Claire Sievers, executive director of WorldTeach, that exists for all the organization’s volunteers. “They’re doing everything they can in regular scheduled classes,” says Sievers, “but also [they’re participating] in international development. They are, more than simply teachers, special guests to provide outside understanding and support in these communities.”

WorldTeach (www.worldteach.org) got its start in 1985, when Michael Kremer ’85, Ph.D. ’92, then a new social-studies graduate, decided to spend some time in a rural part of a developing country and found his way to Kenya. Before long, and much to his surprise, he was called before the head of the local village government. “I didn’t know if I was in trouble, but it turned out he was starting a school, and I was asked to teach there. I stayed for a year, then started to look for someone to replace me.”

Kremer did not have to look far. In 1986, with Daniel Levy ’88 and Sydney Rosen ’87, M.P.A. ’95, he founded WorldTeach under the auspices of the Phillips Brooks House Association at Harvard.

Today, Kremer is Gates professor of developing societies, a member of the economics department in the Faculty of Arts and Sciences: a position he credits, at least in part, to his year in Kenya. “It certainly deepened my interest in development,” he notes, “and I think it was useful to combine the more academic study of development with the experience of working in a developing country. I’m sure that shaped the research I do as an academic.”

Since Kremer’s serendipitous experience in Kenya, WorldTeach—despite receiving no funding from the U.S. government and no significant sustained funding from other sources—has placed thousands of volunteers throughout Africa, Eastern Europe, Latin America, Asia, and the Pacific Islands. Currently, Harvard and non-Harvard students alike participate in seven- to eight-week summer programs in Costa Rica, Ecuador, Namibia,
WorldTeach offers an international experience altogether different from Harvard’s study-abroad programs, and draws an accordingly unique pool of applicants. “A handful of our volunteers may go on to do work at the [Harvard Graduate School of Education (HGSE)],” says Sievers, “but in general they don’t intend to become teachers, even though a non-negligible number will go on to work in international education.” The large majority simply “want to do something really different, and want to do something meaningful.”

The organization is constantly considering new partnerships with host countries, a process involving a careful consideration of each country’s individual concerns and needs. While volunteers in Costa Rica and Ecuador focus on teaching English, for example, the volunteers in Namibia work to incorporate computer technology into its education system and those in South Africa focus on the economic empowerment of their students.

But whatever the volunteers’ focus, WorldTeach takes teaching seriously. Every volunteer now goes through an intensive two-week in-country training program (four weeks for the yearlong programs) that covers everything from the basics of the native language and culture to the techniques of teaching. Each volunteer also receives a 45-hour teacher-training manual, the text for their orientation training, written by interns from the HGSE with staff member Nicole Watson. Sievers hopes eventually to offer 125 hours of training, even though the current session is a respectable 65 to 70 hours. “We try to train them as well as we can,” she says, “and to be as supportive as we can.”

But what WorldTeach volunteers often find the most rewarding aspect of the experience are their activities outside the classroom. “We strongly encourage them to pursue community-service projects,” says Sievers, pointing to the photographs of enterprising volunteers covering the walls of the WorldTeach offices in Cambridge. One volunteer raised the funds to provide mosquito nets and bed sheets for the children in her Namibian village. Another built a theater for children in the Marshall Islands, while a volunteer in Guyana provided her school with its own photocopier. Libraries, playgrounds, even a basketball court built from scratch in Costa Rica—there are few things that haven’t been built or pursued by WorldTeach’s “teachers.”

Professional improvisers might be a better job description. In Cape Town, South Africa, during the summer of 2005, Thomas Wooten ’08 spent a few weeks leading a “microfinance initiative for teenagers” with the Foundation for Economic and Business Development. When his subsequent assignment with an environmental organization fell through, Wooten and a fellow volunteer threw together an informal after-school tutoring program for local high-school students. “Only one of them had a textbook, and I didn’t even have a blackboard, but they were some of the most motivated students I could have asked for,” he reports. When he wasn’t teaching, Wooten assembled interviews for an oral-history project about apartheid, headed by the director of WorldTeach South Africa, Roddy Bray.

“It is amazing,” says Sievers, “to see what our volunteers can do in two months, let alone a year.” Even so, she sees the time each volunteer spends with WorldTeach as more of a beginning than an end. “We are, at least to Harvard students, a sort of ‘International Living and Working 101,’” she adds. “We want to help our volunteers become dedicated international citizens. And I think we’ve been very effective in that.”

For Mollie Wright, the difficulties of teaching under a tree in Costa Rica haven’t deterred her in the slightest from further work in international development and education; a tour in the Peace Corps, she says, is not out of the question. Wooten, inspired by his work in the shantytowns of Cape Town, sought out classes in MIT’s urban studies and planning department when he returned for his sophomore year, and conducted thesis research on the disaster-recovery efforts in New Orleans this past summer.

Even the simple rewards of being a world citizen have not been lost on Alton Buland ’04, who spent a summer with WorldTeach Poland, then worked for two years at the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, and is now pursuing a master’s at the Nitze School of Advanced International Studies at Johns Hopkins. “I’ve learned,” he says, “that knowing a little Polish can go a long way with expats, students, or diplomats from Warsaw—usually leading to a vodka shot and a heartfelt Na Zdrowie!”

Tom Wooten improvised a tutoring program for students in Cape Town.
On a hot September day in 2004, President Lawrence H. Summers was addressing the large group of newly arrived freshmen and their parents about the wonders of the Harvard community. He mentioned Olympians, politicians, and dazzling professors. Then he began to speak of the “miracle” that Harvard performs on its students: an anecdote I later found printed in full on a Harvard website, if not in my memory.

Year after year we seem to deny the laws of mathematics. Here’s how we do it. We survey the freshmen, and we ask them, do you think you’re in the top half of the class or in the bottom half of the class? About 60 percent say that they’re in the bottom half of the class. We also survey seniors. Are you in the top half of the class or are you in the bottom half of the class? And almost two-thirds say that they are in the top half of the class. It’s really quite remarkable what we are able to do for you.

I remember parents and students laughing politely at this joke, enchanted by this easy promise of success. Summers seemed both avuncular and glamorous—impressive in his own towering success, yet welcoming us to Harvard in a way that was reassuring without being patronizing. Perhaps at first it will be hard and you will be discouraged, Summers seemed to say from his perch. But by the end of four years you’ll be one of us: the confident, the elite, the educated.

