On October 18, Harvard announced that benefactors Rita E. Hauser, L.’58, and Gustave M. Hauser, J.D.’53, had donated $40 million to encourage pedagogical innovation and strengthen learning and teaching throughout the University. Their gift focuses attention on one of the institution’s chief academic missions and illustrates the scale of the philanthropy the University aims to muster as it pursues its twenty-first-century agenda in a forthcoming capital campaign. During the next decade, the funds will support a new Harvard Initiative for Learning and Teaching (HILT), encompassing activities from underwriting faculty- and student-initiated innovations, to reorganizing classrooms, to building expertise in evaluating the effectiveness of teaching techniques.

The gift itself, President Drew Faust said, resulted from the Hausers’ response to a planning paper exploring capital-campaign priorities. In the news announcement, Gustave Hauser referred to “a whole generation of new students who require new teaching and learning methods,” and noted that HILT “focuses Harvard’s enormous resources on making higher education more effective.” Added Rita Hauser, “This is really a start-up, if you like, and we hope it will be a catalytic gift...not just for Harvard, but for universities in general.”

In a conversation at her Massachusetts Hall office, Faust said teaching and learning will be “front and center in the campaign,” because they are “at the heart of who we are and what we do.” The time is right, she added, because this is a moment of “transformation” driven by increased knowledge about how people learn and by technological changes that enable new applications of that knowledge. Improved education is a priority “Harvard has been investing in increasingly”—not just financially, but “pragmatically, institutionally, and emotionally”:

---

**Yesterday’s News**

From the pages of the *Harvard Alumni Bulletin and Harvard Magazine*

**1907** President Theodore Roosevelt, A.B. 1880, in town to see his son, Theodore Jr. ’09, inducted into the Porcellian Club, addresses 2,000 students and alumni at the Harvard Union. “Harvard must do more than produce students,” he tells them. “The college man, the man of intellect and training, should take the lead in every fight for civic and social righteousness.”

**1922** Acknowledging the geographical dispersion of Harvard men, the Board of Overseers and the Corporation agree that henceforth Overseers and Alumni Association directors will be elected by postal ballot rather than by vote of those alumni present on Commencement day.

**1937** Dean of the Divinity School Willard L. Sperry reports that attendance at Memorial Church’s morning prayers has dropped drastically with the recent move of undergraduates to the Houses. The Bulletin’s editors note, “It was just 50 years ago that Harvard abandoned the rule requiring attendance at morning prayers. Probably very few of those who objected to the change would go back to the old order even if they could.”

**1947** Harvard scientists complete work on “Mark II,” the world’s largest and most advanced computer, which fills a room 50 feet by 60 feet and can solve in under a second a multiplication problem involving numbers in the billions. Cost: about $500,000.

**1952** The Faculty of Arts and Sciences approves a plan to provide group tutorials for sophomores and juniors in economics, English, government, history, and social relations—another step in the administration’s effort to center more of the responsibility for the education of undergraduates in the residential Houses.

**1972** WHRB observes exam period with orgies of Chopin, Creedence Clearwater, and music of “death, suffering, and the apocalypse.” (Editor’s note: The most recent orgy playlist included “The Trouble in Mind Orgy,” “The Classical Music Riot Orgy,” “Crime and Punishment,” and many works by Franz Liszt, among them “Csárdás macabre” and “Malédiction, for Piano and Strings.”)
witness the Faculty of Arts and Sciences’ Compact on Teaching and Learning adopted in 2007; the Kennedy School’s SLATE (Strengthening Learning and Teaching Excellence) program—with its distinctive emphasis on assessing rigorously what students get from a course; and the Business School’s “very self-conscious approach to teaching.”

There are also external reasons for making this a priority, she said. The public increasingly questions how higher-education institutions can enhance learning if they aren’t sure what is working. Hence the initiative’s emphasis on experimentation coupled with evaluation. For all the emphasis on research within research universities, Faust concluded, that mission necessarily includes dissemination of discoveries—through publication, inventions and applications, and, of course, teaching.

The Hausers’ gift provides flexible funding, to be applied where the president discerns particularly promising opportunities; it is not an endowment, but a major infusion of resources meant to affect how Harvard fulfills its educational mission in the near term—and to seed further investments that support continuous classroom improvements.

A working group of faculty members and deans has been tackling these issues as part of the preliminary brainstorming for a capital campaign. One participant was Eric Mazur—Balkanski professor of physics and applied physics and dean for applied physics in the School of Engineering and Applied Sciences (SEAS)—who is widely known for pioneering quick-response mechanisms (initially using “clickers” but now including wireless devices) to assess how well students grasp and can use new concepts; he is now focused on assessing rigorously what students get from a course; and the Business School’s “very self-conscious approach to teaching.”

Mazur is an evangelist for much more sweeping change who in conversation said “haven’t figured out how fundamental a change digitization will be,” and that assessing the efficacy of educational innovations and outcomes was rudimentary, at best. He characterized as “very exciting” the prospect of “venture-capital-style investing” in teaching innovations, alongside spending to move toward classroom spaces “that will connect to digital environments, that are flexible.”

