A soldier who’s just returned from Iraq, unable to shake depression and violent flashbacks, ends his own life. A young mother, sleep-deprived and stressed by the emotional demands placed on her, harbors persistent thoughts of suicide. A 19-year-old college student, referred to a clinical psychologist after her roommate walks in to find her cutting at her wrists with a pocket knife, refuses to see the therapist, saying that cutting provides more emotional relief than counseling ever has.

What drives people to harm themselves, and how best to dissuade them from doing so, remains a mystery, says professor of psychology Matthew Nock. “More people die by their own hand than by someone else’s”—suicide is more common than homicide. “It’s a huge societal problem, a huge clinical problem, a huge research problem, and we know so little about it.”

The desire to unravel the mysteries of suicide and self-injury drives Nock’s work, which investigates the roles played by genes,
environmental stressors, and momentary physiological experiences. He examines factors, such as gender, culture, and age, that affect suicide risk, and asks why certain populations (members of the U.S. Army, for one) are especially vulnerable. He aims to improve on existing treatments for suicidal and self-injurious thoughts and behavior, and to bring treatments that are already known to work to a larger number of patients.

His research involves a population that is inherently difficult to study, but Nock has viewed this challenge as an opportunity to innovate: to develop new methods and test novel applications for old ones. Work in his lab integrates methods from psychology, neurobiology, and epidemiology toward the goal of alleviating suffering and preventing the needless devastating loss of life that suicide represents. It spans basic methodological research, inquiries into the nature and contributing factors of suicide and self-injury, and assessments of treatment effectiveness. This is what makes his work unique, says Jack Shonkoff, director of Harvard’s Center on the Developing Child, where Nock is part of a working group on translating mental-health research to policy. For members of the University of the Health Sciences, as well as Nock and Kessler, the University of Michigan, Columbia, and the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (see Harvard Portrait, November-December 2005, page 59), “It’s an accident, but there’s something systematic about it.” Traditionally, the military suicide rate has been below that of the general population, Kessler points out: “People in the military are screened very heavily and are examined brain sections of soldiers who committed suicide. In some cases, researchers will even examine brain sections of soldiers who committed suicide.

Among people with suicidal thoughts, about one-third will make an attempt. To try to predict which third, Nock first looked to a population whose high risk was obvious: those who have already attempted suicide. (Two-fifths of those who kill themselves have tried before.)

For this purpose, Nock has adapted two computerized tests, both of which have been used for other purposes to detect subconscious thoughts and prejudices. The Stroop test, used in psychology since the 1930s, tests attention by asking subjects to override their most immediate thought processes and use higher-order thinking to identify the ink color of the printed name of a different color—for instance, to say “red” when the word “green” appears in red ink. Nock has used this test—measuring subjects’ speed at naming the ink colors of suicide-related words—and has found that with each millisecond of increase in the time it takes to respond to such words (indicating greater attention toward the words) came a 1 percent increase in the odds of the subject’s making a suicide attempt within the next six months.

Nock also adapted the Implicit Association Test—developed by Cabot professor of social ethics Mahzarin Banaji to measure people’s subconscious prejudices against various groups, including the overweight, the disabled, Arabs, and the elderly—for use in detecting whether people are predisposed to attempting suicide. When taking his test, those who are thinking about suicide respond more quickly when asked to pair suicide-related words with personally relevant words (e.g., “self”) than with non-personally relevant words (e.g., “them’). These tests are not ready for widespread use yet—for one thing, their predictive validity has not been proven for people who have not previously attempted suicide—but Nock is hopeful that one day they will be part of psychologists’ toolbox for assessing suicide risk in distressed patients.

Acknowledging Nock’s expertise in understanding who is at risk for suicide, a new partner recently called on his research team for help: the U.S. Army. The number of suicides in that branch of the military set new records in 2007, 2008, and 2009 (topping out at 162, up from 106 four years earlier). In June 2010 alone, the branch had 32 suspected suicides. If accidental death through risky behavior—such as drinking and driving, or drug overdose—is included, more soldiers now die by their own hands than die in combat. (From 2004 to 2007, the number of deaths in Iraq and Afghanistan across all military branches regularly approached or exceeded 100 per month, but the number of combat deaths has lessened in recent years.)