I grasped the magnitude of the challenge, already intimidated by the applications required for freshman seminars, the thought of taking classes with people smarter than I was, and the social marathon of integrating into a college of thousands of talented people my own age. But I had no idea of—and Summers did not mention—the emotional logistics of the “miracle” he claimed Harvard works upon its freshmen. I did not even wonder what might be involved in the transition from underestimating to overestimating one’s abilities.

Seven months later, I was lying in the bottom bunk of my cramped freshman double when I realized that I was a complete and utter failure. I simultaneously began to cry and reach for the medication I had just been prescribed for an unfortunate case of mono, which I would later refer to as “a disease without dignity.” After an auspicious start to my first year, there I was, flat on my back, diseased, and—even more shameful—unemployed for the coming summer. It seemed as if everyone else I knew had an impressive job already lined up, yet even thinking about trying to plan my own summer, a concept previously foreign, made me exhausted and sad.

My freshman year thus far had hinged on the unstoppable energy I brought to the task of adaptation and survival. I worked tirelessly to stay, as Summers might put it, above the bottom half. Joining the Crimson, excelling in English courses, and making friends, while all truly important to me, also served as reassuring checkpoints whenever I raised the internal question of my own inadequacy. In order to assuage my doubts, I applied for things with an almost manic energy: grants, student groups, jobs, and enrollment-limited classes. The opportunities for rejection are endless at an institution that prizes merit-based exclusivity, and I sought out an impressive number of them,
I could not understand why I was having so much trouble emulating their easy perfection.

It was not just the mono that had me feeling so defeated. As the youngest of four feisty siblings, my main survival skill has always been rapid and seamless adaptation. Complaining was never tolerated, so my first instinct is to adjust silently and quickly to whatever situation I am in. At Harvard, I instantly understood that to adapt successfully meant to excel at classes, social life, and preprofessional development, all with minimal discernible effort. I thought I saw this being accomplished everywhere—by roommates, by friends on the Crimson, by classmats—and I could not understand why I was having so much trouble emulating their easy perfection. In my frustration I left unexamined the question of what I actually wanted, too concerned with my fears about keeping up with everyone else to care about understanding my own desires.

What surprises me now about how disconnected and inept I felt then was my absolute assumption that I was alone in my fears of inadequacy. Alone, I berated myself for getting caught up in destructive comparisons of myself to my peers, or for not knowing what I wanted to do after college, or for not getting a stellar grade. I worried occasionally that I was the only person I knew without a summer job lined up by November. I clammed up in sections, sure that my comments would be the least valuable of the discussion. Every small rejection or failure felt immeasurably personal, and it would have shocked me if someone had told me that other people had similar thoughts. Hiding my insecurities became almost another extracurricular activity, but one that nobody would put on a résumé.

To escape this unpleasant feeling, I decided to run away. I applied for a summer study-abroad program in Argentina and within months was taking classes in Buenos Aires, far from the environment that had so confused me. Abroad, I felt free to make mistakes, be imperfect, and admit how much I did not know. Much of the crushing pressure that had weighed upon me during the spring was gone, and I returned to school confident of my ability to stay out of a competition for perfection that I was doomed to lose. But there were still pitfalls. Only a few months later, I found myself crying again, clutching the University Gazette’s description of that year’s Marshall Scholars. Their collective accomplishments felt oppressive. My instinct to adapt banged around, frustrated, inside of me, and I lacked the courage to seek advice from friends who I assumed would not be able to relate.

There was no one defining moment at which I made the switch from insecure freshman to cocky senior that Summers so confidently predicted. In fact, I am still not sure I would feel comfortable picking my own percentage-based place in the class of 2008. It smacks of the very comparisons and sidelong appraisals that contributed to my feeling so lost for two years. Yet, in gradually broaching the almost taboo subject of failure with my closest friends, it became harder and harder for me to assume infallibility in my peers and hopelessness in myself. That way of thinking began to look both self-pitying and unrealistic. Naturally and almost imperceptibly, my instinct to adapt and succeed stopped ruling my actions and thoughts, and space opened up in my life to explore, to learn, and to breathe.

And then, early this semester, I hit a wall again. About halfway through my belabored thesis proposal, in a moment of desperation, I decided that I needed a mentor, any mentor. After combing my inbox for a few minutes I found the desired e-mail—a notice, full of hope and exclamation marks, sent out over my House listserv about a program that matches undergraduates with a Radcliffe Institute fellow. I followed the link and filled out a brief form about my interests, motivation for seeking a mentor (procrastination?), and hopes for our future relationship.

A few weeks later, long after having forgotten I had ever applied, I received an e-mail politely informing me of my rejection from the mentor program. Alas, the letter explained, the number of qualified potential mentees was overwhelming, and the number of mentors sadly small, but I should certainly apply another time. I immediately walked out into our common room to tell my roommates.

“Another Harvard rejection!” I yelled.

They laughed, and we spent a good five minutes reminiscing about the numerous programs, grants, student groups, and classes from which we had been rejected over the past three years. Even before setting foot on campus, we discovered, many of us already felt inadequate and doomed to mediocrity. Stephanie mentioned that she had wanted to come to Cambridge early for the Freshman Arts Program, one of several optional orientation activities for incoming freshmen, but was told no. Avis said she was rejected from freshman seminars in her very first week. Kim remembered the grueling process of trying to land a summer job. Jenny cringed at the memory of her French language placement exam the first week of school.

Yet, somehow, as wizened seniors lolling about on hand-me-down futons, we found ourselves laughing about these rejections as if they never really mattered. And, perhaps, they didn’t.

Berta Greenwald Ledecky Undergraduate Fellow
Liz Goodwin is slowly but steadily writing her senior thesis, with or without a mentor.
SPORTS

Storybook Ending

A rout of Yale brings another Ivy League trophy.

Rebounding from a rocky start, the football team defeated its first six Ivy League opponents and scripted a stunning finale by routing a previously unbeaten Yale squad, 37-6. The Yale Bowl upset dashed Old Eli’s hopes of completing a perfect season, and brought Harvard its fourth outright Ivy title since 1997. Not since the grand opening of the Bowl in 1914, when Harvard spoiled the occasion with a 36-0 shutout, had Yale been so abjectly humiliated by a Crimson squad.

