“Star-Spangled Banner”) to all 50 states. “What keeps me going at this stage is communicating with audiences,” he says. “And the fact that—as many composers as I’ve already played, as many of these genres as I’ve infiltrated—I’m just continuously amazed by how little I know.”

Although he never lacked for critical praise during his youth, Haimovitz has also won honors for his more innovative work. The American Society of Composers, Authors, and Publishers gave him the Concert Music Award for “taking his brilliant and passionate performances to audiences wherever they assemble,” including the late, legendary New York City punk-rock club CBGB. When the American Music Center (a New York City organization founded by Aaron Copland, D.Mus. ’61, among others) honored him as a “Trailblazer,” Haimovitz took out his cello and played “Star-Spangled Banner” during his acceptance speech. “Freedom of speech and freedom of expression are responsible for the breadth and quality of music and art we make here in the U.S.,” he says. “Jimi Hendrix understood this better than most politicians of his time. He also had the talent to communicate this and connect with a generation. I was just trying to channel a little piece of that.”

In September, when Odd Couple came out (the octave change stayed in), the cellist and his pianist toured with a disc jockey to perform composer Tod Machover’s Vinyl Cello concerto, in which electronic sounds and turntables accompany Haimovitz. His goal in placing Sanford and Machover next to each other on a program is to say, “Wow! It’s just as unusual for me to be playing with a D.J. as it is for me to be playing with piano.”

Photos in Thread

Photorealistic fabric art that embraces both f-stops and embroidery
by CRAIG LAMBERT

From a distance, they look like framed four-by-six-inch color photographs of landscapes and still-life subjects—salt marshes, fountains, rocks, squashes. Come closer, and they are revealed as three-dimensional images rendered in intricate embroidery. In fact, these miniatures by Linda Liu Behar ’68 combine photography and fabric art. Behar begins each piece by printing one of her own photographs on cotton broadcloth. Then, with lapidary care, she stitches the forms, lines, colors, and light of the photo directly onto the underlying picture with colored threads. This makes for sharp realism—“photorealism,” if you will—and produces a captivating piece of fiber art. Despite their small size, each work can take four to six weeks to complete. Yet with embroidery, “the repetitiveness is sort of meditative,” Behar says. “I enjoy making the image come alive in the stitching.”

Behar has been crafting these tiny gems (the largest is seven inches by nine) since 1992, building on a prior decade of making large contemporary-art designs in the form of quilts. Apart from one other fiber artist in Colorado, who works entirely on a sewing machine, Behar is the only person making such photorealistic objects. Her work has appeared in many solo and group exhibitions, including one in 2002 at Boston’s Museum of Fine Arts, and has been featured in dozens of articles. The Mobilia Gallery in Cambridge (www.mobilia-gallery.com) represents her; the miniatures sell for several thousand dollars apiece. “With a draftsman’s command of form,” wrote American Craft magazine in 1998, “she creates exquisite, tiny windows on the natural world.”

A serious photographer since her high-school days in Northern California, Behar starts with a vivid image. “It has taken me all these years to realize that if I want to do a good embroidery, it has to be based on a good photograph,” she explains. With the salt marshes, for example (she has done 18 salt-marsh embroideries since 1997, each from a different image), “I had to consider the time of day, lighting conditions, the weather, the tides,” she says. “It’s
making a photograph, not taking a photograph.” Sometimes, she manipulates the image digitally.

The next step is to stiffen the white fabric by sticking it to contact paper, which allows her to print a color photo image onto it with an ink-jet printer. She uses inks containing pigments, not water-soluble dyes. “Unlike dyes, these pigments don’t run when they get wet, or fade over time,” she explains. After removing the adhesive paper, Behar typically stitches one or more layers of appropriately colored thread onto the image, initially by using a computer-controlled sewing machine.

She uses the same perspective devices that landscape painters do to achieve the illusion of three dimensions: areas in the far distance are smaller, have less detail, and show more muted colors than those in the foreground. In the salt-marsh embroideries particularly, the built-up thickness of the thread enhances the 3-D illusion. “The threads do have dimension,” Behar says, “and where they are stitched thickly, the bulk of the land forms comes forward from the surface of the embroidery, while the stitches depicting water lie flat against the surface.” The computerized embroidery machine does the tedious work of accumulating a thickness of thread in the simple areas of color. Then Behar hand-stitches over the machined work, “covering it almost completely with nuanced colors and carefully angled stitching.”