The researchers believe accidental deaths are rightly considered related to suicide, because such deaths often reflect soldiers’ mental health and indicate problems that accompany suicidal thinking and behavior. “Alcoholics get into more accidents,” says Ronald Kessler, a professor of healthcare policy at Harvard Medical School who is also part of the research team (see Harvard Portrait, November-December 2005, page 59). “It’s an accident, but there’s something systematic about it.” Traditionally, the military suicide rate has been below that of the general population, Kessler points out: “People in the military are screened very heavily” for mental-health problems. But that balance has switched: at Fort Hood, Texas, the largest army base in the United States, the suicide rate is four times the national average.

Alarmed by these statistics, the army is giving researchers from the University of Michigan, Columbia, and the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, as well as Nock and Kessler, unprecedented access to the data it collects on soldiers. The army keeps records on “virtually every aspect of a soldier’s life,” says Nock; those records will be used to see what can be learned about suicides that have already occurred. In some cases, researchers will even examine brain sections of soldiers who committed suicide.
Beginning this month, they will also gather new data: they will invite 90,000 active-duty soldiers and all new recruits—80,000 to 120,000 per year—to volunteer biological data (e.g., information on genetic risk and protective factors gleaned from blood samples) and to grant access to their psychological histories and survey responses. The researchers will consider each soldier’s history of deployment and exposure to combat and trauma; family stability and childhood adversity; relationship problems and other sources of stress in the recent past; social support; and personality traits and temperament, as well as demographic characteristics.

The initial term of the study is five years, though there is potential to extend it and follow the soldiers longer. Given the robustness of the data and the potential for long-term analysis, the researchers believe this study’s impact could rival that of the famous Framingham Heart Study, which monitored thousands of residents of Framingham, Massachusetts, for decades and shed light on risk factors for heart attack and stroke, implicating hypertension, high cholesterol, and lifestyle factors.

That study, notes Kessler, “had to wait an awfully long time for people to have heart attacks. We don’t have to wait a long time. We’re hopeful that we’re going to be able to make not only important discoveries, but relatively rapid discoveries.” As results emerge, they will be used promptly to develop interventions; Nock notes that the army is already adding cognitive-skills training for soldiers, making a statement about the importance of mental and emotional, as well as physical, fitness. He and his colleagues will be able to evaluate army interventions and suggest improvements, based on their own studies’ emerging results, even as data collection continues.

Much remains unknown about suicide, and Nock admits that it is an inherently difficult phenomenon to study: “It’s not like depression, where people may experience the condition consistently for months or years at a time,” and thus can more easily participate in studies that aim to understand the condition. “Suicide happens quickly and unexpectedly.”

For this reason, and because the overall incidence is so low, most countries lack sound statistics. To try to understand more about differences among countries, Nock directs the suicide workgroup for the World Health Organization’s World Mental Health Survey (which Kessler leads). That study—the first large-scale, cross-national study of suicidal behavior—has thus far compiled data from 28 countries, many of which never gathered such statistics before. The study is especially valuable because it includes thousands of people who have attempted suicide; often, studies include so few suicide attempters that it is almost impossible to obtain statistically significant results.

The data already gathered have revealed striking country-to-country differences whose explanations are not well understood: the United States ranks in the middle when it comes to suicide rate, but it is among the highest (along with New Zealand, surprisingly) in the number of people who contemplate suicide. China and Italy are among the countries with the lowest rates of both suicidal thinking and suicide attempts. In most countries where statistics have been collected, women are more likely to attempt suicide, but men are more likely to succeed—typically by a ratio of 4:1—except in China, where more women than men take their own lives.

And the study has already yielded one finding that overturned conventional wisdom. Because there is a strong association between depression and suicidal thinking, the psychology community assumed that depression would also be associated with suicide itself. Instead, Nock and his colleagues found that among people who are thinking about suicide, those who were depressed were no more likely to make an attempt than the others; rather, the factors that predisposed to attempting suicide were anxiety, agitation, poor impulse control, and use of alcohol and other substances. This pattern held true in all 21 countries examined. “In hindsight,
Studying Self-Injury

If many questions about suicide remain unanswered, even less is known about nonsuicidal self-injury. In the United States, an estimated 4 percent of adults and 21 percent of adolescents engage in such behavior; its incidence has not been well studied in other countries. Research has not yet revealed a treatment approach that works reliably. Self-injury is under consideration for inclusion as a phenomenon in the next edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), but, says professor of psychology Matthew Nock, “There’s no agreement right now on the threshold”—in terms of frequency or severity of self-injury—that requires treatment.