The lopsidedness of The Game was a source of wonder even to those responsible for it. “People had pretty much left us for dead [when our record was] 1-2,” said head coach Tim Murphy. “We dreamed we’d get this result, but we didn’t dream we’d get this kind of dominance.” Harvard’s ferocious defense, led by end and captain Brad Bagdis ’08, held high-scoring tailback Mike McLeod to 50 yards rushing. Senior quarterback Chris Pizzotti, in his first start, the football team defeated its first six Ivy League opponents and scripted a stunning finale by routing a previously unbeaten Yale squad, 37-6. The Yale Bowl upset dashed Old Eli’s hopes of completing a perfect season, and brought Harvard its fourth outright Ivy title since 1997. Not since the grand opening of the Bowl in 1914, when Harvard spoiled the occasion with a 36-0 shutout, had Yale been so abjectly humiliated by a Crimson squad.

The lopsidedness of The Game was a source of wonder even to those responsible for it. “People had pretty much left us for dead [when our record was] 1-2,” said head coach Tim Murphy. “We dreamed we’d get this result, but we didn’t dream we’d get this kind of dominance.” Harvard’s ferocious defense, led by end and captain Brad Bagdis ’08, held high-scoring tailback Mike McLeod to 50 yards rushing. Senior quarterback Chris Pizzotti, in his first start against Yale, completed 27 of 41 passes for 316 yards—the most for any Harvard passer in a Yale game—and four touchdowns. The Crimson offense ran 91 plays to Yale’s 56.

“Those were the times when we really fought for it. Pizzotti, who’d started five games a year earlier, took over at quarterback and excelled. The Crimson defense had already shown its mettle, coming up with three drive-breaking interceptions against Holy Cross, making three more against Brown, and denying Lehigh an offensive touchdown. Fierce defensive play would be a consistent strength during the season.

In the team’s second Ivy League test, the defense forced five Cornell turnovers in a 32-15 victory that marked the start of a seven-game winning streak. Back at the Stadium, in the team’s last nonleague game, Harvard defensive ends intercepted four Lafayette passes, with cornerback Steven Williams ’08 returning the fourth for a 91-yard score. The defense had another four-interception day a fortnight later, picking off one Dartmouth pass at the Harvard three-yard line and another in the end zone to arrest scoring drives. Senior safety Doug Hewlett had three of the steals, the most in one game for a Harvard player since 1967. At Columbia, the defense had six quarterback sacks.

With the season’s end two weekends away, only Harvard and Yale were still unbeaten in league play. Every other team had at least two losses, ensuring that The Game would decide the Ivy championship.

Penn—always a tough team, but with three league losses on its record—was Harvard’s last Stadium opponent. Quaker back Joe Sandberg, the Ivies’ second-ranking rusher, injured a knee on the game’s first play, and without him Penn was held to 108 yards in total offense—Harvard’s best defensive effort so far. With 43 seconds left in the first half, a 20-yard pass from Pizzotti to wide receiver Corey Mazza ’07 (’08) staked Harvard to a 7-0 lead. Receiver Matt Luft ’10 made a leaping catch in the end zone for a second-half touchdown. Receiver Matt Luft ’10 made a leaping catch in the end zone for a second-half touchdown.

Yale extended its unbeaten streak with a 27-6 victory at Princeton. Co-titlists with the Tigers in 2006, the Eli were now running the table.

Running the Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holy Cross</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>28-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>24-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at Lehigh</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>13-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at Cornell</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>32-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>27-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princeton</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>27-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dartmouth</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>28-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at Columbia</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>27-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>23-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at Yale</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>37-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
within range of Yale's first outright Ivy title since 1980, its first unbeaten-untied season since 1960, and its first 10-victory season since 1909. But it wasn't to be.

For the first time since 1968—the year of the storied 29-29 tie—Harvard and Yale went into The Game with spotless records in Ivy play. The prospect of an epic clash between archrival teams stirred excitement in the media and elsewhere. "This is going to be a game people will talk about forever," Yale coach Jack Siedlecki told the Boston Globe's John Powers '70.

Yale had a right to be cocky. The previous year's team had treated Harvard to a 34-13 mauling. The Blue had the Ivies' best rushing attack and its top-rated defense. Junior tailback McLeod led the nation in rushing with 174 yards per game, and had 23 touchdowns. Yet almost nothing went right for the Eli.

Not even the coin toss. Harvard won it and chose to receive. Pizzotti came out throwing, and just 68 seconds into the game he had Harvard on the board with a 40-yard pass to Luft in the end zone. Late in the quarter Luft scored again on a 33-yard aerial. The Crimson added points on its next two possessions, with Ho diving in for a one-yard touchdown and sophomore receiver Mike Cook snaring a 15-yard pass at the goal line. In Yale's previous five games, its defense had allowed a total of 47 points. By halftime Harvard had 27. Yet things could have been worse: the half ended with the Crimson at Yale's one-yard line.

A third-quarter field goal by sophomore kicker Patrick Long and an early-fourth-quarter touchdown pass to tight end Jason Yard. A Japanese book-editor friend of Gordon suggested writing the book, and threw in a press pass. "I'd have to write only 20 pages a month for six months," Gordon says, "then do a wrap-up."

He went to nearly all of Matsuzaka's starts and the press conferences afterwards; Matsuzaka was very sparing with one-on-one interviews, and Gordon didn't get such access, but he was able to speak with some senior Red Sox figures, including general manager Theo Epstein, and with pitching coach John Farrell, who was "very forthcoming." Gordon already knew Matsuzaka's translator Masa Hoshino '02 (see May-June 2007, page 73), who had taken one of his courses on Japan.

The historian believes that Matsuzaka, who consistently gave an impression of immense seriousness during the season, "is a lot more interesting and fun-loving than he lets on." Gordon also agrees with the sports-media consensus that, after his year and stretch; when he seemed to tire later in the season, he "went on sabbatical." The strategy worked. He won the playoffs, Gordon says, by giving him "a sabbatical!" The strategy worked. He won the American League pennant-clinching game and became the first Japanese pitcher to play in the World Series.
Indeed, Yale’s play was a study in futility. The respective times-of-possession reflected the Crimson dominance: 37:59 for Harvard, 22:01 for Yale. The Eli made just six first downs, giving up 25. Yale was limited to 66 net yards rushing and 43 in the air—105 yards of total offense against 434 for Harvard. Yale’s offense never got inside Harvard’s 25-yard line. If a single play epitomized Yale’s horrible day, it was defensive back Steve Santoro’s near-interception of a Pizzotti pass just before halftime. Santoro jugged the ball, lost control of it, and saw it land in the hands of Harvard’s Corey Mazza, flat on his back at the Yale six-yard line (see page 51). The Harvard faithful may talk about this one forever. Yalies will try to forget it.