John G. Palfrey VII, Ess librarian at the Law School and professor of law, a scholar of all things digital, also participated in the planning group. He said universities “haven’t figured out how fundamental a change digitization will be,” and that assessing the efficacy of educational innovations and outcomes was rudimentary, at best. He characterized as “very exciting” the prospect of “venture-capital-style investing” in teaching innovations, alongside spending to move toward classroom spaces “that will connect to digital environments, that are flexible.” (Palfrey is leaving Harvard to become head of Phillips Academy, Andover, on July 1.)

Core Contributors
We extend warmest thanks to three outstanding contributors to Harvard Magazine during 2011, and happily award each a $1,000 honorarium for superb service to readers.

John T. Bethell ’54 is a lifelong Crimson football fan. Since concluding his distinguished service as editor of this magazine at the end of 1994, he has continued to follow the team and to report, as “Cleat,” on how it fares. In this historic season (see page 66), it is a fitting pleasure to recognize his deft dispatches—rich in game-day detail, grounded in gridiron tradition—with the McCord Writing Prize, named for David T.W. McCord ’21, A.M. ’22, L.H.D. ’56, whose legendary prose and verse, composed for these pages and for the Harvard College Fund, it honors.

Robert Neubecker created especially intelligent, communicative illustrations to accompany “Colleges in Crisis” in the July-August issue. When we subsequently published a near-companion essay, “Bullish on Private Colleges,” in the November-December magazine, Neubecker if anything topped himself in the accompanying art.

Recognizing contributing editor Jim Harrison for his vivid, humane portraits and many other photographs published here has become routine—because he never treats any assignment routinely. His cover images of evolutionary biologist Daniel Lieberman and blogger Andrew Sullivan, his portfolio of leading scholars in the 375th anniversary issue, and his amazing, lively record of the sodden anniversary celebration itself, on October 14 (see page 52), suggest his range and abilities.
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For more detail on the Hausers’ gift and work at Harvard on pedagogy and learning, see http://harvardmag.com/hauser-gift-2011.
Although HILT’s aims are bound up in experimentation, innovation, and discovery—with outcomes unknown—some initial courses of action have been defined.

- Innovation grants. Faculty, deans, administrators, postdoctoral instructors, and students are eligible to apply for grants to pursue educational innovations, from “developing instructional methods, tools, and assignments” to “integrate[ing] pedagogical scholarship into pedagogical practice” or “incorporate[ing] technology and social media into teaching and learning activities.” Grants will be issued by February 3 (coinciding with the University-wide HILT pedagogical conference).

- A launch conference. A conference on February 3 with Harvard and outside experts will introduce the best current thinking on the science of learning (based on studies of cognition, active learning, and so on) and innovations in higher education. There will also be demonstrations of diverse pedagogies, and of new ideas in teaching, data collection, and analysis.

- Infrastructure. Contemporary teaching is more technologically based, more visual, often highly experiential, and increasingly international (sometimes in real time). Accordingly, the initiative will support experimental configurations of classrooms around campus, and pay to fit them out.

- Evaluation. How do faculty members know whether their teaching, or changes in their teaching, work? The realm of inquiry that Faust described as “thinking about assessment in different ways—how we evaluate students, faculty, methods, and courses and programs” is crucial if a broad push for innovation is to yield meaningful findings and application of fruitful discoveries. A nucleus for doing this work has been created within the office of the president and provost: a newly hired director of assessment and evaluation will work with professors and others to devise questions, advise on data, and perform the analyses to see whether, say, students who attend class perform better than those who take in lectures via online recording.

As innovation grants yield new teaching ideas, classroom infrastructure is rebuilt, and evaluation proceeds, administrators promise, the direction and scope of HILT’s activity will also expand and change.

**THE UNDERGRADUATE**

**Out of Cambridge**

by KATHERINE XUE ’13

The Boston I knew at the beginning of the semester was largely a tourist’s Boston. There was one lazy Fourth of July spent under a willow on the Esplanade. A sophomore outing to Fenway for a Red Sox game. Occasional trips into Chinatown for dim sum and groceries. The time I got lost and ended up in the South End. The route of the M2 shuttle, from Cambridge to Longwood. Summer evenings spent walking along Newbury Street, through the Public Garden and Boston Common at the city center, following the Freedom Trail past Government Center and up to the North End.

This semester I’ve ventured into a different Boston. I’ve walked through residential neighborhoods and housing projects, people-watched in postage-stamp parks. Away from the more manicured center of the city, Boston feels startlingly different. There are wide-open spaces and speeding cars—poor places for pedestrians. There are plain, single-family homes with peeling paint, a far cry from the smooth red brick and crowded streets of Harvard Square. There are gas stations and dollar stores. There are funny little things—unexpected murals, Halloween decorations, a statue on the corner, a peculiar ornament on the door.

It’s not quite what comes to mind when I think of the city. It’s not, I suspect, the Boston that freshmen come to Harvard eager to explore. And I think this is what’s made it important to know.

There’s a straightforward reason for my newfound adventurousness: I’m taking a General Education course called United States in the World 24: “Reinventing Boston: The Changing American City.” Class readings and lectures deal with sociological issues like diversity, crime, education, and urban planning, and part of my homework is to observe neighborhoods. I spend my weekends wandering—sometimes uncomfortably—through unfamiliar areas, trying to play social scientist, and in my write-ups on these visits, I try different academic theories on for size.

The class brings a piece of Boston into the classroom as well. Most lectures are given by guest speakers—ministers, principals, community leaders, journalists,