People who engage in self-injury report feeling relief afterward, but little is understood about the physiological effects of such behavior. It is assumed that there is some sort of endorphin rush related to the body’s survival response, similar to what people experience after non-self-inflicted injury, but that is only a hypothesis.

Work in Nock’s lab has shown that people who engage in self-injury have higher pain thresholds: when their hands are compressed in a vise, it takes them longer to experience pain, and they have higher pain tolerance. And this tolerance is not related to the length of time they have been engaging in self-injury, or the frequency—suggesting, says Nock, that the heightened pain threshold “is not a consequence of self-injury, but a precursor.”

Studying people at the moment of self-injury—for a detailed analysis of the biological markers it triggers—is difficult, but Nock is trying. He and Wendy Berry Mendes (formerly an associate professor at Harvard, now at the University of California, San Francisco) are measuring what happens in the bodies of adults who engage in self-injury by using an ambulatory monitoring device called the LifeShirt, a mesh vest (worn beneath clothing) that records vital signs so the researchers can compare physiological changes to the subjects’ reports of their own mental states and behavior.

Childhood adversity is known to be a risk factor for self-injury; to investigate this link, Nock designed a study that examined parents’ style of talking about their children. “We brought parents into the lab and asked each to talk about his or her child for five minutes,” he explains. “That’s essentially the only instruction we gave them.” The parents of children who engaged in self-injury “were much more hostile and critical in the way they talked about their children,” he says, suggesting that children may internalize this hostility and criticism and inflict it on themselves physically.

As recently as three years ago, there was no standardized form for interviewing patients about self-injurious thoughts and behavior. Nock developed one; since its 2007 publication, it has been downloaded thousands of times and translated into languages including Swedish, German, and Japanese. Nock edited the 2009 volume Understanding Nonsuicidal Self-Injury; now, researchers in his lab are studying whether self-injury has a social and communicative, as well as a physiological, function: whether it replaces verbal communication as an outlet in people who have poor verbal skills or whose family and friends are not receptive to communicating about emotions.

Although self-injury accompanies other mental-health disorders—such as anxiety and depression—Nock believes it is not just a symptom of these other disorders, but worth studying, understanding, and treating in and of itself. For one thing, he says, “We know that engaging in self-injury significantly increases the likelihood that someone will make a suicide attempt.”

Although the suicide rate in the United States has not budged in the last hundred years, that is not because effective treatments don’t exist, Nock says. Two specific approaches—cognitive behavioral therapy (which focuses on changing patterns of negative thinking) and dialectical behavioral therapy (which is similar, but incorporates a focus on accepting and tolerating difficult emotions)—have been shown to diminish the rate of suicide attempts among people who have already tried to kill themselves. The first has been in use since the 1960s, although its effectiveness with suicidal patients was shown only in 2005; the second was proved effective nearly two decades ago. But Nock says that “the majority of practicing psychologists aren’t using these treatments.”

Nock believes that all psychologists who treat suicidal patients should use these approaches, which are laid out, specifically and clearly, in manuals that set forth what to do in each treatment session. In his effort to bring the treatments into wider use, he is starting with children, through his affiliation with the Center on the Developing Child. Avenues for possible action may involve working to change accreditation standards for training programs; amend licensing exams for clinical psychologists; and alter insurance reimbursement policies. Nock hopes this work on behalf of suicidal children will eventually translate into similar work for adults.

He once thought he would be practicing such treatments himself. “I wanted to learn about suicide because I thought it was probably the most difficult thing I would face as a clinician,” he recalls. He expected to find research dull, but once he realized he enjoyed it, he changed course. “Seeing a patient in my office for one hour once a week, I can certainly have an impact—I can observe it immediately—but the impact is not going to be as deep or as broad,” he says. (He did see patients for seven years, but gave up his clinical practice in 2005.) Nock first embarked on research to develop and evaluate treatments, but soon turned to more basic investigations of why people resort to suicide and self-injury. “If we can understand the behavior and develop better ways of detecting it and assessing it and preventing it and treating it,” he says, “we can help people all over the world.”
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