TIDBITS: Harvard has won six of the last seven Yale games, and four straight at Yale Bowl. Since the formalization of Ivy League play in 1956, Harvard leads in the series, 27-24-1. ... And since 1960, when Yale last went unbeaten and untied, Harvard has robbed the Blue of a perfect season four times. In 1968 the Crimson scored 16 points in the last 42 seconds to gain the now-legendary 29-29 tie. In 1974 a 95-yard drive gave Harvard a 21-16 win with 15 seconds to play. And in 1979 the unbeaten Bulldogs were upset, 22-7, by a Harvard squad that had won just two games.

Déjà vu: Yale dedicated the Bowl on November 21, 1914, the day of that year’s Harvard game. The visitors had the bad grace of it, and saw it land in the hands of Harvard’s Corey Mazza, flat on his back at the Yale six-yard line (see page 51). The Harvard faithful may talk about this one forever. Yalies will try to forget it.

Cornerback Steven Williams went airborne to snare a third-quarter pass intended for Yale’s Jarrett Drake. With 15 breakups and eight interceptions, Williams was the nation’s top pass defender in 2007.

Ski Team, Waxing

In the north country of New Hampshire, skiers from Dartmouth, the current NCAA champions, reign supreme, while the Green Mountains are home to the University of Vermont ski team, another perennial powerhouse. On the intercollegiate ski trail, Harvard has lagged behind such competition for decades. Even though the Crimson typically field the best college team in the Boston area, the squad, which finished ninth among 17 colleges in the Eastern Intercollegiate Ski Association (EISA) last year, has strained to keep pace with top-funded programs to its north and out west.

But 29-year-old Tim Mitchel, now in his second year as Harvard’s head Alpine ski coach, vows to turn that around. “I’m rebuilding the entire program from scratch,” the soft-spoken, caffeine-driven coach says. “The word is out.”

Mitchell is reviving a Crimson program...
that had been in disarray—lacking a coach and adequate training facilities, and running a deficit. He’s attracting top young skiers to an urban—and woefully flat—setting. In the big-mountain-dominated cosmos of skiing, recruiting for Harvard is an uphill battle, so to speak, but one that Mitchell is starting to win.

His credentials help. A five-time all-American racer at New Hampshire’s Plymouth State University, Mitchell has been near the summit of the brutally competitive, dangerous world of Alpine (downhill) ski racing. He has also fallen to its depths.

His coaching career took off, quite literally, by accident. In the winter of 2003, while cranking out a high-torque giant slalom turn in an elite race at the Sunday River ski area in Maine, Mitchell broke his back. The painful crash into an unyielding race gate ended his ski-racing career. (He does, however, compete as a pro bicycle racer and at amateur skiing venues like the night league at Wachusett Mountain Ski Area in Princeton, Massachusetts.)

For many summers, Mitchell had mentored young athletes on the glacier at Mount Hood, Oregon, the mecca of American ski racing, so after his injury, he threw himself into coaching. He was an assistant coach at Brown in 2004-2005, and then enrolled in the University of Utah’s master’s program in exercise physiology. At Mount Hood in the summer of 2006, racer Matt Basilico ’08, then the ski team’s de facto coach, recruited Mitchell for the open job at Harvard.

Unfortunately, tragedy would soon set back the team’s fortunes. Starting in 2003, ski-team alumnus Nicholas Rhinelander ’88 became chair of the Friends of Harvard Skiing and quickly turned a $60,000 deficit into a $250,000-to-$300,000 annual operating budget, on par with the top teams. But this past April, Rhinelander died in a car accident after a day of spring skiing at Sugarbush, Vermont. The team banquet, traditionally a joyful end to winter, turned into a requiem for him.

Yet Mitchell clung to his goal of catapulting Harvard into the realm of the top Northeast NCAA Division I programs that forgo athletic scholarships—like Dartmouth and Williams—and into the top five in its EISA league. His strategy involves seeking out young racers who might have had a bad year or a serious injury, but who have outstanding potential. And his contacts in the obscure circles of junior ski racing have paid off, netting two elite recruits from the ski boarding academies that turn out the nation’s best racers: Chris Kinner ’10 from the Green Mountain Valley School in Waitsfield, Vermont, and Margie Thorp ’11, from Salt Lake City’s Rowmark Academy. “When I got here, I was really excited to see Tim,” says Kinner, a former New England junior slalom and grand slalom (GS) champion from Greenwich, Connecticut. “He’s just got so much energy he’s put into the program. He knows people in the business.”

Mitchell, who inherited a squad dominated by walk-on athletes, hopes to field a fully recruited team within four years while bringing along talented lower-tier athletes on a development team, as Dartmouth does. And if Harvard Square has no mountain vistas in sight, there are decent local training spots close by at the Blue Hills Ski Area in Canton and at Wachusett.

The team also does preseason training in Canada alongside national teams.

NCAA Division I ski racing is one of the highest levels of ski competition in the world. Alpine and Nordic (cross-country) racers make up a single team. Each college fields men’s and women’s Alpine and Nordic squads, with each squad starting six athletes per race. The top three finishers in each discipline score team points that add up to the total team score.

College Alpine racing features two events: slalom, in which competitors snare technical, tight turns around closely placed poles, and the more open GS, which demands equally precise but much larger arcs down a 200- to 450-meter course (measured in vertical drop). Nordic athletes vie in “classic” races, characterized by the traditional running-stride action of cross-country, and in separate races utilizing the modern “skate” technique that resembles ice-skating.

Harvard’s Nordic coach, Peter Graves, is working with Mitchell to build a cohesive team. Their first concrete step is a newly opened, enlarged ski-preparation and training room at 145 North Harvard Street, near the Stadium, where team members can sharpen and wax skis, mount bindings, and undertake physiological training and testing, such as performing cardiopulmonary exercises while monitoring their blood-oxygen levels.

Graves, 55, now in his sixth year at Harvard, is an eminence in both Nordic and Alpine skiing. A former cross-country racer at Fort Lewis College in Colorado, he is a well-known television commentator and announcer for World Cup and Olympic events. “I learn so much more about Alpine by talking to Tim, and he’s got such an outstanding background in physiology,” Graves says. “We’ve got a very good vibe going between the two squads. Over time, we’re really establishing Harvard as a player.” ~Shaun Sutner

Shaun Sutner is a reporter for the Worcester Telegram & Gazette.
She also felt a growing disillusionment with Western culture and its current emphasis on dividing the world into two opposing blocs: the West versus Islam. “I don't believe in the clash of civilizations,” she says. “I believe that most human beings share some basic aspirations and basic values.” The extent to which different people have achieved their aspirations depends on what has befallen them over time, and not on some irrevocable cultural difference, she adds. So when President Karzai’s uncle asked her, in early 2002, to stay in Kandahar to help get the country back on its feet, she didn’t think twice. “That was the opportunity I had been waiting for,” she remembers. “I could talk to people on both sides of this alleged divide and help them hear each other.”