By combining different colors of thread and embroidery floss (a softer, thicker, more lustrous type of cotton filament), Behar constructs her fiber images in a Seurat-like pointillist manner, mixing bits of color to achieve an overall impression. (Although she has tried painting, she says, “Paint and I do not get along. I can make thread go where I want it to go, but paint seems to have a mind of its own.”) In some ways, her stitching does resemble brushstrokes. In the salt-marsh embroideries, Behar creates the grasses with vertical stitches and uses horizontal ones for the water: “They work against each other.” Occasionally, Behar produces a work that literally occupies three dimensions, like Quarry (1997)—a kind of sculptural vessel in which both inner and outer surfaces are visible. “When people look at works in progress, they like to flip them over to see the stitching on the back,” Behar says. “This piece was a more organic way of showing the ‘back’ side as well as the front.”

Behar created her hybrid art form on her own, though it grew from experiences with a variety of visual media. At Radcliffe, she was a staff photographer for the Harvard Yearbook and sold photographs to the Radcliffe News Office for five dollars apiece. She concentrated in architectural sciences, “the only visual major Harvard offered,” she says. “It was the only place where you could get your hands dirty and make things.” As a senior, she took a graphic design course and “realized I had been doing that all along,” she recalls.

After college, she worked for several years as a graphic designer at a downtown Boston firm. She married Ken Behar, and became a full-time mother.

“A good embroidery has to be based on a good photograph.”
Charles-Édouard Jeanneret-Gris, who assumed the name Le Corbusier, became one of the world’s most influential, and controversial, modern architects and city planners. His legacy resonates at Harvard, because the Carpenter Center for the Visual Arts, on Quincy Street, is the only Le Corbusier-designed building in the United States. His work as a whole is newly accessible in Le Corbusier Le Grand, an enormous (20-plus pounds, 768-page, $200) catalog, archive, scrapbook, and assessment recently published by Phaidon.

The Carpenter Center appears on pages 718 through 727, from Graduate School of Design dean Josep Lluis Sert’s 1958 letter soliciting Le Corbusier’s interest in the commission, through the minutiae of his contract, sketches, detailed drawings, the finished structure, and news coverage of its critical reception. In Harvard: An Architectural History (1985), Bainbridge Bunting wrote that the distinctive ramp “that curls up and through the [structure] with such showmanship can hardly be justified; it conducts visitors from one corner of the lot…through the building, and down to the opposite corner without allowing them to enter. The real entrance…is placed obscurely in the basement….In the Cambridge climate surely little practical use can be made of the extensive roof gardens or the semi-subterranean loggias, which must have caused excessive complications in the framing.” Moreover, the center’s stark concrete exterior contrasts sharply with its red-brick neighbors, the Faculty Club and Fogg Art Museum, affronting traditional sensibilities.

Bunting got some things right: although the roof garden affords marvelous views, it is little used; an adjacent café and gallery had a sadly short life. And Bunting acknowledged that the “calisthenics of this design” produced a “geometry of solids and voids” whose liveliness makes ornamentation irrelevant: “the building itself is now sculpture.” It affords passersby an unusually deep view into the intriguing studios and workshops within, where art is made, and a changing panorama of exhibitions in the main gallery. (Would that Harvard’s new scientific laboratories were equally expressive.)

The activities Le Corbusier’s center supports will attract new attention this fall, as the University task force on the arts makes its report. Meanwhile, the neighboring Fogg complex will begin to cast off some of its familiar, traditional veneer as Renzo Piano’s renovation design is implemented during the next five years (see “Approaching the Arts Anew,” January-February, page 51, and “Open Access to Art,” July-August, page 58). Thus the conversations about architecture and its context provoked by Le Corbusier will be revitalized and extended, in University debates and in print, in the months ahead.

---
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Top: Le Corbusier with his “Modular” system of human and architectural proportions. Left: Sketch of the site plan. Far left: Interior of the finished Carpenter Center for the Visual Arts.