Chayes first served as field director for the non-governmental organization Afghans for Civil Society (www.afghansforcivilsociety.org), which has helped rebuild a village destroyed during the war, launched successful income-generation projects for Kandahar women, and conducted various socioeconomic studies. But in 2004, she chose to move into the economic sector because it seemed critical to the country’s rehabilitation. The following spring she opened Arghand (www.arghand.org).
which manufactures natural skin-care products from the abundant fruits and nuts of the orchards of southern Afghanistan and exports them to the United States and Canada. To date, the cooperative supplies more than a dozen stores; others are waiting in line.

Arghand, which is Persian for “triumphant” or “conquering,” tackles one of Afghanistan’s most dangerous problems: its dependence on the opium poppy. The country is the world’s leading supplier of opiates and its drug trade is booming. “That criminalizes politics and puts Afghanistan’s people at the mercy of armed gangs,” Chayes says. Growing the drought-resistant, high-value poppy plant is often the only choice for poor farmers in the south who have no other access to credit in a region where water is scarce and expensive and the value of licit produce fluctuates dramatically.

In this dire situation, Arghand is a glimmer of hope. The cooperative buys roses and produce such as pomegranates, almonds, and apricots directly from farmers at prices that compete with opium. Arghand also provides employment opportunities. Currently the cooperative consists of eight women and four men from different walks of life and varying educational backgrounds, including the former bodyguard of an assassinated Kabul police chief and several women who are single parents or have disabled husbands.

Life is harsh. Chayes, who lives in the same building that houses her cooperative, keeps a Kalashnikov AK-47 rifle in her room and Arghand’s male employees take turns spending the night in the building as a security measure. Chayes no longer drives unaccompanied to the U.S. military base from which she ships Arghand’s products. “The base is half an hour away,” she explains, “so if I have a flat tire, that becomes a life-threatening experience, because I’m immobilized and could become a target of opportunity.”

She plans to stay in Afghanistan at least until Arghand is able to operate without her: perhaps in three to five more years. But the region has become increasingly volatile and violence has surged, leading her to wonder how much longer she can safely do her job. “The situation around us is quite grim,” she allows. “Kandahar and the Afghan south really feel like they are falling apart.” Just before she left for a two-month fundraising tour in August, three Afghans with known connections to “internationals” or the government were killed and their bodies were hung at three-day intervals in the village of one of Arghand’s members: “part of a massive intimidation campaign run by the Taliban,” she says. She is exploring ways to direct the cooperative remotely from her home in Paris more of the time. “That’s not just because of my own safety,” she notes, “but because my mere presence in Kandahar makes life much more dangerous for my cooperative members.”

Still, Arghand offers a source of encouragement for Chayes and others. “There is...
always something positive to fall back on,” she says. “Even if what’s happening at Arghand is small, it’s a positive development.”

The work is challenging. “What makes a really great Bordeaux is a mixture of different varieties of grapes in different proportions,” she explains. “It’s the same with soap.” She and her team experiment constantly with different seed oils to develop new formulas. Chayes even got in touch with the curators of a perfume museum in Grasse, the center of the French perfume industry, for expert advice.

The cooperative has enjoyed continuous growth and success since its inception. Last year, it was among 12 finalists in the BBC’s World Challenge, a global competition that seeks out projects and businesses that not only make a profit, but also give back to their communities.

But Chayes’s work reaches far beyond Arghand. Because she has relationships with Afghan leaders as well as with international officials and organizations, she is in a unique place to foster communication between them. “Since I started working in Afghanistan, I have encountered problems that I never would have seen as a reporter,” she says. “I am immersed in this incredible microcosm through which I can examine and analyze the policy context, and because I have access to people in decision-making positions, I can then take this analysis to them.”

Access to electricity, for example, is frequently a concern. Power lines that run through battle zones are frequently damaged and need constant repair. But when power didn’t return for several days in the winter of 2006, Chayes started to inquire. “I talked to the local electricity lineman and I learned that the Taliban were demanding money to fix the lines. In other words, they were holding electricity hostage.” She spoke to the political adviser to the NATO troop commander, who hadn’t known about the electricity problem. Subsequently, NATO troops launched a military operation to clear the Taliban out of an area they had controlled, thus securing the power lines.
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in the region. "If I hadn't come up against this problem myself," she adds, "I never would have found out about it."

Although Chayes no longer works as a radio reporter, she hasn't abandoned journalism entirely. Her first book, *The Punishment of Virtue* (2006), chronicles her experiences in Afghanistan and explores Near Eastern history, the subject she studied as an undergraduate and during two years in a doctoral program at the Center for Middle Eastern Studies. In the process of writing the book, she found herself revisiting her Harvard professors, including Gurney professor of history Roy Mottahehdeh, whose "moral and material support was precious."

"I am glad these different threads of my life knitted themselves together," she says. "Interestingly, what I studied at Harvard has become the absolute foundation of what I have done with my life." (A self-described "Harvard baby," she is the daughter of the late Frankfurter professor of law, Abram Chayes '43, LL.B. '49, a pioneer of international law, and Antonia Handler Chayes '50, a legal scholar, mediator, and former federal administrator who is now a visiting professor of international politics and law at the Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy."

She sees herself as a bridge between Islamic culture and the United States. "I discovered in the process of doing Arghand that the beneficiaries of our actions are just as numerous in the U.S. as they are in Afghanistan," she says. Building relationships with retailers and other audiences, such as those she meets during fundraising tours throughout the United States and Canada, improves the reputation of Afghanistan in America and vice versa.

Arghand offers Americans a way to get involved and a way to help, she says. "I hope that this will influence the direction that our societies are going to take: whether we are going to live in a bipolar world consisting of two irrevocably hostile civilizations, or whether we are going to live in a world made up of interconnected civilizations that are different but not mutually exclusive. I think that is one of the biggest issues that our generation is confronted with in this century." That's a lofty goal, she acknowledges. "I know that whatever I have to offer is a drop in the bucket," she says. "But I may as well put my drop in a crucial place. And Kandahar is such a place."

Nicole Branan is a freelance writer based in Colorado.

**Eye on Harvard**

*Eye on Harvard* is an Internet talk show "for and about Harvard people" that appears on InTimeTV.com. The subject matter is broad—topics so far have ranged from stem cells and evolutionary biology to adventure travel and North Korean politics. But the aim is specific: to "bring together like-minded individuals who share a background, certain interests, and a history," says Chicago-based host Ogan Gurel '86. "It's not just another TV show. It really represents a confluence of important social and technological trends."

A medical doctor, Gurel also hosts *Insights in Medicine*, another InTimeTV show that targets physicians. On the Web, he says, "You have to build shows around specific niches, rather than for mass appeal." *Eye on Harvard*s guests have included Gurel's classmate, Paul Kent '86, assistant professor of pediatric hematology/oncology at Rush University Medical Center, who discussed the politics and ethics of research on stem cells and cord blood; evolutionary biologist Neil Shubin, Ph.D. '87, now at the University of Chicago; and Nancy Collins, M.B.A '99, CEO of Global Adrenaline Inc., who talked about her transition from investment banking to travel entrepreneurship.

The show's audience has grown steadily, from a few hundred to a few thousand viewers during the last several months. If the increasing popularity of Internet TV is any indication, the trend will continue. "Graduating from Harvard," Gurel explains, "you always want to do the next best thing, and really make a mark."

**Comings and Goings**

University clubs offer a variety of social and intellectual events, including Harvard-affiliated speakers (please see the partial list below). For further information, contact the club directly, call the Harvard Alumni Association at 617-495-3070, or visit www.haa.harvard.edu.


On February 20, the Harvard Club of Cincinnati examines "The Challenges of Globalization" with speaker George C. Lodge, Chua Tiamo professor of business administration emeritus. On February 23, John Palfrey, the executive director of the Berkman Center for Internet and Society at Harvard Law School, examines "Digital Natives" for the Harvard Club of Maryland.

**Old and New in Chicago**

University president Drew Faust stopped in Chicago on November 9 for a black-tie dinner to celebrate the 150th anniversary of the city's Harvard Club—the oldest continuously operating alumni club anywhere, according to the Harvard Alumni Association (HAA). (A Boston club was founded in 1855, but did not survive, and the current Boston club traces its roots only to 1908. The Cincinnati club, with an 1898 founding date, trails Chicago by just one year.) Although the club's five founding fathers—two physicians, a lawyer, an insurance-agency owner, and the city surveyor...
Richard Theodore Greener, A.B. 1870, lived in College’s first African-American graduate, financier and real-estate developer. The College’s donor for whom Johnston Gate in 1855, the donor for whom Johnston Gate in Harvard is named, was a Chicago financier and real-estate developer. The College’s first African-American graduate, Richard Theodore Greener, A.B. 1870, lived in Chicago after his retirement from the U.S. Foreign Service. And Robert Todd Lincoln, A.B. 1864, the son of Abraham Lincoln, practiced law in Chicago and served two terms as club president. The club also had a female president before Harvard did—in fact, its second female (and current) president, Margaret I. McCurry, LF ’87, introduced Faust, the eighth Harvard president to visit the club.

Faust has devoted ample time to meeting with alumni in the early months of her presidency; the next planned stops on her tour included Washington, D.C., in December, to be followed by London in January and China in March. In Chicago, before a crowd of more than 500, she touched on the themes of her young administration: transcending the boundaries that divide Harvard’s various schools and departments; making it easier for students of modest means to attend; emphasizing practicing, as well as studying, the arts. She called for improving instruction and making Harvard a more international place.

Responding to a question from one alumnus—“Harvard is so rich already. Why do you keep asking us for more money?”—Faust answered: “Thirty-five billion dollars is not very much money if you want to change the world.” She paused, then added, “That is a serious answer, actually,” and went on to describe several major goals of her administration that are “not inexpensive”: building the new campus in Allston, expanding financial aid for undergraduates from middle-class families.

Another alumnus asked how Faust would have handled the scenario another university president recently faced: Iranian president Mahmoud Ahmadinejad’s appearance in September at Columbia University, where its president, Lee Bollinger, gave him a less-than-welcoming introduction. Faust, who had clearly given the matter some thought, said that if a request to invite Ahmadinejad had come through her office (as do all Harvard’s invitations to heads of state, as a matter of course), she would have honored the request but would not have introduced Ahmadinejad herself. “I would have tried to set up the situation so there was a group to respond or to question after he spoke,” she said. “I think I would not have had myself front and center.... I would have wanted to ensure there was very active debate and that the matters of opposition or misrepresentations he made were challenged.”

~Elizabeth Gudrais

Harvard College Prep, a highly diverse public school where club members provide college counseling, one-on-one tutoring, and coaching for the math and sports teams, as well as the debate team, which won a national championship last year. Local alumni have also endowed scholarship funds, with a combined market value of more than $6 million, for Chicago-area students at the College, one of the largest such programs established by a Harvard club.

Club members readily tell stories of renowned alumni. Samuel Johnston, A.B. 1855, the donor for whom Johnston Gate in Harvard Yard is named, was a Chicago financier and real-estate developer. The College’s first African-American graduate, Richard Theodore Greener, A.B. 1870, lived in Chicago after his retirement from the U.S. Foreign Service. And Robert Todd Lincoln, A.B. 1864, the son of Abraham Lincoln, practiced law in Chicago and served two terms as club president. The club also had a female president before Harvard did—in fact, its second female (and current) president, Margaret I. McCurry, LF ’87, introduced Faust, the eighth Harvard president to visit the club.

Faust has devoted ample time to meeting with alumni in the early months of her presidency; the next planned stops on her tour included Washington, D.C., in December, to be followed by London in January and China in March. In Chicago, before a crowd of more than 500, she touched on the themes of her young administration: transcending the boundaries that divide Harvard’s various schools and departments; making it easier for students of modest means to attend; emphasizing practicing, as well as studying, the arts. She called for improving instruction and making Harvard a more international place.

Responding to a question from one alumnus—“Harvard is so rich already. Why do you keep asking us for more money?”—Faust answered: “Thirty-five billion dollars is not very much money if you want to change the world.” She paused, then added, “That is a serious answer, actually,” and went on to describe several major goals of her administration that are “not inexpensive”: building the new campus in Allston, expanding financial aid for undergraduates from middle-class families.

Another alumnus asked how Faust would have handled the scenario another university president recently faced: Iranian president Mahmoud Ahmadinejad’s appearance in September at Columbia University, where its president, Lee Bollinger, gave him a less-than-welcoming introduction. Faust, who had clearly given the matter some thought, said that if a request to invite Ahmadinejad had come through her office (as do all Harvard’s invitations to heads of state, as a matter of course), she would have honored the request but would not have introduced Ahmadinejad herself. “I would have tried to set up the situation so there was a group to respond or to question after he spoke,” she said. “I think I would not have had myself front and center.... I would have wanted to ensure there was very active debate and that the matters of opposition or misrepresentations he made were challenged.”

~Elizabeth Gudrais

Reprinted from Harvard Magazine. For more information, contact Harvard Magazine, Inc. at 617-495-5746.
Vanished Acts

Three worthy books full of Harvard references have arrived on the shelf. The Naked Quaker: True Crimes and Controversies from the Courts of Colonial New England (Commonwealth Editions) is by Diane Rapaport, a lawyer and columnist for the New England Historic Genealogical Society. The lascivious behavior of Harvard students arises quickly in her book. In 1660 witnesses spotted in a Harvard dormitory, and reported to the law, a girl sitting on a boy’s lap. The judiciary was shocked, shocked.

Rapaport reports the appearance in 1677 of an early Bad Influence, one Onesephurus Stanley, a nonstudent, who fetched hard cider into College rooms and was heard swearing therein. Under questioning by Cambridge magistrate Thomas Danforth, Stanley disclosed the names of two “drinking and carousing” students: Urian and Laurence Oakes. These were sons of the College president. Danforth dropped the Harvard investigation, but he kept his eye on Stanley, whom he later threatened with jail and convicted of many offenses, among them “being a night walker... of...dissolute behaviour” and “drawing ye students from their studies....”

Danforth went on to serve as a judge at the Salem witchcraft trials in 1692, where he and his fellow justices condemned 20 people to death. Samuel Sewall, A.B. 1671, famously, was the only one of the nine judges to repent, which he did in 1697.

“He experienced spiritual relief within a week,” writes Eve LaPlante, Ed.M. ’92, in Salem Witch Judge: The Life and Repentance of Samuel Sewall (HarperOne). “On the night of January 26, while the new court met at Charlestown, he lodged in the Charlestown house of Anne Tyng Shepard. Mistress Shepard mentioned to Samuel that John Harvard had built and lived in her house....

“That night in bed...Samuel lay awake for hours. He was struck by ‘how long ago God made provision for my comfortable lodging this night, seeing this is Mr. Harvard’s house.’ This led him to meditate on Heaven, ‘the house not made with hands, which God for many thousands of years has stored with the richest furniture: saints that are from time to time placed there.’ It occurred to Samuel that he might now ‘have some hopes of being entertained in this magnificent, convenient palace, every way fitted and furnished.’

“Around this time...Samuel began wearing a hairshirt,” according to LaPlante. She is Sewall’s sixth-great-granddaughter and credits a great aunt for this sartorial intelligence.

Editor Daphne Abeel ’59 has skillfully marshaled 19 contributors of essays on Cambridge politics, activism, town-gown relations, folk music, architecture, immigrants, and much else in A City’s Life and Times: Cambridge in the Twentieth Century (Cambridge Historical Society), an engaging history. One of them is Michael Kenney ’57, a retired Boston Globe reporter, who chronicles literary Cambridge.

He quotes the poet and music critic Lloyd Schwartz, Ph.D. ’76, on poet Robert Lowell ’39, who taught creative writing at Harvard from 1963 to 1977, with some interruptions. “Once, after lunch, I walked with him back into Harvard Square. He wanted an ice cream at Bailey’s, where I ordered a vanilla malt with an egg in it (a Bailey’s specialty). He’d never heard of putting an egg in a milk shake and had to try one too. He was so excited, he wanted to take a long walk, and we strolled all the way up Brattle Street to Sparks Street and back. It was, I think, the longest time I’d ever spent alone with him.”

The literary lions have vanished, mostly. So has Bailey’s. Primus attests that the Square was a far richer place when it had malted milks with raw eggs whipped up in them.

“Your wooden arm you hold outstretched to shake with passers-by.”

Illustration by Mark Steele
A SPECTRUM OF DISORDERS  
(continued from page 31)

kel, Kohane, and Greenberg, along with others in the consortium, will lead, they hope, to better parsing of the various subtypes of autism. “I would like every kid on the spectrum to have not ‘autism,’ but a more specific disorder,” Walsh says. By isolating the genes involved and understanding their functions, researchers can begin to develop particular treatments aimed at particular disorders. So far Rett syndrome and Fragile X (the most common inherited cause of mental retardation, which accounts for 2 to 6 percent of autism cases) have been distinguished from other variants of autism by genetic markers, and advances in treatment for those two types are already under way.

But DNA tests are still far from detecting the majority of cases of autism. In the meantime, scientists need to look for other indicators that can help ascertain the presence of an autism-spectrum disorder at the earliest possible time, in order to enhance the chances for effective intervention.

“The Brain Doesn’t Lie”  
PROFESSOR OF PEDIATRICS Charles Nelson, who directs the cognitive neuroscience laboratory in the Developmental Medicine Center at Children’s Hospital, has had a longstanding interest in how the human brain processes faces. His research has shown that the architecture in the brain that enables children to recognize faces becomes increasingly specialized through early experience. While a six-month-old can distinguish between monkey faces as well as between human faces, a nine-month-old can distinguish only between human faces. A similar perceptual narrowing occurs in the ability to recognize speech sounds: at six months, babies can distinguish sounds in virtually any language, but by one year they begin to discriminate only sounds in their native language. Subsequent studies revealed that a significant degree of plasticity exists in this specializing process; babies who were exposed to monkey faces between six and nine months of age could still distinguish between monkey faces at nine months, while babies who did not receive this training could not do so.

Nelson believes these findings provide a window onto the cognitive deficits involved in autism, because problems with processing faces and emotion and with acquiring language are core symptoms of the disorder. He wants to know whether there is a corruption in the architecture of autistic brains from the beginning that prevents these children from benefiting from experience in the typical way, or whether the circuits begin by functioning properly but, for other reasons, do not develop normally, thus preventing these children from benefiting from experience the way they should. He also wonders how early in development signs of an underlying neurological cause can be identified.

To get at these questions, Nelson has been conducting a study with Helen Tager-Flusberg of Boston University to compare the brain activity in siblings of autistic children with that of non-autistic language-impaired children and with controls. Because of autism’s strong hereditary component, a child with a (non-identical) autistic sibling has up to a 20 percent chance of developing an autism-spectrum disorder. (The relative risk is substantially higher for male than female siblings, because boys outnumber girls on the spectrum by a ratio of 4 to 1.)

These numbers motivated the Phillips family to put their younger child, Kaia—a six-month-old—into the study. Kaia’s older brother, Torin, 3, has a diagnosis of PDD NOS. “We understand that early detection is critical,” explains their father, Tom Phillips. “We also want to do anything we can to help families in the future.” Kaia will undergo a battery of tests aimed at detecting potential abnormalities in brain function that are not yet apparent in her outward behavior. “Because a child’s behavioral repertoire is limited in the first years of life, it can be difficult to tell whether an apparent language delay is developmental or something more significant, like autism,” Nelson explains. “But I like to say, ‘The brain doesn’t lie.’”

The first test examines how Kaia’s eyes track alternating images of her mother and a stranger. Does she scan one face longer than the other? What part of the face does she focus on? (Children at risk for autism, Nelson points out, will tend to spend less time on the eyes.) An electrode cap is then placed on her head to measure continuous brain activity as she views the images. Normal babies will take more time to process the picture of the stranger than the picture of the mother. A third test measures her ability to distinguish three highly similar sounds. At three to six months of age, babies should hear three distinct sounds, but by 12 months they should be able to distinguish only two.

Nelson and his team hypothesize that a language-delayed or impaired child may not show this perceptual narrowing, or may lack the ability to distinguish the sounds from the start. The final component of the study gauges patterns of interaction with an adult—such as response to facial emotion, eye contact, general social babbling, social interest, shared affect, engagement of attention, and orientation to name. If the researchers pick up any significant irregularities in Kaia’s measures, they will alert her parents to begin appropriate early therapies.

In a related piece of the investigation, Nelson’s team is looking at the brain activity of older children who have already been diagnosed with autism, in the hope of finding clues that can inform early intervention strategies. “We want to know what the neural circuits are that underlie the primary deficits in face processing,” he says. “If we can get kids to process faces normally before that system is derailed, then perhaps we can avoid the further problems in social communication.”

Early Action  
The insights of Nelson and other developmental neuroscientists into early brain plasticity have already begun to inform the predominant approaches to autism therapy. Currently, the most widely recommended research-based intervention—known as Applied Behavioral Analysis (ABA)—aims to “wire in” missing or impaired connections in a child’s brain through an intensive trial and reward system. Children work one-
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child’s extensive care. Families with the resources may spend tens of thousands of dollars on private specialists, often moving to a new city or state to have access to a premier program. But the cost of failing to intervene may be even greater. A recent article in the Archives of Pediatric Adolescent Medicine estimates the “lifetime per capita incremental societal cost of autism” at $3.2 million. Lost productivity and adult care constitute the largest portion of the expense.

**Solving the puzzle of autism** will require close collaboration between those in the laboratory and those on the front lines of patient care. Neurogeneticists will not be able to isolate the genes involved in disorders along the spectrum without well-identified subject populations. By recognizing the often subtle combinations of symptoms within families and by recording the differential responses of patients to various treatments, clinicians can help to inform the search for distinct pathways to autistic syndromes. As genomic and brain-imaging studies begin to provide identifying markers, doctors and therapists can better tailor interventions to individual conditions, providing families with real hope based on scientifically grounded prognoses. Janice Ware refers to this evolving relationship as “an arranged marriage with great potential” and notes optimistically that “today Ph.D. and M.D. candidates are learning about autism from both perspectives right from the start.”

A quarter-century ago, a diagnosis of leukemia meant a child had less than a 50 percent chance of survival. Since then researchers have identified many types of leukemia and have developed a battery of specialized treatments, leading to a cure rate of nearly 90 percent. Many in the field of autism research are hoping their work will follow a similar path, yielding an understanding of many distinct disorders with clear remedies. Huge challenges lie ahead—from teasing out combinations of genes to determining the developmental mechanisms and the role of the environment—but the necessary teams of investigators are finally in place to begin to make the connections.

---

Freelance writer Ashley Pettus ’87 lives in Cambridge.
“Flim-Flam Finance”

T.R. scrubs up one of those he called “malefactors of great wealth.”

The stock market plummets. Credit is tight. Bankers quake. Then J.P. Morgan organizes a consortium of financial saviors to provide cash to banks and trusts to stave off their collapse.

In the cartoon above, entitled “You Dirty Boy!” President Theodore Roosevelt, A.B. 1880, LL.D. ’02, applies Honesty Soap to “Flim-Flam Finance.” The drawing—by Joseph Keppler, for his father’s weekly humor magazine, Puck—is one of 4,000 political cartoons in the vast Theodore Roosevelt Collection in the Harvard College Library. It appeared on September 4, 1907, when capital and confidence were both in short supply on Wall Street. Soon thereafter, Roosevelt went off to lunge after bear in the canebrakes of Louisiana, but he returned to his desk in time to appear interested in the prospectively devastating run on banks that October. The Panic of 1907 was one of the nation’s most severe financial crises ever and led ultimately to the establishment of the Federal Reserve System in 1913.

Whose faces did Keppler put in his suds? By rights, says the collection’s curator, Wallace F. Dailey, they should include that of flimflammer F. Augustus Heinze, but he was then only in his thirties. His failed scheme to corner the stock of United Copper Company touched off the panic. One of the faces might be John D. Rockefeller’s, Dailey suggests. Judge Kenesaw Mountain Landis had fined Rockefeller’s Standard Oil more than $29 million in August, in an anti-rebate case. (But note that Rockefeller, as good guy, was hugely helpful in October’s bailout of banks.)

“Conservatives blamed Roosevelt...for jamming regulatory levers into the American economic machine,” Edmund Morris writes in his biography Theodore Rex. And they doubted that he knew what he was doing. “Radiant and purified from his hunt,” writes Morris, “he patrolled the Executive Office with his hands behind his back, regaling all comers with his exploits in the canebrakes.

“Do I look as though those Wall Street fellows were really worrying me?’

“No, Mr. President, you certainly do not.’

“I’ve got them,’ he said through his teeth, ‘on the run.’

“It was exchanges such as this that persuaded some men that Roosevelt was fiscally retarded.”
Years ago, Nancy G. Brinker made her dying sister a promise: to do everything in her power to end breast cancer forever. In 1982, that promise became Susan G. Komen for the Cure. For more information about Komen for the Cure’s mission to end breast cancer forever, visit komen.org/ivy or call 1-877 GO KOMEN.
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Bill Gates and Warren Buffett on a Boeing Business Jet

Bill Gates became a NetJets Owner in 1999
Warren Buffett became a NetJets Owner in 1995
(and bought the entire company in 1998)